
Soviet-era science, translated into English

ON THE INDEX OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

N. K. Karapetyants, S. G. Samko

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.74938>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.948.32

MATHEMATICS

N. K. KARAPETYANTS, S. G. SAMKO

ON THE INDEX OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

(Presented by Academician A. A. Dorodnitsyn, 16 III 1970)

Operators of the form

$$H\varphi \equiv \varphi(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_j(t, \tau) h_j(t - \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau = f(t), \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad (1)$$

are considered, where $h_j(t) \in \mathcal{L}_1(-\infty, \infty)$, $\varphi(t)$, $f(t) \in \mathcal{L}_p(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $a_j(t, \tau)$ belong to a certain class of essentially bounded functions measurable in the plane (Definition 3). The main result is formulated in Theorem 1. The results obtained in no. 2 are then applied to the study of a Riemann boundary-value problem with integral terms and of a certain class of integral equations with kernel of the type of a homogeneous function. The present paper is directly adjacent to the preceding work of the authors ⁽¹²⁾, in which the case of degeneration of the functions $a_j(t, \tau)$ was studied:

$$a_j(t, \tau) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kj}(t) b_{kj}(\tau).$$

A class of equations close to (1) was considered in ⁽⁷⁾. Some special cases of equation (1) were considered in the works ⁽³⁻⁶⁾. We also note that, in the case of continuity of the functions $a_j(t, \tau)$, our Theorem 1 can also be proved with the aid of the results of I. B. Simonenko on the theory of operators of local type ⁽⁸⁾.

No. 1. The class $M^{\text{sup}}(\widetilde{R}_2)$. We define the class of functions $a_j(t, \tau)$ admissible in equation (1). Roughly speaking, this will be the class of functions having (in a certain sense) at least one of the repeated limits at each of the infinitely remote points $(-\infty, -\infty)$ and $(+\infty, +\infty)$. Let us pass to the precise definition. Denote by $\widetilde{R}_1$ the line R_1 with two adjoined infinitely remote points. Denote by $\widetilde{R}_2$ the plane R_2 , completed by the infinitely remote points $(+\infty, +\infty)$, $(-\infty, -\infty)$. As

usual, $M = M(R_1)$, $M(R_2)$ will denote the corresponding class of essentially bounded measurable functions.

Definition 1. $\varphi(t) \in M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_1)$, if $\varphi(t) \in M(R_1)$ and there exist constants c_+, c_- such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|t| > n} \theta(\pm t) |\varphi(t) - c_{\pm}| = 0, \quad \text{where } \theta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \text{sign } t).$$

We shall denote $c_{\pm} = \varphi(\pm\infty)$.

Definition 2. We shall say that a measurable essentially bounded function $a(t, \tau)$ has the value $a(+\infty, +\infty)$, if there exists a function $b(x) \in M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_1)$ such that $b(+\infty) = a(+\infty, +\infty)$ and either

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < \tau < \infty} \sup_{t > n} |a(t, \tau) - b(\tau)| = 0, \quad (2)$$

* Everywhere in what follows, sup means ess sup.

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \sup_{\tau > n} |a(t, \tau) - b(t)| = 0. \quad (3)$$

The value $a(-\infty, -\infty)$ is defined analogously. We note that Definition 2 is correct in the sense that if $a(t, \tau)$ has the value $a(+\infty, +\infty)$ simultaneously both in the sense of (2) and in the sense of (3), then it is one and the same.

Definition 3. $a(t, \tau) \in M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_2)$ if $a(t, \tau) \in M(R_2)$ and the values $a(+\infty, +\infty)$, $a(-\infty, -\infty)$ exist in the sense of Definition 2. It is clear that the class $M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_2)$ contains the class $C(\widetilde{R}_2)$ of continuous functions determined by the properties: 1) $a(t, \tau)$ is bounded on R_2 and continuous at every finite point; 2) one of the iterated limits $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t, \tau)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} a(t, \tau)$ exists, and the inner limit is uniform (with respect to τ , $0 < \tau < \infty$, and with respect to t , $0 < t < \infty$, respectively); an analogous limit exists as $t, \tau \rightarrow -\infty$. We note that Definition 3 imposes no requirements on the function $a(t, \tau)$ in the second and fourth quadrants, apart from membership in $M(R_2)$.

No. 2. **The main theorem.** Let $h_j(t) \in \mathcal{L}_1(-\infty, \infty)$ and $a_j(t, \tau) \in M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_2)$.

