

ON NILPOTENT AND SUPERSOLVABLE SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

S. A. Chunikhin

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.05493>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 519.44

MATHEMATICS

Academician of the Academy of Sciences of the BSSR S. A. CHUNIKHIN

ON NILPOTENT AND SUPERSOLVABLE SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

§ 1. A finite group all of whose Sylow subgroups are invariant is called, as is known, special or nilpotent. In 1929, in the paper ⁽¹⁾, we obtained the following theorems:

I. *If for every primary divisor (definition below) $\delta > 1$ of the order of the commutator subgroup of a finite group of order g the condition $(\delta - 1, g) = 1$ is satisfied, then the group is special.*

II. *If for every primary divisor $\delta > 1$ of a natural number g the condition $(\delta - 1, g) = 1$ is satisfied, then all groups of order g are special.*

Thirty years later Theorem II was obtained again by G. Pazderski ⁽²⁾, to whom our paper ⁽¹⁾, apparently, remained unknown. The paper ⁽¹⁾ was continued by us in ^(3, 4). The basic arithmetical idea of ⁽¹⁾ and its continuations ^(3, 4), in combination with the main feature of our method of indices ⁽⁵⁾ and factorizations of groups ⁽⁹⁾ (to look for subgroups whose orders are products of the indices of certain series of subgroups), we now apply to the detection of nilpotent and supersolvable subgroups of a finite group. Let us note the simplicity of formulation of Theorem 2 given below.

§ 2. **Notation.** A primary divisor of a natural number is a divisor that is a power of a prime number (including 1); if F is a finite sequence of natural numbers, then $\overline{F}$, when F is nonempty, denotes the product of all elements of F , and when F is empty is equal to 1; $\mathfrak{G}$ is a finite group; $|\mathfrak{G}|$ is its order; k is the order of its commutator subgroup; $\mathfrak{E}$ is its identity subgroup; an invariant series of $\mathfrak{G}$ is a series of subgroups all of whose terms are invariant in $\mathfrak{G}$; a refinement of an invariant series R of the group $\mathfrak{G}$ (see ⁽⁵⁾)

$$R_f: \quad \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\beta-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\beta \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_\beta \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\beta+1} \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\beta+1} \supseteq \dots \mathfrak{G}_{\nu-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\nu \supseteq \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{E}$$

by means of subgroups $\mathfrak{F}_i$, $i = \beta, \dots, \nu$, we shall call an index series of $\mathfrak{G}$ if each $\mathfrak{F}_i$ satisfies the "conjugacy condition": every subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}_{i-1}$ conjugate to $\mathfrak{F}_i$ in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$ is already conjugate to $\mathfrak{F}_i$ in $\mathfrak{G}_{i-1}$; the subgroups $\mathfrak{F}_i$ will be called the factorial subgroups of the series R or of the series R_f ; if $|\mathfrak{F}_i/\mathfrak{G}_i| = f_i$, then

$f_\beta f_{\beta+1} \dots f_\nu = h$ will be called the index of the group $\mathfrak{G}$ or the index of the series R , and $\mathfrak{F}_i/\mathfrak{G}_i$ its factors; if $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$, then $\mathfrak{A}$ will be called the numerator and $\mathfrak{B}$ the denominator of the factor group $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$; a subgroup $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_i$, $\beta \leq n \leq \nu$, of $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$ will be called a nilpotent d -extension (a supersolvable d -extension) of $\mathfrak{F}_i$ in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$, if $\mathfrak{F}_i$ is invariant in $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_i/\mathfrak{F}_i$ is a nilpotent group (a supersolvable group) of order d ; Schmidt groups are minimal non-nilpotent groups ⁽¹⁰⁾; a maximal subgroup is, when $\mathfrak{G} \neq \mathfrak{E}$, a proper subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ (i.e. $\neq \mathfrak{G}$) that is not a proper subgroup of any proper subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ (and when $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{E}$, it is $\mathfrak{E}$ itself); $E(\mathfrak{G}, n, \mathfrak{A}, a)$ means that $\mathfrak{G}$ has a nilpotent subgroup $\mathfrak{A}$ and $|\mathfrak{A}| = a$; $E(\mathfrak{G}, n, a)$ means that $\mathfrak{G}$ has a nilpotent subgroup of order a .

