

ON ISOMETRIC OPERATORS IN SPACES OF SUMMABLE ANALYTIC AND HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

A. I. Plotkin

1969

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-196901.96781>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.53

MATHEMATICS

A. I. PLOTKIN

ON ISOMETRIC OPERATORS IN SPACES OF SUMMABLE ANALYTIC AND HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 21 VIII 1968)

Let D be a bounded domain in the complex plane and let σ be plane Lebesgue measure in D . By $L_a^p(D)$ ($L_h^p(D)$) we denote the space of all analytic (respectively, complex-valued harmonic) functions f for which the norm

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_D |f|^p d\sigma \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite ($p \geq 1$), and by $B(D)$ the space of all analytic functions bounded in D , with the sup-norm.

The following theorem of Kakutani–Chevalley is known ⁽¹⁾:

Let D_1 and D_2 be domains having no AB -removable boundary points (a boundary point is called AB -removable if every function in $B(D)$ admits analytic continuation to some neighborhood of this point). If the algebras $B(D_1)$ and $B(D_2)$ are isomorphic, then the domains D_1 and D_2 are conformally equivalent.

The same conclusion follows from the isometry of the B -spaces $B(D_1)$ and $B(D_2)$ ⁽²⁾.

In the present note we prove analogues of the second of these two theorems for the spaces $L_a^p(D)$ and $L_h^p(D)$, and also for the spaces $E^p(D)$ of V. I. Smirnov ^(3, 4) (for the definition of $E^p(D)$ for a multiply connected domain see, for example, ⁽⁵⁾). It turns out that under natural conditions on the domains the isometry of the spaces $L_a^p(D_1)$ and $L_a^p(D_2)$ (or of the spaces $E^p(D_1)$ and $E^p(D_2)$), $p \neq 2$, entails the conformal equivalence of the domains D_1 and D_2 . The isometry of the spaces $L_h^p(D_1)$ and $L_h^p(D_2)$, however, entails (under somewhat more restrictive conditions on the domains) the congruence of D_1 and D_2 .

The basis for the proof of these results is a fairly general Theorem 1, which apparently is also of independent interest. The method used to prove this theorem is a development of the method first applied by Forelli ⁽⁶⁾ to describe the general form of isometric operators in the Hardy spaces H^p .

We note that all the theorems formulated below, with obvious changes in the formulations, are also valid for $0 < p < 1$.

1. Let (X_1, σ_1) and (X_2, σ_2) be two spaces with positive normalized measures, and let B_0 be a subalgebra with identity in $L^\infty(\sigma_1)$. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, and let T be a linear operator mapping B_0 into $L^p(\sigma_2)$.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $T1 = 1$, and assume that to each $f \in B_0$ there correspond two numbers a and δ , $\delta > 0$, such that for all z , $|z| < \delta$,

$$\int_{X_1} |1 + zf|^p d\sigma_1 = \int_{X_2} |1 + zTf|^p d\sigma_2 + a|z|^p.$$

Then: 1) $a = 0$ for all $f \in B_0$; 2) T maps B_0 into $L^\infty(\sigma_2)$; 3) T is multiplicative on B_0 ; 4) T is isometric in the metrics of the spaces L^{2k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and L^∞ .

Lemma 2. Let T be an isometric operator in the L^p -metrics, i.e.

$$\int_{X_1} |f|^p d\sigma_1 = \int_{X_2} |Tf|^p d\sigma_2$$

for all $f \in B_0$. Let $F = T1$, and let E be such a (measurable) set in X_2 that $F = 0$ σ_2 -almost everywhere outside E and $F \neq 0$ σ_2 -almost everywhere on E . Then for every $f \in B_0$, $Tf = 0$ σ_2 -almost everywhere outside E .

With the help of Lemmas 1 and 2 one proves the following.

Theorem 1. Let T be an isometric operator in the L^p -metrics ($p \neq 2$). Then T has the form

$$Tf = F\varphi(f),$$

where $F \in L^p(\sigma_2)$ ($F = T1$) and φ is a homomorphism of the algebra B_0 into $L^\infty(\sigma_2)$, isometric in the L^∞ -metrics.

Proof. Let $F = T1$, $d\sigma_3 = |F|^p d\sigma_2$, and let E be such a set as in Lemma 2. Put $T_1 f = Tf/F$ on E and $T_1 f = 0$ outside E . Then $T_1 1 = 1$ (σ_3 -almost everywhere), the measure σ_3 is normalized, and, as follows from Lemma 2, T_1 maps B_0 isometrically with the $L^p(\sigma_1)$ -metric into $L^p(\sigma_3)$. By Lemma 1, T_1 is a homomorphism of the algebra B_0 into $L^\infty(\sigma_3)$, isometric in the $L^\infty(\sigma_1)$ and $L^\infty(\sigma_3)$ metrics. But, again with the help of Lemma 2, it is easy to show that in this assertion one may replace σ_3 by σ_2 , as was required to prove.