Theorem 1. In order that the operator H be a Noether operator in $\mathcal{L}_p(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\sigma(\lambda)^{\pm} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(\pm\infty, \pm\infty) \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda) \neq 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{i\lambda t} dt.$$

The index of the operator H is computed by the formula

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{B}_p}(H) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \left[\arg \frac{\sigma(\lambda)^+}{\sigma(\lambda)^-} \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty}. \quad (4)$$

The proof of the theorem is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If $h(t) \in \mathcal{L}_1(-\infty, \infty)$ and $a(t, \tau) \in M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_2)$, then the operators

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [a(t, \tau) - a(\infty, \infty)\theta(t) - a(-\infty, -\infty)\theta(-t)]h(t - \tau)\varphi(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$\theta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t, \tau)\theta(-\tau)h(t - \tau)\varphi(\tau) d\tau$$

are completely continuous in $\mathcal{L}_p(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

No. 3. Consider the following boundary-value problem

$$\Phi^+(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t, \tau)h_1(t - \tau)\Phi^+(\tau) d\tau =$$

$$= G(t)\Phi^-(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(t, \tau)h_2(t - \tau)\Phi^-(\tau) d\tau + f(t), \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi^{\pm}(z)$ are analytic functions in the half-planes $\text{Im } z > 0$, $\text{Im } z < 0$, respectively, representable by a Cauchy-type integral with density from $\mathcal{L}_p(-\infty, \infty)$; $p > 1$ ($\Phi^{\pm}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_p^{\pm}$). It is assumed that 1) $h_1(t)$, $h_2(t) \in \mathcal{L}_1(-\infty, \infty)$; 2) $a(t, \tau)$, $b(t, \tau) \in M^{\sup}(\widetilde{R}_2)$, with $a(+\infty, +\infty) = a(-\infty, -\infty)$ and $b(+\infty, +\infty) = b(-\infty, -\infty)$; 3) $G(t) \in M^{\sup} \cap A_p$ and $G(-\infty) = G(+\infty)$ (for the definition of the class A_p , see (2)). In particular, one may take $G(t)$ to be a function continuous on the closed axis, $G(t) \neq 0$.

It is known that in the case of a finite contour and Fredholm kernels the index of a problem of the form (5) (as well as of more general integro-differential

problems does not depend on the integral (Fredholm) terms (see (1), p. 362). This, it turns out, is also true for problem (5), which contains integral terms with a difference kernel. However, the condition of normal solvability will depend on the integral terms. We shall also indicate a case when problem (5) is solvable in closed form.

Theorem 2. If $1 + a(\infty, \infty)\mathcal{H}_1(x) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq \infty$ and $G(\infty) + b(\infty, \infty)\mathcal{H}_2(x) \neq 0$, $-\infty \leq x \leq 0$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_j(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_j(t)e^{itx} dt, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

then problem (5) is Noetherian in $\mathcal{L}_p^\pm$, and its index is equal to the index of the coefficient $G(t)$.

By virtue of the lemma, problem (5) differs only by completely continuous terms from the problem

$$\begin{aligned} A\Phi \equiv \Phi^+(t) + a(\infty, \infty) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_1(t-\tau)\Phi^+(\tau) d\tau - \\ - G(t) \left[\Phi^-(t) + \frac{b(\infty, \infty)}{G(\infty)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_2(t-\tau)\Phi^-(\tau) d\tau \right] = f(t). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned} H_1\Phi^+ \equiv a(\infty, \infty) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_1(t-\tau)\Phi^+(\tau) d\tau = \Phi_1^+(t), \\ H_2\Phi^- \equiv \frac{b(\infty, \infty)}{G(\infty)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_2(t-\tau)\Phi^-(\tau) d\tau = \Phi_1^-(t), \end{aligned}$$

we see that (6) reduces to the successive solution of the Riemann problem

$$\Phi^+(t) + \Phi_1^+(t) = G(t) [\Phi^-(t) + \Phi_1^-(t)]$$

and of convolution-type integral equations (on the whole axis) in the class of analytic functions. In other words, there is the representation $A = B \cdot C$, where

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(I + S) + \frac{1}{2}G(I - S), \quad C = \frac{1}{2}(I + H_1)(I + S) + \frac{1}{2}(I + H_2)(I - S),$$

G is the operator of multiplication by the function $G(t)$, and

$$S\varphi = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(\tau) d\tau}{\tau - t}.$$

By the assumptions of the theorem, the operator C is invertible.

Naturally, problem (6) is solved in closed form. Consequently, problem (5) is solvable in closed form if $a(t, \tau) = \text{const}$, $b(t, \tau) = \gamma G(t)$, $\gamma = \text{const}$. Note that equation (6) generalizes an equation of the form $\varphi + \lambda S\varphi + H_1\varphi = f$, considered in $\mathcal{L}_2(-\infty, \infty)$ by T. I. Savel'eva (9).

No. 4. Consider the equation

$$K\psi \equiv \psi(x) + \int_0^a \gamma(x, y)k(x, y)\psi(y) dy = g(x), \quad 0 < x < a, \quad (7)$$

where $k(x, y)$ is a homogeneous function of arbitrary order α : $k(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda^\alpha k(x, y)$, and it is assumed that there exists a number β such that one of the summability conditions is satisfied:

$$\int_0^\infty |k(1, y)| \frac{dy}{y^\beta} < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty |k(x, 1)| \frac{dx}{x^{1-\beta}} < \infty. \quad (8)$$

The function $\gamma(x, y)$ will belong to a certain subclass of measurable functions in the fundamental square, bounded everywhere except, possibly, at the origin of the coordinates.