§ 3. Definition 1. Let r and $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_m$ be natural numbers and let $H_i = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $H = H_m$ will be called a sequence of type rC , or an rC -sequence, if for every $i = 1, \dots, m$ and every primary divisor d_i of the number (δ_i, r) it follows from $d_i > 1$ that $(d_i - 1, \overline{H}_i) = 1$. For $m = 1$, an rC -sequence will be called an rC -number. In the notation rC -sequence and rC -number we shall agree to omit r when $r = \delta_1, \delta_2 \dots \delta_m$. The empty sequence will also be assigned to type rC .

Theorem 1. Let d be any natural number. If the kdC -sequence (the C -sequence) H is a subsequence of the sequence of all indices of some invariant series R of the group $\mathfrak{G}$, then $\mathfrak{G}$ has a nilpotent subgroup $\mathfrak{H}$ of order $\overline{H}$. If $(\overline{H}, k) = 1$, or if $\overline{H}$ is free of cubes of primes, then $\mathfrak{H}$ is abelian. If R is a principal series of $\mathfrak{G}$, then all terms H are primary numbers.

Proof. It is obvious that it suffices to prove the theorem for the case when H is a kC -sequence and the series R is principal. By hypothesis, each term h of H is a kC -number. Hence, taking into account that the order of the commutant of the corresponding factor group of the series R divides k , we conclude, by our theorem I, that this factor group will be a nilpotent group. But, as a factor of a principal series, it is elementary. Therefore its order h is a primary number. Now let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a counterexample of least order to the theorem being proved, and let H be that kC -sequence for which $\mathfrak{G}$ has no corresponding subgroup. Then $\overline{H} > 1$, i.e. $|\mathfrak{G}| > 1$. Let

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}_0 \supset \mathfrak{G}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{G}_\lambda = \mathfrak{E}$$

be the series R , and let $|\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}| = h_\lambda$. Of course, $h_\lambda > 1$.

- 1) $h_\lambda \in H$. The theorem is obviously true for $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ with respect to the kC -sequence $H \setminus \{h_\lambda\}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ contains a nilpotent subgroup $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ of order $\overline{H}/h_\lambda$. By the preceding, $h_\lambda = q^\omega$, $\omega > 0$, q a prime. If $\overline{H}/h_\lambda = 1$, then $H = \{h_\lambda\}$, and $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ will be the desired nilpotent subgroup. Let $\overline{H}/h_\lambda > 1$, and let p be an arbitrary prime divisor of the number $\overline{H}/h_\lambda$. Since $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ is a nilpotent group, its Sylow p -subgroup $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ of order p^α , $\alpha > 0$, is invariant in it. Then $\mathfrak{P}$ of order $p^\alpha q^\omega$ is invariant in $\mathfrak{N}$. Suppose $p \neq q$. If a Sylow p -subgroup from $\mathfrak{P}$ is invariant in it, then it will be an invariant Sylow p -subgroup of $\mathfrak{N}$. Suppose a Sylow

p -subgroup from $\mathfrak{P}$ is not invariant in it. Then, by theorem 3.2 from (1), it follows that $\mathfrak{P}$ contains a Schmidt p -nilpotent group $\mathfrak{S}$ of order $p^{\alpha_1} q^{\omega_1}$, $\alpha_1, \omega_1 > 0$. By lemma 1 from (1), q^{ω_1} is the order of the commutant of $\mathfrak{S}$, and therefore q^{ω_1} divides k . By hypothesis, $h_\lambda = q^\omega \in H$, and p divides $\overline{H}/h_\lambda$. Hence, taking into account that H is a kC -sequence, it follows that $q^x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $1 \leq x \leq \varphi_1$. But then the Sylow p -subgroup from $\mathfrak{S}$ is invariant in it, which is impossible. Suppose $p = q$. Then $\mathfrak{P}$ will be an invariant q -Sylow subgroup in $\mathfrak{N}$. Thus, $E(\mathfrak{S}, n, \mathfrak{N}, \overline{H})$. A contradiction.

- 2) $h \in H$. The theorem is obviously true for $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ with respect to the kC -sequence H . Therefore $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ contains a nilpotent subgroup $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ of order $\overline{H} > 1$. For $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$, one can obviously construct a principal series with a nondecreasing sequence of prime indices F , and moreover $\overline{F} = \overline{H}$.

