

On Topological Groups

P. Kenderov

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.20407>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.83

MATHEMATICS

P. KENDEROV

ON TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 12 III 1970)

Let X_1 be a subset of a topological space X . A system of sets $\{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, where $X_1 \subset \text{int } O_\alpha \subset X^*$, is called a character of the set X_1 in X , if for every open set $U \supset X_1$ there exists O_{α_0} ($\alpha_0 \in \Gamma$) such that $O_{\alpha_0} \subset U$. B. A. Pasynkov calls a topological group almost metrizable ⁽¹⁾ if it contains a bicom pactum of countable character.

Theorem.** *Let G be a separable topological group and let H be its Weil-complete almost metrizable normal subgroup. Then there exists a set $A \subset G$ such that $\pi(A) = G/H$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ is the natural projection, and the restriction $\pi/A : A \rightarrow G/H$ is a perfect mapping.*

Proof. Let $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a character in H of a bicom pact set $B \subset H$; we may assume that B contains the identity element e of the group G . Choose a system of neighborhoods $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of the identity so that: a) $U_{n+1}^2 \subset U_n$; b) $U_n^{-1} = U_n$; c) $U_n \cap H \subset O_n$. Put

$$L = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_i;$$

L is a closed subgroup of the group G , and therefore the subgroup

$$K = L \cap H = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_i \right) \cap H = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty (U_i \cap H) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty O_i = B$$

is bicom pact.

Lemma 1. *The system of sets $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, where $V_i = U_i \cap H$, is a character of the set K in H .*

The proof of this lemma is left to the reader.

We shall denote the space of left cosets of the group G modulo the subgroup L by G/L , and the natural mapping $x \mapsto xL$ by

$$\omega : G \rightarrow G/L.$$

Every element $s \in G$ defines a mapping $s : G/L \rightarrow G/L$ by the formula

$$s(\omega(x)) = \omega(sx)$$

(sometimes we shall omit the parentheses and write $s\omega(x)$). It can be proved that there exists a metric ρ on G/L with the following properties: 1) the mapping $\omega : G \rightarrow (G/L, \rho)$ is continuous; 2) for $s \in G$ and $z_1, z_2 \in G/L$,

$$\rho(sz_1, sz_2) = \rho(z_1, z_2);$$

3) $\{\omega(U_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a base of neighborhoods of the point $\omega(e)$ in $(G/L, \rho)$.

Lemma 2. $\omega(H)$ is a complete subspace of the metric space $(G/L, \rho)$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $\xi = \{A\}$ be any Cauchy filter in $\omega(H)$, and let η be an ultrafilter in H whose image $\omega(\eta)$ majorizes ξ . We shall prove that η is a Cauchy filter. Let V be a neighborhood of the identity in H ; there exists $U_{i_0} \in \{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $U_{i_0} \cap H \subset KV$. Since $\omega(U_{i_0+1})$ is a neighborhood of $\omega(e)$ in $(G/L, \rho)$, for some $h_0 \in H$ the set

$$h_0\omega(U_{i_0+1}) = \omega(h_0U_{i_0+1})$$

contains an element of the filter ξ . Consequently,

$$\omega^{-1}\omega(h_0U_{i_0+1}) \cap H = h_0U_{i_0+1}L \cap H \in \eta.$$

However

$$h_0U_{i_0+1}L \cap H \subset h_0U_{i_0+1} \cap H \subset h_0(U_{i_0} \cap H) \subset h_0KV,$$

and from the bicomactness of h_0K it follows that $(h_0K] \subset$

* $\text{int } O_\alpha$ is the interior of the set O_α .

** This theorem adjoins a result of B. A. Pasyukov ((²), Theorem 2).

$$\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p h_0k_iV, \quad \text{where } k_i \in K \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, p).$$

Hence,

$$h_0KV \subset \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^p h_0k_iV \right) \times V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p h_0k_iV^2 \in \eta.$$

The ultrafilter η must contain at least one term of the union

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^p h_0k_iV^2,$$

i.e. η is a Cauchy filter in H . Therefore it converges to some $h \in H$; $\omega(h)$ will be a point of contact of the Cauchy filter ξ , and hence ξ converges to $\omega(h)$. The lemma is proved. Since $\omega(xH) = x\omega(H)$, the sets $\omega(xH)$ are also complete in $(G/L, \rho)$.

