

ON SCALES OF GROWTH OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

L.S. Maergoiz

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.83733>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.55

MATHEMATICS

L. S. MAERGOIZ

ON SCALES OF GROWTH OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

(Presented by Academician M. A. Lavrent'ev on 4 XI 1969)

Below we use the following notation: R^n is n -dimensional Euclidean space; $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, etc.; $R_+^n = \{r \in R^n : r_i \geq 0\}$, $R_0^n = \{r \in R^n : r_i > 0\}$; $\varphi(r) = \varphi(r_1, \dots, r_n)$; $W(e^u) = W(e^{u_1}, \dots, e^{u_n})$; $\varphi(r^\gamma) = \varphi(r_1^{\gamma_1}, \dots, r_n^{\gamma_n})$;

$$|r| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^2 \right)^{1/2}; \quad \|r\| = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i; \quad (k, u) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i u_i;$$

$(C, V(u))$ is a pair consisting of a function $V(u)$ and a set C , where $V(u)$ is defined and finite; $\{(u, u_{n+1}) \in R^{n+1} : u \in C, u_{n+1} \geq V(u)\} = [R^n, V(u)]$ is the epigraph of the function $V(u)$.

1°. The growth of an entire function $f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of n complex variables $z_1, \dots, z_n$ is very often compared with the growth of its majorant

$$M_f(r) = \max_{|z_i| \leq r_i} |f(z)|.$$

Typical for investigation is the class $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{f(z)\}$ of entire functions transcendental in at least one variable:

$$\mathfrak{M}_n = \{f(z) : 0 < \gamma_f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\ln t)^{-1} \ln \ln M(t, \dots, t) < +\infty\}.$$

For $n = 1$, $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \{\exp\{r^\gamma\}, \gamma > 0\}$ is the widely known scale of growth of functions of the class $\mathfrak{M}_1$. For each function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ in the scale $\mathfrak{P}_1$ there exists a unique function $\exp\{r^{\gamma_f}\}$ asymptotically equivalent to $M_f(r)$ in the known sense, and the quantity γ_f is then called the order of growth of the function $f(z)$.

The theory of growth of entire functions of several variables, over its more than half-century history, beginning with the work of E. Borel ⁽¹⁾, has accumulated many analogues of the scale $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and corresponding analogues of the notion of

order of an entire function of one variable. As a scale of growth of functions of the class $\mathfrak{M}_n$, functions of the form $\{\exp\{[\varphi(r)]^\gamma\}, \gamma > 0\}$ are chosen, where $\varphi(r)$ is a function of relatively simple structure, connected with a definite method of exhausting R_+^n (for example, for E. Borel ⁽¹⁾, $\varphi(r) = \max\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$; for P. Lelong ⁽²⁾, $\varphi(r) = |r|$), or systems of such functions (for example, for L. I. Ronkin ⁽³⁾, $\gamma = 1$, $\varphi(r) = r_1^{\gamma_1} + \dots + r_n^{\gamma_n}$, $\gamma_i > 0$). Here results of a very general character are due to A. A. Gol'dberg ⁽⁴⁾.

Let G be a closed bounded domain in R_+^n . By the (G, x) -order of an entire function $f(r)$ with respect to the collection of variables we shall call the quantity

$$\rho_G(x) = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\ln t)^{-1} \ln \ln M(t), \quad \text{where } M(t) = \sup_{c \in G} M_f(t^{x_1 c_1}, \dots, t^{x_n c_n}),$$

and any element of the set

$$S_f = \{\gamma \in R^n : \rho_G(\gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_n^{-1}) = 1\} \quad (1)$$

will be called a system of conjugate G -orders of the function $f(z)$ (cf. ⁽³⁾). Recall that $(R_0^n, \rho_G(x))$ does not depend on G : $\rho_G(x) \equiv \rho(x)$ ⁽⁴⁾. Suppose additionally that $G \in \mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathfrak{B}$ is the class of closed bounded complete logarithmically convex domains in R_+^n . Then the above definitions lead to scales of growth of the function $M_f(r)$, consisting of some-

functions in R_+^n , nondecreasing in each of the variables $r_1, \dots, r_n$, convex with respect to $\ln r_1, \dots, \ln r_n$. It is precisely these properties that the function $\ln M_f(r)$ possesses ⁽⁵⁾.