2.1) There exists a maximal subgroup $\mathfrak{M}$ of the group $\mathfrak{N}$ not containing $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$. Then $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$. In view of $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1} \simeq \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ and $|\mathfrak{M}| < |\mathfrak{S}|$, the theorem is true for $\mathfrak{M}$ with respect to the above-mentioned kC -sequence F . Therefore $E(\mathfrak{M}, n, F)$, $\overline{F} = \overline{H}$. A contradiction.

2.2) $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ is contained in every maximal subgroup $\mathfrak{M}$ of the group $\mathfrak{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ will be a maximal subgroup of the group $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$. But $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ is a nilpotent group, and therefore $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda-1}$ is invariant in it. This means that all maximal subgroups $\mathfrak{M}$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ are invariant in it. Then ⁽⁶⁾ the group $\mathfrak{N}$ of order $\overline{H}h_\lambda$ is a nilpotent group, and therefore $E(\mathfrak{N}, n, \overline{H})$. A contradiction. The assertions about the abelianness of the subgroup of order $\overline{H}$ are obvious.

Theorem 2. If a nondecreasing sequence of prime numbers P is a subsequence of the sequence of all indices of some principal series of the group $\mathfrak{S}$, then $\mathfrak{S}$ has a nilpotent subgroup of order $\overline{P}$.

Proof. Apply theorem 1 to P .

§ 4. Let

$$R_i : \mathfrak{F}_i = \mathfrak{F}_i^{(0)} \supset \mathfrak{F}_i^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{F}_i^{(\nu_i)} = \mathfrak{S}_i, \quad i = \beta, \dots, \nu$$

be a series of invariant subgroups of the factorial subgroup $\mathfrak{F}_i$, and let Φ_i be some subsequence of the sequence of all factors of the invariant

of a series of subgroups R_i (Φ_i may, in particular, be empty). Let a_i also be the sequence of the orders of all elements of Φ_i . The numerators and denominators of all factor groups from Φ_i form a subsequence A_i of the sequence R_i . We shall call the sequence of subgroups A_i an insertion of type a_i of the group $\mathfrak{F}_i$. The numerator and denominator of each term of Φ_i will be called a diad from the insertion A_i . Let

$$A_i^* = A_\beta \cup A_{\beta+1} \cup \dots \cup A_i$$

and

$$a_i^* = a_\beta \cup a_{\beta+1} \cup \dots \cup a_i.$$

Then A_i^* will be called an insertion of type a_i^* (of the series R_f or of the group $\mathfrak{S}$). We shall regard a_i^* as given if, for $j = \beta, \dots, i$, the insertion A_i is specified

together with its division into diads. For $i = \nu$ put $A_\nu^* = A$ and $a_\nu^* = a$. A diad from any A_i will also be called a diad from A . For $i < \nu$ one may regard A_i^* as such an A for which $a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_\nu$ are empty.

Definition 2. The insertion A_i^* , $i = \beta, \dots, \nu$, will be called an r -insertion (a supersoluble insertion) if: 1) a_i^* is an rC -sequence (a sequence of prime numbers); 2) if $i > \beta$, then for $j = \beta + 1, \dots, i$ every subgroup from A_j is invariant in any nilpotent $\bar{a}_{j-1}^*$ -extension (supersoluble $\bar{a}_{j-1}^*$ -extension) of the subgroup $\mathfrak{F}_j$ in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$.

Definition 3. The type a_i^* of a kd -insertion (supersoluble insertion) A_i^* , $i = \beta, \dots, \nu$, will be called a nilpotent (supersoluble) sequence of index h of the group $\mathfrak{G}$ (d natural).

Of interest, because of its simplicity, is the case $kd = |\mathfrak{G}|$, which does not require knowledge of the number k .

An example of a nilpotent sequence a is obtained if, for $i = \beta, \dots, \nu$, all subgroups from A_i are characteristic in $\mathfrak{F}_i$, and a is a nondecreasing sequence of primes. Then a is a nilpotent sequence. Thus, if all factors of an indexial h are cyclic and, for every $i > \beta$, the greatest prime divisor of the number f_i is not greater than the least prime divisor of the number f_{i-1} , then $h = \bar{a}$. Such an indexial will be called cyclically nondecreasing.