Let us note that

$$G/L = \bigcup_{x \in G} \omega(xH) = \bigcup_{x \in G} x\omega(H).$$

Moreover, for $x_1, x_2 \in G$, either $x_1\omega(H) \cap x_2\omega(H) = \emptyset$, or $x_1\omega(H) \equiv x_2\omega(H)$. Indeed, if $x_1\omega(H) \cap x_2\omega(H) \neq \emptyset$, then $x_1HL \cap x_2HL \neq \emptyset$. Since $HL = LH$ is a subgroup of the group G (this follows from the normality of the group H), it follows that $x_1HL \equiv x_2HL$, i.e. $\omega(x_1H) = \omega(x_2H)$.

Denote by X the quotient set corresponding to the partition

$$\bigcup_{x \in G} \omega(xH),$$

and the quotient mapping $\omega(x) \mapsto \omega(xH)$ by

$$\lambda : G/L \rightarrow X.$$

For brevity put $\tilde{x} = \lambda\omega(x)$, and define on X the metric

$$d(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \inf\{\rho(h_1\omega(x_1), h_2\omega(x_2)) : h_i \in H\} = \inf\{\rho(\omega(x_1), h_1^{-1}h_2\omega(x_2)) : h_i \in H\} = \inf\{\rho(\omega(x_1), h\omega(x_2)) : h \in H\}.$$

Lemma 3. *The mapping $\lambda : (G/L, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is open.*

Proof of the lemma. Let O be an open set in $(G/L, \rho)$, and let $\lambda^{-1}\lambda(O) = O$. For $\omega(x) \in O$ the number

$$\varepsilon = \inf\{\rho(\omega(x), \omega(\tilde{x})) : \omega(\tilde{x}) \in G/L \setminus O\} > 0.$$

If $d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}') < \varepsilon$, then there exists $h' \in H$ such that

$$\rho(\omega(x), h'\omega(x')) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $h'\omega(x') \in O$, i.e. $\omega(x') \in O$. In other words, $\tilde{x}' \in \lambda(O)$. The openness of the mapping

$$\lambda : (G/L, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d)$$

now follows from the fact that the set

$$\lambda^{-1}\lambda(W) = HW = \bigcup_{h \in H} hW$$

is open whenever W is open. The lemma is proved.

As Michael proved ⁽⁴⁾, Theorem 1), there exists a set $A' \subset G/L$ such that $\lambda(A') = X$ and the mapping $\lambda|_{A'} : A' \rightarrow X$ is perfect. In what follows we shall see that the set $A = \omega^{-1}(A')$ satisfies all the conditions of the theorem.

Consider the group G/H . The subgroup $\pi(L)$ decomposes G/H into left cosets. Assigning to each element $y \in G/H$ the coset $y\pi(L)$, we obtain the quotient mapping

$$\mu : G/H \rightarrow Y,$$

where Y is the set of left cosets endowed with the quotient topology. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xrightarrow{\omega} & G/L & \rightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \pi & & \lambda & \uparrow v & \\ G/H & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Y & & \end{array}$$

Since the mapping $\mu\pi$ is quotient, there exists a continuous mapping

$$v : Y \rightarrow X$$

such that

$$\lambda\omega = v\mu\pi.$$

Lemma 4. *If an ultrafilter ξ in G is such that $\pi(\xi)$ converges to $\pi(x_1)$ and $\omega(\xi)$ converges to $\omega(x_2)$, then ξ converges to some $x_0 \in G$, with*

$$\pi(x_0) = \pi(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(x_0) = \omega(x_2).$$

Proof of the lemma.

