

ON OPTIMAL SUMMATION OF FOURIER SERIES WITH APPROXIMATE COEFFICIENTS

V. Ya. Arsenin

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.83822>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.522.3

MATHEMATICS

V. Ya. ARSENIN

ON OPTIMAL SUMMATION OF FOURIER SERIES WITH APPROXIMATE COEFFICIENTS

(Presented by Academician A. N. Tikhonov on 28 III 1968)

In paper ⁽¹⁾, following the regularization method developed in ⁽²⁾, a solution is given to the problem of finding an approximate value at a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ of a function $f(x)$, defined on the interval $[a, b]$, from the approximate values (in the l_2 metric) of its Fourier coefficients $\{c_n\}$ with respect to the orthonormal system of eigenfunctions $\{u_n(x)\}$ of the boundary-value problem:

$$u'' - q^2(x)u + \lambda u = 0, \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0, \quad 0 \leq q^2(x) \leq M. \quad (*)$$

Let a_n be the exact values of the Fourier coefficients of the function $f(x)$, and let γ_n be their errors such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2 \leq \delta^2.$$

Then $c_n = a_n + \gamma_n$. As the approximate value of the function $f(x)$, in ⁽¹⁾ one takes the sum of the series

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n, \alpha) c_n u_n(x)$$

with regularizing factors $r(n, \alpha) = 1/(1 + \alpha \lambda_n)$, where λ_n are the eigenvalues of the boundary-value problem (*), and α is the regularization parameter ($\alpha > 0$).

A. N. Tikhonov posed the problem of finding an optimal, in some sense, summation method, i.e. of choosing the regularizing factors $r(n, \alpha)$. In the present article, a solution of this problem is given in various formulations close to Wiener's ⁽³⁾ and to the formulations contained in ⁽⁴⁻⁸⁾.

Let, in a finite closed domain $\bar{D}$ of n -dimensional Euclidean space R_n , there be given a complete orthonormal (with weight $\rho(x) > 0$) system of functions

$\{u_n(x)\}$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and a continuous function $\hat{f}(x)$ in $\bar{D}$, representable by its Fourier series with respect to the system $\{u_n(x)\}$,

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x), \quad \text{where} \quad a_n = \int_D \rho(x) \hat{f}(x) u_n(x) dx.$$

Suppose that we know approximate values of the Fourier coefficients of this function $\hat{f}(x)$, $c_n = a_n + \gamma_n$, with small (in l_2) errors γ_n ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2 \leq \delta^2.$$

It is required to find an approximate value of the function $\hat{f}(x)$ from the approximate values of its Fourier coefficients $\{c_n\}$. We shall solve this problem by the regularization method.

Consider the functional

$$M^m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n - c_n)^2 + \Omega[f_n],$$

where

$$f_n = \int_D \rho(x) f(x) u_n(x) dx, \quad \Omega[f_n] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^2 m_n,$$

$\{m_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers whose order of growth as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is not less than

$n^{1+\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. We shall denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the class of all such sequences corresponding to different values of ε . The functional $\Omega[f]$ will be called stabilizing. If, as the system $\{u_n(x)\}$, one takes the eigenfunctions of the boundary-value problem (*) and sets $m_n = \alpha \lambda_n$, where λ_n are the eigenvalues of problem (*), then one obtains the stabilizing functional used in paper ⁽¹⁾.

The function $\tilde{f}(x)$ realizing the minimum of the functional M^m in the class of functions continuous in $\bar{D}$ (the class C_D) will be taken as the approximate value of the function $\hat{f}$. It is easy to see that the Fourier coefficients of the function $\tilde{f}(x)$ are equal to

$$\tilde{f}_n = \frac{c_n}{1 + m_n}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r(n) u_n(x), \quad \text{where} \quad r(n) = \frac{1}{1 + m_n}.$$

Thus, the summation method is determined by the choice of the sequence $\{m_n\}$. This summation method is stable in the sense that small (in l_2) changes of the coefficients correspond to a small change of $\tilde{f}(x)$ (in the metric of C_D).

To prove this, it is enough first of all to note that the set of functions $f \in C_D$ for which $\Omega[f] \leq d$ is compact in C_D for any $d \geq 0$. Define an operator A acting from C_D into l_2 : to a function $f \in C_D$ we assign the sequence of its Fourier coefficients with respect to the system $\{u_n(x)\}$ with weight $\rho(x)$. This mapping is continuous and one-to-one. Consequently, the inverse mapping is also continuous. This proves the assertion on the stability of the summation method.

The errors in the Fourier coefficients, i.e. γ_n , are random numbers, about which we shall assume:

- 1) $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence of uncorrelated random numbers.
- 2) The mathematical expectations $E\gamma_n = \overline{\gamma_n} = 0$ for all n . Under these conditions the approximate values of the Fourier coefficients are also random numbers, and $c_n^2 = a_n^2 + \gamma_n^2$. The variances of the random variables γ_n and c_n are the same and are equal to $\sigma_n^2 = \overline{\gamma_n^2}$. The function $\tilde{f}(x)$, realizing the minimum of the functional M^m for a fixed sequence $\{m_n\}$, is a random function.