In the present paper we investigate the growth scales of A. A. Gol'dberg. Starting from this analysis and developing results on the asymptotics of convex functions in ⁽⁶⁾, we propose qualitatively new growth scales for functions of the class $\mathfrak{M}_n$. These scales completely take into account certain asymptotic properties of the function $M_f(r)$.

2°. Let $H = \{\varphi(r)\}$ be the class of functions in R^n such that: 1) $\varphi(r) > 0$ for $r \in R_+^n \setminus 0$; 2) $\varphi(\lambda r) = \lambda \varphi(r)$ for $\forall \lambda > 0, r \in R_+^n$; 3) $\varphi(r)$ is nondecreasing in each variable; 4) $\varphi(r)$ is continuous in R_+^n ; 5) $\varphi(r)$ is convex with respect to $\ln r_1, \dots, \ln r_n$ for $r \in R_0^n$; $W_f(r) = \ln \ln M_f^+(r)$, $M_f^+(r) = \max\{M_f(r), e\}$ *.

Theorem 1. *Let x be an arbitrary element of R_0^n . In order that the number $a \geq 0$ be the G -order of an entire function $f(z)$ for some $G \in \mathfrak{B}$, it is necessary and sufficient that there exist a function $\varphi(r) \in H$ such that*

$$a = \lim_{\|r\| \rightarrow +\infty} W_f(r) [\ln \varphi(r^\gamma)]^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma_i = x_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$G = \{r \in R_+^n : \varphi(r^\gamma) \leq 1\}.$$

The definitions of orders according to A. A. Gol'dberg therefore lead to the following system of growth scales for functions of the class $\mathfrak{M}_n$: $E = \{E(\varphi; \gamma), \varphi \in H, \gamma \in R_0^n\}$, where $E(\varphi; \gamma) = \{\exp[(\varphi(r^\gamma))^\tau], \tau > 0\}$, and for every function $f(z)$ from $\mathfrak{M}_n$ there exists, in each scale $E(\varphi; \gamma)$, a unique function

$$a(r; \gamma) = \exp\{[\varphi(r^\gamma)]^{\rho(x)}\},$$

where $x_i = \gamma_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; $\rho(x)$ is the x -order of the function $f(z)$, asymptotically equivalent to $M_f(r)$ in the following sense:

$$\lim_{\|r\| \rightarrow +\infty} W_f(r) \cdot [\ln \ln a(r; \gamma)]^{-1} = 1.$$

3°. In studying the asymptotics of the growth of functions of the class $\mathfrak{M}_n$, a natural object of investigation is the quasiconvex function (7)

$$V(u) = W_f(e^u)$$

(i.e.,

$$V(\lambda u + \mu v) \leq \max\{V(u), V(v)\}, \quad \forall \lambda + \mu = 1; \lambda, \mu > 0; u, v \in R^n).$$

Definition 1. Let $\Omega_V = \{K\}$ be the collection of cones with vertex at $O \in R^{n+1}$, some shifts of which belong to the epigraph $[R^n, V(u)]$ of the quasiconvex function $(R^n, V(u))$. The **asymptotic cone** $\Pi(V)$ of the epigraph $[R^n, V(u)]$ is the set

$$\overline{\bigcup_{K \in \Omega_V} K}$$

**,.

Let us introduce the following characteristic of growth (6), p. 584):

Definition 2. The **function of growth orders** of an entire function $f(z)$ is the function $(D, \rho_f(u))$, where

$$\rho_f(u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W_f(e^{u_1 t}, \dots, e^{u_n t}) t^{-1}, \quad D = \{u \in R^n : \rho_f(u) < +\infty\}.$$

- 1) If $f \in \mathfrak{M}_n$, then $D = R^n$, and $\Pi(W_f) = [R^n, \rho_f(u)]$, where $\Pi(W_f)$ is the asymptotic cone of the epigraph of the function $\widetilde{W}_f(e^u)$.
- 2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\ln M_f(e^u) < C_\varepsilon \exp\{\rho_f(u) + \varepsilon|u|\}, \quad \forall u \in R^n.$$