$$\lambda\omega(x_2) = \lambda \lim \omega(\xi) = \lim \lambda\omega(\xi) = \lim v\mu\pi(\xi) = v\mu \lim \pi(\xi) = \lambda\omega(x_1),$$

i.e. $x_1 \in x_2HL$. Hence there exist $l \in L$ and $h \in H$ such that

$$x_1h = x_2l.$$

Put $x = x_1h = x_2l$. Then

$$x_1H \cap x_2L = xH \cap xL = x(H \cap L) = xK.$$

We shall prove that, for every neighborhood U of the identity of G , the set $xKU \in \xi$. To this end take a neighborhood $V \ni e$ such that

$$V^2 \subset U.$$

There exists a set $U_i \in \{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for which

$$U_i \cap H \subset KV.$$

Put

$$O = U_{i+1} \cap V.$$

The filter $\omega(\xi)$ converges to $\omega(x_2) =$

$\omega(x)$, and therefore there is a set $B_2 \in \xi$ whose image $\omega(B_2) \subset \omega(xU_{i+2})$. Similarly, there exists $B_1 \in \xi$ such that $\pi(B_1) \subset \pi(xO)$. Then $B_1 \cap B_2 \in \xi$, and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 \cap B_2 &\subset xOH \cap xU_{i+2}L = x(OH \cap U_{i+2}L) \subset \\
&\subset x(OH \cap U_{i+2}^2) \subset x(HO \cap U_{i+1}) \subset \\
&\subset x\{[(KVU(H \setminus KV))O] \cap U_{i+1}\} \subset \\
&\subset x[(KVOU(H \setminus KV)O) \cap U_{i+1}] \subset \\
&\subset x\{KV^2U[(H \setminus KV)U_{i+1} \cap U_{i+1}]\} \subset xKV^2 \subset xKU \in \xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ξ is an ultrafilter, it has at least one point of adherence $x_0 \in xK$. Then ξ converges to x_0 . The lemma is proved.

Let us return to the set $A = \omega^{-1}(A')$. If for $x \in G$ we have $xH \cap A = xH \cap \omega^{-1}(A') = \emptyset$, then $\omega(xH) \cap A' = \emptyset$, which is impossible, since $\lambda(A') = X$. This proves that $\pi(A) = G/H$. The perfectness of the mapping $\pi/A : A \rightarrow G/H$ will follow from the following criterion ([3], p. 156, Theorem 1): a continuous mapping $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$ of a separable topological space P onto a separable topological space Q is perfect if and only if every ultrafilter ξ in P whose image $\varphi(\xi)$ converges in Q converges in P . Let ξ be an ultrafilter in A for which $\lim \pi(\xi) = \pi(x)$. Then $\omega(\xi)$ is an ultrafilter in A' , and moreover

$$\lim \lambda\omega(\xi) = \lim \nu\mu\pi(\xi) = \nu\mu \lim \pi(\xi) = \nu\mu\pi(x).$$

Since the mapping $\lambda/A' : A' \rightarrow X$ is perfect, $\omega(\xi)$ converges in A' . Let $\lim \omega(\xi) = \omega(\bar{x}) \in A'$, and let $\hat{\xi}$ be some ultrafilter in G containing the system of sets ξ . The filter $\pi(\hat{\xi}) = \pi(\xi)$ converges in G/H to $\pi(x)$, while $\omega(\hat{\xi})$ converges in G/L to $\omega(\bar{x})$. From Lemma 4 it follows that the filter $\hat{\xi}$ converges in G to some $x_0 \in G$, with $\pi(x_0) = \pi(x)$ and $\omega(x_0) = \omega(\bar{x})$, i.e. $x_0 \in \omega^{-1}\omega(x_0) \subset A$. Then the filter ξ converges in A to x_0 . The theorem is proved.

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University

Received
27 II 1970

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Pasynkov, *DAN*, **161**, No. 2, 281 (1965).
2. B. A. Pasynkov, *DAN*, **188**, No. 2, 286 (1969).
3. N. Bourbaki, *General Topology (Basic Structures)*, "Nauka," 1969.
4. E. Michael, *Duke Math. J.*, **26**, No. 4, 647 (1959).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.