§ 5. Theorem 3. *If a is a nilpotent supersoluble sequence of indexial h of the group $\mathfrak{G}$, then $\mathfrak{G}$ has a nilpotent supersoluble subgroup $\mathfrak{H} \leq \mathfrak{F}_\beta$ of order $\bar{a}$.*

Proof. We first prove the theorem for the case of nilpotent sequences. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a counterexample of least order to the theorem being proved. Then $\mathfrak{G}$ has no nilpotent subgroup of order $\bar{a}$, where a is the type of some kd -insertion A of some series of the form R_f . This means that $\bar{a} > 1$, whence also $|\mathfrak{G}| > 1$. Then in the series R there exists $\mathfrak{G}_\lambda = \mathfrak{G}$ with the least number λ . Therefore $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1} \neq \mathfrak{G}$ and $\bar{a}_{\lambda+1} = \bar{a}_{\lambda+2} = \dots = \bar{a}_\nu = 1$. For $\beta = \lambda$ we have $\bar{a} = \bar{a}_\beta$, where a_β is a kdC -sequence of certain indices of the invariant series A_λ of the group $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$. By Theorem 1, $E(\mathfrak{F}_\lambda, n, \bar{a})$. A contradiction. Hence $\beta < \lambda - 1$. Let

$$L: \quad \mathfrak{F}_\beta \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$$

be a section of the series R_f , refined by the r -insertion $A_{\lambda-1}^*$ of type $a_{\lambda-1}^*$ (r arbitrary). Factoring all terms of L by $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$, we obtain the series

$$M: \quad \mathfrak{F}_\beta / \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1} / \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}.$$

A direct verification shows that (a) is true: if the series L has an r -insertion of type $a_{\lambda-1}^*$, then M also has an r -insertion of the same type.

- a) $|\mathfrak{F}_\lambda| = 1$. Taking account of (a) for $r = kd$ and of the fact that the order of the commutator subgroup of $\mathfrak{F}_\beta / \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ divides k , and

$$|\mathfrak{F}_\beta / \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}| < |\mathfrak{G}|,$$

we see that the theorem for $\mathfrak{F}_\beta/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ is valid with respect to $a_{\lambda-1}^*$. Therefore

$$E(\mathfrak{F}_\beta/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}, n, \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}, \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^*).$$

But, since $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda = \mathfrak{G}$, $\bar{a}_\lambda = 1$. Hence $\bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^* = \bar{a}$, i.e.

$$|\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}| = \bar{a}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ has a chief series with a nondecreasing sequence of prime indices, i.e. $\mathfrak{R}$ has an invariant series passing through $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ and having, on the section from $\mathfrak{R}$ to $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$, a nondecreasing sequence of prime indices whose product is equal to $\bar{a}$. Hence, by Theorem 2, we conclude that $E(\mathfrak{R}, n, \bar{a})$. A contradiction.

- b) $|\mathfrak{F}_\lambda| > 1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ is invariant in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$. As in case a), we ascertain the existence of

$$E(\mathfrak{F}_\beta/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}, n, \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}, \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^*)$$

and of an invariant series

$$\mathfrak{R} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \mathfrak{G},$$

in which, from $\mathfrak{R}$ to $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$, all indices form a nondecreasing

sequence σ of prime numbers, with $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^*$. But then σ will also be the sequence of indices of the invariant series

$$\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\lambda/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$$

on the segment from $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$. Hence, by Theorem 2, we conclude that

$$E(\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda, n, \mathfrak{R}^*/\mathfrak{F}_\lambda, \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^*).$$

Then there exists an invariant series

$$S: \quad \mathfrak{R}^* \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \mathfrak{G}$$

with sequence of indices σ on the segment from $\mathfrak{R}^*$ to $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$. We refine the series S on the segment from $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{G}$ by the kd -insertion A_λ and obtain the series S^* . All subgroups from A_λ , according to 2) of Definition 2, will be invariant in $\mathfrak{R}^*$. Further, the sequence of those indices of the series A_λ which enter into a_λ will consist, according to Definition 1 and 1) of Definition 2, of the numbers δ_j with the following property: every prime divisor > 1 , d_j , of the number (δ_j, kd) is such that

$$(d_j - 1, \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^* \bar{a}_{\lambda j}) = 1,$$

where $a_{\lambda j}$ denotes the sequence of all members of a_λ which precede δ_j , and δ_j itself. But

$$\bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^* = \bar{\sigma}.$$

Then

$$(d_j - 1, \bar{\sigma} \bar{a}_{\lambda j}) = 1.$$

This shows that the sequence $\bar{H}$, composed of all indices of the series S^* on the segment from $\mathfrak{N}^*$ to $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ and of all indices of the series A_λ entering into a_λ , will be a kdC -sequence, and moreover

$$\bar{H} = \bar{\sigma} \bar{a}_\lambda = \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^* \bar{a}_\lambda = \bar{a}.$$

Applying Theorem 1, we see that

$$E(\mathfrak{N}^*, n, \bar{a}).$$

Contradiction.

