

TRIANGULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS WITH RESOLVENT OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

M. S. Brodskii, L. E. Isaev

1969

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196901.45032>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.88+517.948.35

MATHEMATICS

M. S. BRODSKII, L. E. ISAEV

TRIANGULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS WITH RESOLVENT OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 3 III 1969)

A bounded linear operator A , acting in a separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$, will be assigned to the class $\Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$ if:

- 1) the spectrum of the operator A is concentrated at zero;
- 2) $A_I = (A - A^*)/2i \geq 0$;
- 3) $(I - \lambda A)^{-1}$ is a function of exponential type. The type of growth of the resolvent $(I - \lambda A)^{-1}$ we shall agree to call the type of the operator A and denote by $\sigma(A)$. If $\sigma(A) = 0$, then $A = 0$ ⁽¹⁾.

It will be shown below that for every operator $A \in \Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$ there exists an orthogonal resolution of the identity $P(x)$ ($0 \leq x \leq \sigma(A)$) such that, in the sense of uniform convergence,

$$A = 2i \int_0^{\sigma(A)} P(x) A_I dP(x). \quad (1)$$

Representations of the form (1) are well known ^(2,3) for the class of all completely continuous operators satisfying condition 1). Operators of the class $\Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$, as is easy to see, are not all completely continuous.

1. We shall say that a function of the complex variable $W(\lambda)$, whose values are bounded linear operators acting in a separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G}$, belongs to the class $\Omega_{\mathfrak{G}}^{(\text{exp})}$ if: I) $W(\lambda)$ is an entire function of exponential type; II) $W^*(\lambda)W(\lambda) - I = 0$ ($\text{Im } \lambda = 0$); III) $W^*(\lambda)W(\lambda) - I \geq 0$ ($\text{Im } \lambda < 0$); IV) $W(0) = I$. The type of the function $W(\lambda)$ will be denoted by $\sigma(W)$.

For a given operator $A \in \Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$ one can, obviously, construct a bounded linear operator K , acting from some separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G}$ into $\mathfrak{H}$, so that the equality $KK^* = A_I$ holds. The collection

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} A & K \\ \mathfrak{H} & \mathfrak{G} \end{pmatrix}$$

is called an **exponential node**, and the operator-function

$$W_\theta(\lambda) = I + 2i\lambda K^*(I - \lambda A)^{-1}K \quad (2)$$

the **characteristic function** of the node θ . Direct verification shows that $W_\theta(\lambda) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{G}}^{(\text{exp})}$. Conversely, every function $W(\lambda) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{G}}^{(\text{exp})}$ is characteristic for some exponential node ⁽⁴⁾. If $W(\lambda)$ is the characteristic function of the exponential node

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & K \\ \mathfrak{H} & \mathfrak{G} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\sigma(W) = \sigma(A)$ ⁽⁴⁾.

Let

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} A & K \\ \mathfrak{H} & \mathfrak{G} \end{pmatrix}$$

be an exponential node and $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2$. Define in $\mathfrak{H}_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) the operator A_j , putting $A_{jh} = P_{jAh}$ ($h \in \mathfrak{H}_j$), where P_j is the orthoprojector onto $\mathfrak{H}_j$. If the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is invariant with respect to A , then

$$\theta_j = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & P_{jK} \\ \mathfrak{H}_j & \mathfrak{G} \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

are exponential nodes and the formula $W_\theta(\lambda) = W_{\theta_1}(\lambda)W_{\theta_2}(\lambda)$ holds ⁽⁴⁾. The node θ_j is called the projection of the node θ onto the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_j$.

2. We shall need the following properties of operators of the class $\Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$.

- a) If $A \in \Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$ and $0 < \sigma_0 < \sigma(A)$, then there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0$ invariant with respect to A such that the operator A_0 induced in it satisfies the condition $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma_0$.

Indeed, there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{H}_1$ invariant with respect to A , in which a unicellular operator A_1 is induced, whose type coincides with the type of the operator A ⁽¹⁾. From the unicellularity of the operator A_1 it follows, as was shown in ⁽⁴⁾, that it is completely continuous and has a nuclear imaginary component. By virtue of the criterion of unicellularity ⁽⁵⁾,

$$\sigma(A) = 2 \operatorname{sp}(A_1)_I.$$

It remains to note that the traces of the imaginary components of operators induced in invariant subspaces of the operator A_1 take all values from 0 to $\operatorname{sp}(A_1)_I$ ⁽⁶⁾.

b) If $A \in \Lambda^{(\exp)}$, then $\|A\| \leq \sigma(A)$.

