

STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF SOME DEFINING FUNCTIONALS

E. V. Voronovskaya

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.91336>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.51

MATHEMATICS

E. V. VORONOVSKAYA

STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF SOME DEFINING FUNCTIONALS

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 24 VII 1967)

It was proved in ⁽¹⁾ that a segment-functional of the form

$$(\mu_i)_0^n = 0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1_s, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_l \quad (s + l = n; s > n/2 + 1) \quad (*_s^+)$$

determines, for the variables (θ_i) in a bounded l -dimensional domain, all extremal polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}$ of class II $[0, s, 0]$, and only them.

Since at each point $(\theta_i)_1^l$ of the infinite space the segment $(*_s^+)$ is also served by a unique extremal polynomial (only of another passport) ⁽¹⁾, it is of interest to determine the capacity of such service in view of the fact that segments of type $(*_s^+)$ are convenient for the analytic construction of extremal polynomials ⁽¹⁾, and also to generalize the form $(*_s^+)$.

Recall the general criterion of extremality ⁽¹⁾: $Q_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i x^i$ serves $(\mu_i)_0^n$ if and only if it is reduced, i.e.

$$\max_{[0,1]} |Q_n(x)| = 1,$$

and, for its distribution σ_i^+ (i.e. for the points $0 \leq \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_s \leq 1$, where $Q_n \sigma^+ = +1$; $Q_n(\sigma) = -1$), the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i \sigma_i^k = \mu_k \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (V)$$

gives, for the loads (δ_i) , solutions with $\text{sgn } \delta_i = Q_n(\sigma_i)$ or $\delta_i = 0$. If $\delta_k \neq 0$, we shall call σ_k an active node of $Q_n(x)$. The totality of active nodes forms a subdivision, or selection, for $Q_n(x)$ and is the true (unique) distribution of the segment-functional $(\mu_i)_0^n$. The whole system (V) is compatible.

Introduce the following abbreviated notation. If some segment (a) is served by the polynomial $Q_n(x)$, then the segment multiplied termwise by $\alpha > 0$, i.e. $\alpha \cdot (a)$, is also served. If another segment (b) has the same $Q_n(x)$, then the segment

$$(c) = \frac{\alpha \cdot (a) + \beta \cdot (b)}{\alpha + \beta}$$

also has it ($\alpha > 0$; $\beta > 0$); moreover, if (a) and (b) have the form $(*_s^+)$, then so does (c) . If (δ'_i) and (δ''_i) are the loads of (a) and (b) , then the loads of (c) are

$$\frac{\alpha \cdot \delta'_i + \beta \cdot \delta''_i}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

Hence follows

Remark 1. The domain of service of $(*_s^+)$ by a certain selection $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{s_1}$ of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$ forms a simply connected (convex) set.

In what follows we shall consider segments $(\nu_i)_0^n$ in which s ($1 \leq s \leq n$) parameters at any prescribed places are equal to zero. Among them there is always $\nu_0 = 0$. The remaining parameters $(\theta_k)_{i=1}^{i+n-s}$ are variable, with the exception of $\theta_{k_1} = 1$ or -1 ($k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{n+1-s}$). We shall denote such segments by $\nu_{(s)}^+$ and $\nu_{(s)}^-$, respectively. Separating out all their constant parameters, we obtain the following “bases” :

$$0_0, 0_{l_1}, \dots, 1_{k_1}, \dots, 0_{l_{s-1}}, \quad (1^+)$$

$$0_0, 0_{l_1}, \dots, -1_{k_1}, \dots, 0_{l_{s-1}} \quad (k_1 \leq s). \quad (1^-)$$

Remark 2. The bases $(1\pm)$ require that the number s_1 of nodes in the segments $(\nu_{(s)}^\pm)$ be $s_1 \geq s + 1$; when $s_1 = s + 1$, the corresponding loads $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^{s_1}$ give alternants of the form $\dots + - +$ for $\nu_{(s)}^+$ and $(\dots - + -)$ for $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$. This is obvious if, from system (V), one selects $s + 1$ equations with right-hand side corresponding, taking account of the numbering, to the numbers $(1\pm)$. The determinant of the selected system is > 0 .