Thus the function $(R^n, \rho_f(u))$ completely determines the cone $\Pi(W_f)$, takes into account the growth of $M_f(r)$ in all directions (for each fixed $u \in R^n$, $\rho_f(u)$ is the order of growth of the function $\Phi_u(r) = M_f(r^{u_1}, \dots, r^{u_n})$); with the aid of $\rho_f(u)$ a simple global upper estimate of the function $M_f(r)$ is possible,

* In studying the asymptotics of the growth of $M_f(r)$, it is enough to restrict oneself to its truncation from below.

** Simple examples show that it is not always the case that $\Pi(V) \in \Omega_V$. For convex functions this definition passes over into the previously known one ⁽⁸⁾.

i.e., $\rho_f(u)$ is the growth characteristic of the function $f(z)$. If $n = 1$, then $\rho_f(u) = \max\{0, \rho u\}$, where ρ is the order of growth of $f(z)$, i.e., the cone $\Pi(W_f)$ is determined by one number—an element of R_0^1 . For $n > 1$ this is not true in the general case. The cone $\Pi(W_f)$ is determined by the directrix T_f of its surface, situated in the horizontal hyperplane $u_{n+1} = 1$: $T_f = \{u \in R^n : \rho_f(u) = 1\}$. The hypersurface S_f of conjugate orders (formula (1)) determines only part of the cone $\Pi(W_f) \cap R^{n+1}_+$, since $S_f = T_f^- \cap R_0^n$, where T_f^- is the hypersurface obtained from T_f by the transformation $y_i = u_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

4°. There is a simple connection between the asymptotic cone $\Pi(W_f)$ of the epigraph of the function $W_f(e^u)$, $f \in \mathfrak{M}_n$, and the asymptotic cone $\Pi(\Theta_\gamma^+)$ for $\forall \gamma \in R_0^n$, where $\Theta_\gamma^+(u) = \max\{\ln \ln \alpha(r; \gamma), 0\}$ (see 2°).

Proposition 1. *The cone $\Pi(\Theta_\gamma^+)$ is the intersection of a finite number of half-spaces, and $\Pi(\Theta_\gamma^+) \subset \Pi(W_f)$ for $\forall \gamma \in R_0^n$, and the cone $\Pi(\Theta_\gamma^+)$ touches the surface of the cone $\Pi(W_f)$ along the ray*

$$\{(t\gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, t\gamma_n^{-1}, t\rho_f(\gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_n^{-1})), t \geq 0\}.$$

If $n > 1$, then from the preceding and from the results of L. I. Ronkin ⁽³⁾, the author ⁽⁹⁾ concludes that the directrix of the cones $\{\Pi(W_f) \cap R_+^{n+1}, f \in \mathfrak{M}_n\}$, taken in the hyperplane $u_{n+1} = 1$, may be any closed convex complete domain in R_+^n . Therefore the structure of the asymptotic cones of the epigraphs $\{[R^n, W_f(e^u)], f \in \mathfrak{M}_n\}$ is much more complicated than that of the epigraphs of functions of systems $\{\ln \ln \psi(e^u), \psi \in E(\varphi; \gamma)\}$, $\varphi \in H$, $\gamma \in R_0^n$.

5°. Let Y be the class of functions in R^n that are nonnegative, convex, nondecreasing in each variable, and positively homogeneous (of degree 1). In addition, assume that the function $\varphi_0(u) \equiv 0 \notin Y$. Consider the following scale of growth Q_n of functions of the class $\mathfrak{M}_n$: $Q_n = \{\exp(\exp(\tilde{\varphi}(r))), \varphi \in Y\}$, where $\tilde{\varphi}(r)$ is the continuous extension to R_+^n of the function $(R_0^n, \varphi(\ln r_1, \dots, \ln r_n))$. For $n = 1$, $Q_1 = \{\exp\{\max\{r^\gamma, 1\}\}, \gamma > 0\}$ (cf. with $\mathfrak{B}_1, 1^\circ$).

