

ON CONJUGATE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

A. A. Bonami

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.25017>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.581

MATHEMATICS

A. A. BONAMI

ON CONJUGATE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 18 XI 1969)

Denote by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the points of n -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$; y is a real variable; $(\mathbf{x}, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ are the points of the Cartesian product $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$; $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{x} = t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n x_n$; $|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$; dt is the element of Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the half-space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$ we shall consider harmonic vectors $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) = (U, V_1, \dots, V_n)$, i.e. ⁽¹⁾ vector-functions $(\mathbf{x}, y)$, whose components are harmonic functions satisfying the generalized Cauchy–Riemann conditions

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial x_k} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial V_j}{\partial x_k}, \quad k \neq j; \quad k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In the present paper the theorems proved by Hardy, Littlewood ⁽²⁾ and Kawata ⁽³⁾ in the plane are generalized to the multidimensional case.

Denote

$$M(y) = M(\mathbf{F}, y) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)|; \quad (1)$$

$$M_p(y) = M_p(\mathbf{F}, y) = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}. \quad (2)$$

Definition 1. We shall say that a harmonic vector $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ **belongs to the class H^p** , $p > 0$, if $M_p(\mathbf{F}, y) < C$, where C does not depend on y .

Definition 2. We shall say that a harmonic vector $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ **belongs to the class S^p** , $p > 0$, if for every $y_0 > 0$ there exists a constant $C(y_0, \mathbf{F})$ such that, for all $y \geq y_0$, $M_p(\mathbf{F}, y) \leq C(y_0, \mathbf{F})$.

As is known ⁽¹⁾, for $p \geq (n-1)/n$ harmonic vectors $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) \in H^p$ have finite boundary values $f(\mathbf{x})$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $y \downarrow 0$, and for $p > (n-1)/n$ convergence in the mean with exponent p also holds.

Theorem 1. Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = (u, v_1, \dots, v_n) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the necessary and sufficient condition for $f(\mathbf{x})$ to be the boundary value as $y \downarrow 0$ of a harmonic vector $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)$ of class H^2 in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ is that the Fourier transform of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ can be represented in the form

$$g(\mathbf{x}) \left(e_0 + i \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \frac{t_k}{|\mathbf{t}|} \right), \quad (3)$$

where $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n$ are the units of the Clifford algebra, and

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{l.i.m.}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{|\mathbf{t}| < T} u(\mathbf{t}) e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{t}.$$

The proof is obtained by applying the Plancherel theorem to the representation of $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)$ by means of the Poisson integral. As a result one also obtains the following integral representation for harmonic vectors of class $\mathbf{H}^2$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\mathbf{t}) e^{-y|\mathbf{t}|} \left(e_0 + i \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \frac{t_k}{|\mathbf{t}|} \right) e^{-i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{t}. \quad (4)$$

Lemma. If $p \geq (n-1)/n$, $a \geq 0$, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) = (U, V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is a harmonic vector of class S^p in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$, then from the inequality

$$M_p(U, y) \leq C y^{-a} \quad (5)$$

it follows that

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)| \leq B C y^{-B}, \quad (6)$$

where B and C are positive constants.

Proof. We first consider the case $(n-1)/n \leq p \leq 1$. Choose $C = 1$, $y_0 > 0$, and consider the harmonic vector $(\mathbf{x}, y) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y + y_0)$. According to (4), $(\mathbf{x}, y) = (W_0, W_1, \dots, W_n)$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}^1 \cap \mathbf{H}^2$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$. Let $G(\mathbf{t})$ be the Fourier transform of the boundary values $W_0(\mathbf{x})$ of the function $W_0(\mathbf{x}, y)$. Then

$$G(\mathbf{t}) e^{-y(\mathbf{t})} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_0(\mathbf{x}, y) e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{t}. \quad (7)$$

If $p = 1$, then from (4) and (5) it follows that

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y + y_0)| \leq \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} |G(\mathbf{t})| e^{-(y+y_0)|\mathbf{t}|} d\mathbf{t} \leq \frac{2}{(2\pi)^n} (y + y_0)^{-a} \int_{R^n} e^{-y_0|\mathbf{t}|} d\mathbf{t},$$

or $|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y + y_0)| = O((y + y_0)^{-a-n})$.