Theorem 1. Let $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ be served at the points $(\theta_{k_i}^{(0)})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ by a sample $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{s_1}$ of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$, with all active nodes and with loads $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^{s_1}$, where $s + 1 \leq s_1 < S$ (the total number of nodes of $Q_n(x)$). Then, when any σ^* from $Q_n(x)$ is added to the sample, there will always be found a point $(\theta_{k_i}^{(1)})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ at which $\nu_{(s)}^+$ is served by the entire sample supplemented by the node σ^* .

Indeed, extend the basis $(1+)$ by zeros, $s_1 - s$ in number, at arbitrary free numbers; we obtain an incomplete segment $(^1)$ with $s_1 + 1$ parameters (zeros and ones). Decompose it with respect to the nodes $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{s_1}$ with the adjoined σ^*

(it remains unnumbered). We obtain loads $(\Delta_i)_{11}^{s_1}$ and Δ^* for (σ^*) , of alternating signs in the order of increase of all σ , according to Remark 2. Next we fill this segment structurally by these nodes. This means: construct the segment $(\varepsilon_i)_0^n$, in which the same extended basis is present, and at any free place with number p put

$$\varepsilon_p = \sum_{i=1}^s \Delta_i \sigma_i^p + \Delta^* \sigma^{*p}.$$

Compare $(\Delta_i)_{11}^{s_1}$ and $(\delta_i)_{11}^{s_1}$. There are no zeros among them. Find $a_i > 0$ such that $a_i |\Delta_i| = |\delta_i|$. Choose $0 < \alpha < \min(a_i)$; then $\alpha |\Delta_i| < |\delta_i|$. If $\text{sgn } \Delta^* = Q_n(\sigma^*)$, construct the segment

$$\frac{\nu_s^+ + \alpha(\varepsilon_i)_0^n}{1 + \alpha}$$

with the same basis as (ν_s^+) , and with loads

$$\frac{\alpha \Delta_i + \delta_i}{1 + \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha \Delta^*}{1 + \alpha}.$$

Since $\text{sgn}(\alpha \Delta_i + \delta_i) = \text{sgn } \delta_i$, $Q_n(x)$ is its extremal. If $\text{sgn } \Delta^* = -Q_n(\sigma^*)$, require additionally in the choice of α that $\alpha < 1$, and construct the segment

$$\frac{\nu_{(s)}^+ - \alpha(\varepsilon_i)_0^n}{1 - \alpha}$$

also with the same basis and with loads

$$\frac{\delta_i - \alpha \Delta_i}{1 - \alpha}$$

and

$$-\frac{\alpha \Delta^*}{1 - \alpha},$$

i.e. $Q_n(x)$ is its extremal.

Corollary. There will always be found points $(\theta_{k_i})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ at which $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ is served by the original sample of nodes of $Q_n(x)$ with the addition of any number of nodes of $Q_n(x)$ not included in it.

Remark 3. A sample $(\sigma_i)_{11}^{s_1}$ containing exactly $q = s$ alternants contains, in any $s + 1$ of its points with a continuous alternant, only either a (+)-alternant or a (−)-alternant. If, however, in the sample $q > s$, then it contains both $s + 1$ points with a (+)-alternant and $s + 1$ points with a (−)-alternant.

Remark 4. Every sample of nodes of $Q_n(x)$ consisting of $s + 1$ points with a (+)-alternant serves only either $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ or $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$, and moreover at only one point. Let $(\sigma_i)_{11}^{s+1}$ be this sample. The basis $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$, when decomposed with respect to these nodes, gives loads (δ_i) , $\text{sgn } \delta_{s+1} = (-1)^{s+k}$, and the structural completion of the basis with respect to these nodes is unique.

Theorem 2. A subsample $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$, where $s+1 \leq s_1 \leq S$, has the following properties: 1) if the number of alternants $q = s$, then the sample serves one and only one of the two segments of the form $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ or $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$; 2) if $q < s$, the sample serves neither the one nor the other.

The proof is by induction.