The asymptotic properties of the order functions $\rho_f(u)$, $f \in \mathfrak{M}_n$, are clarified by

Theorem 2. *If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function from $\mathfrak{M}_n$, then*

$$\overline{\lim}_{|u| \rightarrow +\infty, u \in K} W_f(e^u) \cdot [\rho_f(u)]^{-1} = 1 \quad (3)$$

for any cone K with vertex at O and such that $\overline{K} \setminus \{0\} \subset \{u \in R^n : \rho_f(u) > 0\}$, and $\exp(\exp(\mathfrak{F}_f(r)))$ is the unique function of the scale Q_n asymptotically equivalent to $M_f(r)$ in the sense of condition (3).

Theorem 3. *For any function $\varphi(u)$ of the class Y there exists an entire function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ such that $\rho_f(u) \equiv \varphi(u)$.*

The desired function is, for example,

$$f(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\|k\|=s} \exp\{-p_s(k) \ln s\} z_1^{k_1} \dots z_n^{k_n}.$$

Here $p_s(u)$ is the Minkowski functional ⁽¹⁰⁾ of the set $O_{s^{-1/2}}(K_\varphi)$; $O_\varepsilon(M)$ is the ε -neighborhood of the set M ; K_φ is the convex compact set whose support function is $\varphi(u)$. If $\dim K_\varphi = n$, then there also exists a simpler desired function:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \in C} \exp\{p(k) \ln \|k\|\} z_1^{k_1} \dots z_n^{k_n}.$$

Here $p(u)$ is the Minkowski functional of K_φ ; C is the smallest convex cone with vertex at O containing K_φ . (Note that $O \in K_\varphi \subset R_+^n$.) The idea of the example just given was suggested by the results of G. Valiron ⁽⁵⁾ and

* The example of the function $(z_1 + z_2)e^{z_1 z_2}$ shows that this requirement is essential for the fulfillment of condition (3).

L. I. Ronkina ⁽³⁾. In the proof of Theorem 3, the following result, which is of independent interest, is used.

Theorem 4. For all $u \in R^n$, $\rho_f(u) \equiv \tau_f(u)$, $\forall f \in \mathfrak{M}_n$, where

$$\tau_f(u) = \begin{cases} \overline{\lim}_{(k,u) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(k,u) \ln(k,u)}{-\ln |a_k|}, & u \in A, \\ 0, & u \in R^n \setminus A, \end{cases}$$

$\{a_k = a_{k_1 \dots k_n}; k_1, \dots, k_n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ are the Taylor coefficients of the function $f(z)$,

$$A = \bigcap_{m>0} A_m, \quad A_m = \{u \in R^n : \{k : a_k \neq 0\} \cap \{k : (k,u) > m\} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Theorem 4 is a generalization of a well-known result of A. A. Goldberg ⁽⁴⁾.

In conclusion, the author expresses gratitude to L. A. Aizenberg and S. G. Gindikin for valuable comments.

Institute of Physics
Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR
Krasnoyarsk

Received
23 IX 1969

REFERENCES

- ¹ E. Borel, *Leçons sur les séries à termes positifs*. Paris, 1902.
- ² P. Lelong, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 58 (1941).
- ³ L. I. Ronkina, Ukr. Mat. Zh., 14, No. 3 (1964).
- ⁴ A. A. Goldberg, Dokl. i Soobshch. Uzhgorod. Univ. Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk, 4 (1961).
- ⁵ G. Valiron, Bull. Sci. Math., 47, No. 1 (1923).
- ⁶ L. S. Maergoiz, Siberian Math. Zh., 9, No. 3 (1968).
- ⁷ B. A. Vertgeim, G. Sh. Rubinshtein, in: *Mathematical Programming*, Moscow, 1966.
- ⁸ R. T. Rockafellar, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 123, No. 1 (1966).
- ⁹ L. S. Maergoiz, Siberian Math. Zh., 7, No. 6 (1966).
- ¹⁰ A. D. Ioffe, V. M. Tikhomirov, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 23, 6 (144).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.