Let us suppose first that the operator A is unicellular. Then it is completely continuous ⁽⁴⁾ and is representable in the form (1). Let

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = \sigma(A)$$

be some partition of the segment $[0, \sigma(A)]$. Consider an orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha\}_1^\infty$, consisting of eigenvectors of the operator A_I , and denote by ω_α the eigenvalues corresponding to the vectors e_α . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n P(x_j) A_I \Delta P_j f, g \right) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^\infty \omega_\alpha |(\Delta P_j f, e_\alpha)| |(P(x_j) e_\alpha, g)| \leq \\ & \leq \|g\| \sum_{\alpha=1}^\infty \omega_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n |(\Delta P_j f, e_\alpha)| \leq \|f\| \|g\| \operatorname{sp} A_I \quad (\Delta P_j = P(x_j) - P(x_{j-1})), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|A\| \leq 2 \operatorname{sp} A_I (= \sigma(A)).$$

In the case when A is not unicellular, for an arbitrary vector $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ we construct the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_f$, which is the closure of the linear span of the vectors $A^n f$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). The operator A_f , induced in the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_f$, is unicellular ⁽⁷⁾. Consequently, $\|A_f\| \leq \sigma(A_f)$, and since $\sigma(A_f) \leq \sigma(A)$, then

$$\|A f\| = \|A_f f\| \leq \sigma(A) \|f\| \quad (f \in \mathfrak{H}),$$

and hence $\|A\| \leq \sigma(A)$.

3. Let $A \in \Lambda^{(\exp)}$ and let $\mathfrak{H}_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$) be all possible subspaces invariant with respect to A , in which operators are induced whose types do not exceed some fixed value $x \in (0, \sigma(A)]$.

Embed the operator A in the node

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} A & K \\ \mathfrak{H} & \mathfrak{G} \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote by θ_γ and θ_x the projections of the node θ onto $\mathfrak{H}_\gamma$ and onto the closure $\mathfrak{H}_x$ of the linear span of the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_\gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$). According to one of the theorems of ⁽⁸⁾,

$$\sigma(W_{\theta_x}) = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(W_{\theta_\gamma}).$$

Denoting by A_x the operator induced in $\mathfrak{H}_x$, we obtain

$$\sigma(A_x) = \sigma(W_{\theta_x}) = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(A_\gamma) = x.$$

Lemma. If P_Δ is the orthoprojector onto the subspace

$$\mathfrak{H}_\Delta = \mathfrak{H}_{x_2} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{x_1},$$

($0 < x_1 < x_2 \leq \sigma(A)$) and $A_\Delta f = P_\Delta A f$ ($f \in \mathfrak{H}_\Delta$), then $\sigma(A_\Delta) = x_2 - x_1$.

Proof. Denoting by θ_Δ the projection of the node θ_{x_2} onto $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta$, we obtain

$$W_{\theta_2}(\lambda) = W_{\theta_1}(\lambda)W_{\theta_\Delta}(\lambda).$$

Consequently,

$$\sigma(W_{\theta_2}) \leq \sigma(W_{\theta_1}) + \sigma(W_{\theta_\Delta}),$$

so that

$$\sigma(A_\Delta) \geq x_2 - x_1.$$

Fix a vector $f \in \mathfrak{H}_\Delta$ and consider the operator A_f induced in $\mathfrak{H}_f$. Obviously,

$$x_1 < \sigma(A_f) \leq x_2.$$

Let P_f be the orthoprojector onto the subspace

$$\mathfrak{G}_f = \mathfrak{H}_f \ominus (\mathfrak{H}_f \cap \mathfrak{H}_{x_1}),$$

and

$$B_f h = P_f A_f h \quad (h \in \mathfrak{G}_f).$$

Since the operator induced in $\mathfrak{H}_f \cap \mathfrak{H}_{x_1}$ has type x_1 , it follows that

$$\text{sp}(B_f)_I \leq \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - x_1).$$