Let $s_1 = s + 1$. According to Remark 4, under the condition of a continuous alternant the sample serves one and only one of the segments of the form

$(\nu_{(s)}^\pm)$ (and only at one point). Suppose the theorem has been proved for any sample with number of nodes $\leq s_1$; we shall prove its validity for $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1+1}$ with number of alternations $q \leq s_1$.

1. Let $q = s_1$, and, for definiteness, suppose that this is a (+)-alternation (see Remark 3). Then one of the two intervals $(\nu_{(s)}^\pm)$, at some point $(\theta_{k_i}^1)_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$, in accordance with Theorem 1, is served by the sample $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1+1}$ with all active nodes and with loads (δ'_i) . Let this be an interval of the form $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$. Suppose now that $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1+1}$ also serves $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$ at some point $(\theta'_{k_i})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ with all active nodes and loads (δ''_i) . Then one must have $\text{sgn } \delta'_i = \text{sgn } \delta''_i$. In the equalities $a_i \delta'_i = \delta''_i$ ($a_i > 0$), take $\alpha = \min(a_i) = a_p$; then $\alpha \delta'_p = \delta''_p$ and $\alpha |\delta'_i| < |\delta''_i|$ for $i \neq p$. Form the interval

$$(\mu_i)_0^n = \frac{(v_{(s)}^-) - \alpha_p (v_{(s)}^+)}{1 + \alpha},$$

it has the nodes (σ_i) for $i \neq p$, with loads

$$\frac{\delta''_i - \alpha_p \delta'_i}{1 + \alpha}$$

in number $\leq s_1$, while the number of alternations $q' \leq s$. By the induction hypotheses, $q' < s$ is impossible. If, however, $q' = s$, then a (+)-alternation has been preserved, and then $(\mu_i)_0^n$ of type $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$ is served by the same sample as is $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$; this too is impossible by the induction hypothesis.

2. Let in $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1+1}$ we have $q < s$. Suppose that at the point $(\theta_{k_i}^{(0)})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ the sample serves $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$. From the general system (V), which gives the structural decomposition of $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ by the nodes $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1+1}$, choose $s_1 + 1$ equations with $s_1 + 1$ unknowns (Δ_i) . In this system take all $s+1$ equations with right-hand side equal to the $s+1$ basis parameters. We shall vary one of the parameters $\theta_l^{(0)}$ of this system by setting $\theta_l^{(0)} = \theta$. Then all Δ_i become linear functions of θ . Let us find, closest to $\theta_l^{(0)} = \theta$, a value of θ at which at least one of the loads Δ_i becomes zero (the others retain their initial signs). Let this occur at $\theta = \theta^*$. Then the interval $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$, in which

$\theta_i^{(0)}$ is replaced by θ^* , is served by $(\sigma_i)^\pm$ with one (at least) node removed, which does not increase the number of alternations; this is impossible by the induction hypothesis. Theorem 2 is proved.

For simplicity of the subsequent exposition, we shall consider only special intervals of the form $0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, \pm 1_s, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-s} = (\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$. All results will also be valid in the general case. We shall compare $(\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$, $(\mu_{(s+1)}^\pm)$, and $(\mu_{(s-1)}^\pm)$. If in $(\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$ the (θ_i) are variables and range over a domain of the form $\theta_i = \lambda\theta'_i + \theta''_i$, where $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $\theta'_i \neq 0$, we shall call such a domain a ray (the simplest cone).

Theorem 3. If $Q_n(x)$ has a subdistribution $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{\pm, s_1}$ containing a number of alternations $q \geq s+1$, then this sample serves an interval of the form $(\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$ in an infinite domain of the (θ_i) of ray type.