- c) $|\mathfrak{F}_\lambda| > 1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ is not invariant in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the normalizer of $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$. Then ⁽⁷⁾

$$\mathfrak{F}_\beta = \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$$

and there exists an isomorphism φ of the group $\mathfrak{F}_\beta / \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}$ onto $\mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}$, where

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} = \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda-1}.$$

Applying φ to the terms of the series M , we obtain the series

$$N: \quad \mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_\lambda / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}.$$

Taking into account (a) for $r = kd$, we see that M has a kd -insertion of type $a_{\lambda-1}^*$. But then, obviously, N also has a kd -insertion of the same type, and k , obviously, divides the order of the commutator subgroup of $\mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ is not invariant in $\mathfrak{F}_\beta$, we have

$$|\mathfrak{B}| < |\mathfrak{G}|,$$

and the theorem holds for $\mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}$ with respect to $a_{\lambda-1}^*$. Therefore

$$E(\mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}, n, \mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}, a_{\lambda-1}^*).$$

Then there exists an invariant series

$$\mathfrak{N} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \mathfrak{E},$$

whose indices on the segment from $\mathfrak{N}$ to $\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1}$ form a nonincreasing sequence σ of prime numbers. But then σ will also be the sequence of indices of the invariant series

$$\mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_\lambda / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda$$

on the segment from $\mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{B}_{\lambda-1} / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda$. Hence, by Theorem 2, it follows that

$$E(\mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda, n, \mathfrak{N}^* / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda, \bar{a}_{\lambda-1}^*).$$

We can now apply to $\mathfrak{N}^* / \mathfrak{F}_\lambda$ the arguments of b), and, taking into account that $\mathfrak{N}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_\beta$, arrive at a contradiction. For the case where a is a

supersolvable sequence, it is necessary to repeat the preceding arguments, replacing throughout nilpotency by supersolvability; a kdC -sequence by a sequence of prime numbers; kdC -insertions by supersolvable insertions; a nonincreasing sequence of prime numbers by a sequence of prime numbers; references to Theorem 2 by references to the theorem of L. A. Shemetkov⁽⁸⁾ (corollary).

Theorem 4. *If h is a Chunikhin nonincreasing index of the group $\mathfrak{G}$, then $\mathfrak{G}$ has a nilpotent subgroup of order h .*

Proof. As was shown above, such an index

$$h = \bar{a},$$

where a is a nilpotent sequence. Consequently, Theorem 3 can be applied to it.

Theorems 3 and 4 are analogues of Theorems 1, 4, and 5 of ⁽⁷⁾. For Theorems 2, 3, and 5 of ⁽⁷⁾, corresponding analogues can also be indicated.

Gomel Laboratory of the Institute of Mathematics
Academy of Sciences of the BSSR
Gomel State University

Received
6 V 1970

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Chunikhin, *Mat. sborn.*, **36**, No. 2, 135 (1929).
2. G. Pazderski, *Arch. Math.*, **10**, 331 (1959).
3. S. A. Chunikhin, *Mat. sborn.*, **40**, No. 1, 39 (1933); **4** (46), No. 3, 521 (1938).
4. I. K. Chunikhin, S. A. Chunikhin, *Mat. sborn.*, **15** (57), No. 2, 325 (1944).
5. S. A. Chunikhin, *Matem. sborn.*, **55** (97), No. 2, 101 (1961); *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2), **45**, 79 (1965).
6. O. Yu. Schmidt, *Matem. sborn.*, **33**, 161 (1926).
7. S. A. Chunikhin, *DAN*, **177**, No. 5, 1026 (1967).
8. L. A. Shemetkov, *Dokl. AN BSSR*, **12**, 969 (1968).
9. S. A. Chunikhin, *Matem. sborn.*, **39** (81), No. 4, 465 (1956); **50** (92), No. 3, 383 (1960); *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2), **45**, 71 (1965).

10. O. Yu. Schmidt, *Matem. sborn.*, **31**, 366 (1924).

11. S. A. Chunikhin, *Matem. sborn.*, **62** (104), No. 1, 76 (1963).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.