We seek solutions in the weighted space

$$\mathcal{L}_p^\beta = \{\psi : x^{\beta-1/p}\psi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_p(0, a)\}.$$

If in (8) the admissible values are $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then equation (7) may be considered in all $\mathcal{L}_p(0, a)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Denote

$$Q = \{x, y : 0 < x < a, 0 < y < a\}.$$

Definition 4. We shall say that $\omega(x, y) \in M^{\sup}(Q)$ if:

- 1) $\omega(x, y)$ is a measurable function, essentially bounded on Q ;
- 2) $\omega(x, y)$ has the value $\omega(0, 0)$, defined analogously to the value $a(\infty, \infty)$ in Definition 2.

Theorem 3. Let $\gamma_1(x, y) = x^{1+\alpha}\gamma(x, y) \in M^{\sup}(Q)$. In order that the operator K be a Noether operator in the space $\mathcal{L}_p^\beta$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\lambda) &= 1 + \gamma_1(0, 0)\mathfrak{M}(i\lambda - \beta + 1) \neq 0, \\ -\infty \leq \lambda \leq \infty, \quad \mathfrak{M}(s) &= \int_0^\infty k(1, y)y^{s-1} dy. \end{aligned}$$

The index of the operator K is computed by the formula

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{L}_p^\beta}(K) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta [\arg \sigma(\lambda)]_{-\infty}^\infty.$$

Theorem 3 is established by reducing equation (7) to equation (1). For simplicity, it is formulated for a kernel $k(x, y)$ satisfying the first of the summability conditions (8), and is easily carried over to the case when $k(x, y)$ satisfies the second of conditions (8). We note that an analogous result for $\alpha = -1$ and $\gamma(x, y) \in C(Q)$ was previously obtained by another method in the works of L. G. Mikhailov^(10,11). Finally, a theorem analogous to Theorem 3 can be obtained for the case $a = \infty$, and also for an equation more general than (7),

$$K\psi \equiv \psi(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^a \gamma_j(x, y)k_j(x, y)\psi(y) dy = g(x), \quad 0 < x < a.$$

In conclusion, let us consider the example

$$A\psi \equiv \psi(x) + \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma(x, y)}{x+y} \psi(y) dy = f(x), \quad x > 0,$$

where $\gamma(x, y) \in M^{\text{sup}}(Q)$, $f(x), \psi(x) \in \mathcal{L}_p(0, \infty)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Let $\gamma_0 = \gamma(0, 0)$, $\gamma_\infty = \gamma(\infty, \infty)$ —values in the sense of Definition (2). The Noether condition has the form: $\gamma_0, \gamma_\infty > -1/\pi$ for $p = 2$, and $\gamma_0, \gamma_\infty \neq -\frac{1}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi}{p}$ for $p \neq 2$, while the index $\varkappa$ of the operator A , when this condition is fulfilled, is equal to

$$\varkappa = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \gamma_0, \gamma_\infty > -\sin(\pi/p)/\pi \text{ or } \gamma_0, \gamma_\infty < -\sin(\pi/p)/\pi, \\ \text{sign}(p-2), & \text{if } \gamma_0 > -\sin(\pi/p)/\pi, \gamma_\infty < -\sin(\pi/p)/\pi, \\ \text{sign}(2-p), & \text{if } \gamma_0 < -\sin(\pi/p)/\pi, \gamma_\infty > -\sin(\pi/p)/\pi. \end{cases}$$

Rostov State University

Received
11 III 1970

CITED LITERATURE

1. F. D. Gakhov, *Boundary Value Problems*, Moscow, 1963.
2. I. B. Simonenko, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Math.*, **28**, 2, 277 (1964).
3. I. B. Simonenko, *Izv. Higher Educational Institutions, Mathematics*, No. 2 (9), 213 (1959).
4. F. D. Berkovich, *ibid.*, No. 12, 15 (1967).
5. I. I. Komyak, *DAN*, **179**, No. 2, 279 (1968).
6. L. S. Rakovshchik, *UMN*, vol. 4, 171 (1963).
7. L. S. Rakovshchik, *Vestnik LGU, Ser. Mathematics and Astronomy*, No. 3, issue 3, 52 (1961).
8. I. B. Simonenko, *Math. Collection*, **174** (116), No. 2, 298 (1967).
9. G. I. Savel' ev, *Proceedings of the Novosibirsk Polytechnic Institute*, **109**, 3 (1960).
10. L. G. Mikhailov, *Integral Equations with a Homogeneous Kernel of Degree -1* , Dushanbe, 1966.
11. L. G. Mikhailov, *Differential and Integral Equations with Singular Coefficients*, Dushanbe, 1969, p. 54.
12. N. K. Karapetyants, S. G. Samko, *DAN*, **193**, No. 5 (1970).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.