If $(n-1)/n \leq p < 1$, then from (7) and (5) we have

$$|G(\mathbf{t})| e^{-y|\mathbf{t}|} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} M^{1-p}(U, \eta) \eta^{-ap}, \quad \eta = y + y_0. \quad (8)$$

Let $\eta_1 = y_1 + y_0 > y + y_0 = \eta$. Then

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \eta)| \leq \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} M^{1-p}(U, \eta) \int_{R^n} e^{-(y_1-y)|\mathbf{t}|} d\mathbf{t}, \quad (9)$$

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \eta)| \leq K M^{1-p}(\mathbf{F}, \eta) \eta^{-ap} (\eta_1 - \eta)^{-n}, \quad K = \text{const.}$$

Using the results of the paper (2), from (9) we obtain

$$M(\mathbf{F}, y) \leq B y^{-B}.$$

Theorem 2. If a harmonic vector $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) = (U, V_1, \dots, V_n)$ of class S^p in $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}$, $p \geq (n-1)/n$, $a \geq 0$, $q > p$, then from

$$M_p(U, y) \leq C y^{-a} \quad (10)$$

it follows that

$$M_q(\mathbf{F}, y) \leq B C y^{-a-n/p+n/q}. \quad (11)$$

In particular, for $q = \infty$,

$$M(\mathbf{F}, y) \leq B C y^{-a-n/p}. \quad (12)$$

Here B, C are constants independent of y .

Proof. We shall first carry it out for $(n-1)/n \leq p \leq 1$. It suffices to prove (12). Put $\tau = 1/\eta_1$, $t = 2/\eta_1 = 1/\eta$, $h(\tau) = \ln(\eta_1^{a+n/p} M(\eta_1))$, $b = 1 - p$.

Then, using (9),

$$h(\tau) - b h(2\tau) \leq (a + n/p) \ln(\eta_1/\eta) + n \ln(\eta/(\eta_1 - \eta)) < B.$$

On the basis of (2), either $h(\tau)$ is bounded by a constant, and the theorem is true, or

$$\overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} h(\tau)/\ln \tau = \infty,$$

but the latter contradicts the lemma.

The case $p > 1$ is obtained with the aid of the following two theorems.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) = (U, V_1, \dots, V_n)$ be a harmonic vector of class $S^p(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+)$, $p > 1$. There exists a constant C_p , depending only on p , such that for every $y > 0$ the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)\|_p \leq C_p \|U(\mathbf{x}, y)\|_p$$

holds.

Theorem 4. If $p \geq (n-1)/n$, $a \geq 0$, $q > p$, and a harmonic vector $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)$ belongs to the class S^p in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$, then from the condition

$$M_p(\mathbf{F}, y) = O(y^{-a}) \quad (13)$$

it follows that

$$M_q(\mathbf{F}, y) = O(y^{-a-n/p+n/q}). \quad (14)$$

In particular, for $q = \infty$,

$$M(\mathbf{F}, y) = O(y^{-a-n/p}). \quad (15)$$

Proof for $(n-1)/n \leq p \leq 1$ follows from the part of the proof of Theorem 2 already carried out. Let $p > 1$ and take $\eta > 0$. In the half-space $\mathbf{R}^n \times (\eta, +\infty)$ the function $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) \in H^p$, and therefore, by (1), is representable by the Poisson-Lebesgue integral

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y) = \frac{1}{c_n} \int_{R^n} \frac{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t}, \eta)(y - \eta)}{(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{t}|^2 + (y - \eta)^2)^{(n+1)/2}} d\mathbf{t}, \quad c_n = \frac{\pi^{(n+1)/2}}{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}.$$

With the aid of Hölder's inequality we have

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)| \leq M_p(\mathbf{F}, \eta) \frac{1}{c_n} \left\{ \int_{R^n} \frac{(y - \eta)^{p'}}{(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{t}|^2 + (y - \eta)^2)^{(n+1)p'/2}} d\mathbf{t} \right\}^{1/p'},$$

where $p' = p/(p-1)$, and hence

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, y)| = O(y^{-a-n/p}).$$

To prove (11), we note on the basis of (10) that

$$M_q(\mathbf{F}, y) \leq M^{(q-p)/q}(\mathbf{F}, y) M_p^{p/q} \leq B y^{-a-n/p+n/q}.$$

Vladimir State Pedagogical Institute
named after P. I. Lebedev-Polyanskii

Received
24 X 1969

REFERENCES

- ¹ E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Acta Math.*, **103**, No. 1-2, 25 (1960).
- ² G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, *J. reine u. angew. Math.*, **167**, 405 (1932).
- ³ T. Kawata, *Japan. J. Math.*, **13**, No. 3, 421 (1936).
- ⁴ U. Kuran, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3), **16**, 478 (1966).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.