Take from the sample two groups of $s+1$ points (they may have common nodes), one forming a (+)-alternation, the other a (-)-alternation. Find the decompositions of the two bases $0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, \pm 1$ respectively by these groups of points and, respectively, continue them in a structural way. We have:

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1, \theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_{n-s}; \quad (2)$$

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, -1, \theta_1^{(2)}, \dots, \theta_{n-s}^{(2)}; \quad (3)$$

$Q_n(x)$ is extremal for (2) and for (3). On the basis of Theorem 2 the original subdistribution also serves some interval

$$0_0, \dots, 0_s, 1_{s+1}, \theta_2^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_{n-s}^{(0)}. \quad (4)$$

Compose $\lambda(4) + (2)$ (or $\lambda \cdot (4) + (3)$), where $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary. We obtain

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1, \lambda + \theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda\theta_{n-s}^{(0)} + \theta_{n-s}^{(1)},$$

and the required ray has been found, if all $\theta_i^0 \neq 0$; but this can always be achieved by a small change of the parameters in the system of equations (V).

Corollary. If $Q_n(x)$ serves (μ_s^+) in a finite domain (θ_i) , then it does not serve at all a segment of the form $(\mu_{(s+1)}^+)$ (i.e. one in which the number of alternations is $q = s$).

Theorem 4. If a sample $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{s_1}$ serves the segment $(\mu_{(s)}^+)$ in an infinite domain, then this domain contains a ray.

Let us note that for $s_1 = s+1$ the sample can serve $(\mu_{(s)}^+)$ only at one point. Let $s_1 = s+2$, and let the sample serve $(\mu_{(s)}^+)$ in an infinite (one-dimensional!) domain. At any point of this domain the loads $(\Delta_i)_{i=1}^{s+2}$ are determined from the

first $s+2$ equations (V), and therefore are linear functions of one parameter θ_1 , i.e. $\Delta_i = \alpha_i \theta_i + \beta_i$ ($\alpha_i \neq 0$); the remaining

$$\theta_k = \sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \Delta_i \sigma_i^{k+s} = \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \alpha_i \sigma_i^{s+k} + \sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \beta_i \sigma_i^{s+k}.$$

Consequently, θ_1 assumes arbitrarily large values (according to what was noted above, one may assume $\theta_k \neq 0$). Then finally we obtain a functional of the form

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1_s, \theta_1, \gamma_2 \theta_1 + \beta_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-s} \theta_1 + \beta_{n-s}, \quad \gamma_i \neq 0, \quad (5)$$

i.e. a ray. These conclusions remain valid also for $s_1 > s+2$, since the domain (θ_i) served by a poorer subdistribution $Q_n(x)$ is a boundary domain for the domain served by an enriched subdistribution (see Theorem 1).

Corollary 1. The sample of Theorem 4 also serves $(\mu_{(s+1)})$.

Indeed, divide (5) by $|\theta_1|$, and in the limit as $\theta_1 \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 0_s, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-s}.$$

The mentioned sample, serving $(\mu_{(s+1)})$, must contain not fewer than $s+1$ alternations.

Corollary 2. A subdistribution $Q_n(x)$ containing the number of alternations $q_{\max} = s$ serves $(\mu_{(s)})$ in a finite domain, since otherwise there would, in the contrary case, be a ray in this domain, and then the subdistribution would serve $(\mu_{(s+1)})$, which is impossible.

Remark. The theorems proved extend in a completely analogous way to the case when the initial segments $(\mu_i)_0^n$ contain S zeros arranged arbitrarily, under the condition $\mu_0 = 0$.

Finally, let us note an application of the proved theorems to the problem of V. A. Markov ⁽²⁾ on finding a polynomial $Y_n(x)$ least deviating from 0 on $[0, 1]$ among those whose coefficients (y_i) are connected by the relation

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_i y_i = A \quad (A \neq 0). \quad (6)$$

Since this problem is identical to the problem of finding the extremal polynomial $Q_n(x)$ of the segment-functional $(\mu_i)_0^n$ ⁽¹⁾, we immediately obtain:

1. Whatever the relation (6), containing l coefficients (but not containing y_0), $Y_n(x)$ has in its active distribution not fewer than $n+1-l$ alternations.
2. If, in addition, (6) contains $l < n/2$ coefficients, then the solution of Markov's problem is unique.

Leningrad Electrotechnical
Institute of Communications

Received
24 V 1967

CITED LITERATURE

1. E. V. Voronovskaya, *The Method of Functionals and Its Applications*, Leningrad, 1963.
2. V. A. Markov, *On Functions Least Deviating from Zero*, 1892.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.