2. **Theorem 2.** Let D_1 and D_2 be two bounded domains in the plane and $p \neq 2$. If the spaces $L_a^p(D_1)$ and $L_a^p(D_2)$ are isometrically isomorphic, then the B -algebras $B(D_1)$ and $B(D_2)$ are algebraically and isometrically isomorphic.

Theorem 3. Let the bounded domains D_1 and D_2 have no AB -removable boundary points. Then, if the spaces $L_a^p(D_1)$ and $L_a^p(D_2)$ ($p \neq 2$) are isometrically isomorphic, the domains D_1 and D_2 are conformally equivalent.

The **proof** follows from Theorem 2 and the Kakutani-Chevalley theorem formulated above.

Using the more complete formulation of the Kakutani-Chevalley theorem (see ⁽¹⁾) and narrowing the class of domains under consideration, one can also obtain the general form of isometric mappings of $L_a^p(D_1)$ onto $L_a^p(D_2)$.

Theorem 4. Let D_1 and D_2 be Jordan domains and let T be an isometric isomorphism of $L_a^p(D_1)$ onto $L_a^p(D_2)$. Then T has the form

$$Tf = b(\tau')^{2/p}f(\tau),$$

where b is a number, $|b| = 1$, and τ is a one-to-one conformal mapping of D_2 onto D_1 . Conversely, for any such b and τ , the formula written above defines an isometric isomorphism of $L_a^p(D_1)$ onto $L_a^p(D_2)$.

3. Let now D_1 and D_2 be finitely connected domains bounded by rectifiable Jordan curves. For the spaces $E^p(D_1)$ and $E^p(D_2)$, the analogues of the assertions of § 2 are valid. Namely, the following holds.

Theorem 5. Let T be an isometric isomorphism of $E^p(D_1)$ onto $E^p(D_2)$, $p \neq 2$. Then T has the form

$$Tf = b(\tau')^{1/p}f(\tau),$$

where b is a number, $|b| = 1$, and τ is a one-to-one conformal mapping of D_2 onto D_1 such that $(\tau')^{1/p}$ is a single-valued analytic function in D_2 . Conversely, for any such b and τ , the written formula defines an isometric isomorphism of $E^p(D_1)$ onto $E^p(D_2)$.

4. We pass to the consideration of the spaces $L_h^p(D)$.

Lemma 3. Let F be a harmonic function in the domain D , $F \neq 0$, and let g be twice continuously differentiable in D , $g \neq \text{const}$. Suppose that the function Fg^n , for whatever natural n , is harmonic

analytic in D . Then either both functions F and g are analytic, or both functions $\overline{F}$ and $\overline{g}$ are analytic.

In what follows we shall consider only domains D satisfying the following condition:

- (α). The linear span $B(D)$ and $\overline{B}(D)$ ($\overline{B}(D)$ is the set of functions complex-conjugate to functions from $B(D)$) is dense in $L_h^p(D)$.

We note that Jordan domains with sufficiently smooth boundary have property (α) .

Lemma 4. Let the domains D_1 and D_2 have property (α) , and let T be an isometric isomorphism of $L_h^p(D_1)$ onto $L_h^p(D_2)$ ($p \neq 2$). Then $T1 = \text{const}$.

Theorem 6. If the bounded domains D_1 and D_2 have the same area, have property (α) , and have no AB -removable boundary points, then an arbitrary isometric isomorphism T of $L_h^p(D_1)$ onto $L_h^p(D_2)$ has the form

$$Tf = bf(\tau),$$

where b is a number, $|b| = 1$, and τ is a congruent transformation of D_2 onto D_1 . Conversely, for any such b and τ , the formula written above defines an isometric isomorphism of $L_h^p(D_1)$ onto $L_h^p(D_2)$.

The author expresses his sincere gratitude to V. P. Khavin for posing the problem and for his attention to the work.

Leningrad State University
named after A. A. Zhdanov

Received
20 VI 1968

REFERENCES CITED

1. S. Kakutani, *Lect. func. compl. var.*, Ann. Arbor. Univ. Mich. Press (1955).
2. M. Nagasawa, Kōdai Math. Sem. Repts, 11, 4 (1959).
3. B. I. Smirnov, Zhurn. Leningrad. matem. obshch., 2 (1928).
4. V. I. Smirnov, Izv. AN SSSR, 337 (1932).
5. G. Ts. Tumarkin, S. Ya. Khavinson, UMN, 1 (1958).
6. F. Forelli, Canad. Math. J., 16, 4 (1964).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.