Introduce the operators T and C_f , of which the first assigns to each vector of $\mathfrak{H}_f$ its orthogonal projection onto $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta$, while the second is induced by the operator A_Δ in the subspace

$$\mathfrak{K}_f = T\mathfrak{H}_f$$

invariant for it. The operator

$$A_\Delta T (= T A_f)$$

is completely continuous. Consequently, there exist orthonormal-

orthonormal sequences $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_1^\nu$ ($\nu \leq \infty$) and $\{\psi_\alpha\}_1^\nu$, belonging respectively to the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_f$ and $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta$, such that $A_\Delta T h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^\nu (h, \varphi_\alpha) \omega_\alpha \psi_\alpha$ ($h \in \mathfrak{H}_f$, $\omega_\alpha > 0$). It is not hard to see that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_1^\nu$ and $\{\psi_\alpha\}_1^\nu$ are bases of the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_f$ and $\mathfrak{K}_f$. From the equalities

$$(A_f \varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha) = \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^\nu (A_f \varphi_\alpha, \varphi_\beta) \omega_\beta \psi_\alpha \right) = \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} (A_\Delta T A_f \varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) = (A_\Delta \psi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sp}(C_f)_I &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} ((C_f)_I \psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} ((A_{\Delta})_I \psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} ((A_f)_I \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}) = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} ((B_f)_I \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - x_1). \end{aligned}$$

For every operator $A \in \Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$ the inequality $\sigma(A) \leq 2 \text{sp} A_I$ holds. Consequently, $\sigma(C_f) \leq x_2 - x_1$. Since $\mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}$ is the closure of the linear span of all subspaces $\mathfrak{R}_f$ ($f \in \mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}$), it follows that $\sigma(A_{\Delta}) \leq x_2 - x_1$.

The lemma is proved. Denote by $P(x)$ ($0 < x \leq \sigma(A)$) the orthogonal projector onto $\mathfrak{H}_x$, and put $P(0) = 0$. We shall call the function $P(x)$ ($0 \leq x \leq \sigma(A)$) the extremal spectral function of the operator A . It is easy to show that $P(x)$ is continuous on the interval $(0, \sigma(A)]$. If A is a completely non-self-adjoint operator ⁽⁴⁾, then it is also continuous at the point 0.

Theorem 1. *An operator $A \in \Lambda^{(\text{exp})}$ admits a norm-convergent triangular representation (1), where $P(x)$ is its extremal spectral function.*

Proof. It is enough to show ⁽²⁾ that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, if the partition $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = \sigma(A)$ satisfies the condition $x_j - x_{j-1} < \delta$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta P_j A \Delta P_j \right\| < \varepsilon$$

($\Delta P_j = P(x_j) - P(x_{j-1})$). Put $\delta = \varepsilon$. Using assertion b) and the lemma, we obtain:

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta P_j A \Delta P_j \right\| = \max_j \|\Delta P_j A \Delta P_j\| \leq \max_j \sigma(\Delta P_j A \Delta P_j) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 2. *If $W(\lambda) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^{(\text{exp})}$, then in the sense of uniform convergence*

$$W(\lambda) = \int_0^{\sigma(W)} e^{i\lambda x} dF(x),$$

where $F(x)$ is a strictly increasing operator-function satisfying the condition

$$\|F(x') - F(x'')\| \leq |x' - x''|.$$

Proof follows from formula (1) with the aid of arguments analogous to those given in work ⁽⁹⁾.

Theorem 2 is a special case of a more general assertion obtained by Yu. P. Ginzburg ⁽¹⁰⁾ by a purely analytic method.

Odessa State Pedagogical Institute
named after K. D. Ushinsky

Received
26 II 1969

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. S. Brodskii, *Triangular and Jordan Representations of Linear Operators*, "Nauka," 1969.
2. M. S. Brodskii, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **16**, no. 1 (97), 135 (1961).
3. I. Ts. Gokhberg, M. G. Krein, *Theory of Volterra Operators in Hilbert Space and Its Applications*, "Nauka," 1967.
4. L. E. Isaev, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **178**, no. 4, 783 (1968).
5. M. S. Brodskii, G. E. Kisilevskii, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **30**, no. 6, 1213 (1966).
6. M. S. Brodskii, *Scientific Notes of the Odessa State Pedagogical Institute*, **24**, issue 1, 3 (1959).
7. G. E. Kisilevskii, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **173**, no. 5, 1006 (1967).
8. M. S. Brodskii, *Mathematical Investigations*, Kishinev, **3**, issue 1 (7), 3 (1968).
9. M. S. Brodskii, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **138**, no. 4, 751 (1961).
10. Yu. P. Ginzburg, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **170**, no. 1, 23 (1966).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.