Let $(\Delta f)_m = \tilde{f}(x) - \hat{f}(x)$, where $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x)$. As a measure of the deviation of $\tilde{f}(x)$ from $\hat{f}(x)$ one may take: a) $\overline{(\Delta f)_m^2}$ or b)

$$\int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f)_m^2} dx.$$

Putting $m_n = \alpha \xi_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), where $\alpha > 0$, $\xi_n > 0$, we obtain

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 + \alpha \xi_n} u_n(x).$$

In this case

$$\Omega[f] = \alpha \Omega_1[f] = \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^2 \xi_n$$

and $(\Delta f)_m = \Delta f_{\alpha}$; α is the regularization parameter.

The following formulations of problems are natural:

- 1_A. For a fixed sequence $\{\xi_n\}$, find such a value α_0 of the regularization parameter α for which

$$\overline{(\Delta f_{\alpha_0})^2} = \min_{\alpha} \overline{(\Delta f_{\alpha})^2}$$

at a fixed point x_0 .

1_B. For a fixed sequence $\{\xi_n\}$, find such a value $\alpha = \alpha_0$ for which

$$\int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f_{\alpha_0})^2} dx = \min_{\alpha} \int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f_{\alpha})^2} dx.$$

The summation of the Fourier series determined by such a value of α , for a fixed stabilizing functional

$$\Omega_1[f] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^2 \xi_n$$

will be called α -optimal.

IIA. In the class $\mathfrak{M}$ of sequences of positive numbers $\{m_n\}$, find a sequence $\{m'_n\}$ for which $\overline{(\Delta f)_{m'}^2} = \min_{\mathfrak{M}} \overline{(\Delta f)_m^2}$ at the fixed point x_0 .

IIB. In the class $\mathfrak{M}$ of sequences $\{m_n\}$ of positive numbers, find a sequence $\{m'_n\}$ for which

$$\int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f)_{m'}^2} dx = \min_{\mathfrak{M}} \int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f)_m^2} dx.$$

The summation of a Fourier series determined by such a sequence $\{m'_n\}$ will be called optimal. This formulation of the problem is analogous to the Wiener problem on optimal filtering ⁽³⁾.

Solution of Problems IB and IIB. Since

$$\Delta f_{\alpha} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n - \alpha a_n \xi_n}{1 + \alpha \xi_n} u_n(x),$$

we have

$$\int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f_{\alpha})^2} dx = N(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2 + \alpha^2 \xi_n^2 (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2)}{(1 + \alpha \xi_n)^2}.$$

From the minimum condition for $N(\alpha)$ we find the solution of problem IB, i.e. the equation for determining α_0 :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2 \xi_n}{(1 + \alpha \xi_n)^3} = \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_n^2 (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2)}{(1 + \alpha \xi_n)^3}.$$

To solve problem IIB one must find the minimum of the function $\varphi(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, \dots)$ of the variables $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, \dots$, equal to

$$\varphi(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, \dots) = \int_D \rho(x) \overline{(\Delta f)_m^2} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2 + (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2) m_n^2}{(1 + m_n)^2}.$$

The minimum is attained for $m_n = m'_n = \sigma_n^2 / (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2)$. Consequently, $1/(1 + m_n) = 1 - \sigma_n^2 / \overline{c_n^2}$. Thus the optimal (in the sense of IIB) summation has the form:

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{op}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\overline{c_n^2}}\right) c_n u_n(x).$$

To solve problems IA and IIA we shall assume that $\hat{f}(x)$ is a realization of a random process such that:

- 3) The sequence $\{a_n\}$, determining the function $\hat{f}(x)$, is a sequence of uncorrelated random numbers.
- 4) The sequences $\{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$ are uncorrelated with each other.

Condition 3) is satisfied, for example, in the case when $\hat{f}(x)$ is a realization of a periodic stationary random process.

Under these additional conditions

$$\overline{(\Delta f_\alpha)^2} \Big|_{x=x_0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2 + \alpha^2 \xi_n^2 (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2)}{(1 + \alpha \xi_n)^2} u_n^2(x_0) = \varphi(\alpha, x_0).$$

From the condition that $\varphi(\alpha, x_0)$ be minimal (with respect to α), we find the equation for determining α_0 :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_n^2 \xi_n}{(1 + \alpha \xi_n)^3} u_n^2(x_0) = \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_n^2 (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2)}{(1 + \alpha \xi_n)^3} u_n^2(x_0).$$

Problem IIA is solved analogously:

$$m'_n = \sigma_n^2 / (\overline{c_n^2} - \sigma_n^2).$$

Thus, the optimal summation (in the sense of Π_A) has the form

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{op}}(x_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\overline{c_n^2}}\right) c_n u_n(x_0).$$

In concrete problems one usually knows only a finite number of possible values of each of the random variables c_n . From these samples we find approximate values of the ratios $\sigma_n^2 / \overline{c_n^2}$. Let

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_n^2}{c_n^2}\right)_{\text{pr}} = \frac{\sigma_n^2}{c_n^2}(1 + \beta_n), \quad \text{where } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since summation with regularizing factors $1/(1+m_n)$ is stable, small deviations in the determination of the numbers σ_n^2/c_n^2 correspond to small deviations of the sum $\tilde{f}(x)_{\text{op}}$. More precisely, if

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{pr}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\sigma_n^2}{c_n^2}\right)_{\text{pr}} \right] c_n u_n(x), \quad u_n^2(x) \leq M \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then

$$|\tilde{f}_{\text{op}}(x) - \tilde{f}_{\text{pr}}(x)| \leq 2M\varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2.$$

If, as the system of functions $\{u_n(x)\}$, one takes the eigenfunctions of the boundary-value problem

$$\text{div}(k\nabla u) - q^2(x)u + \lambda\rho(x)u = 0, \quad u|_S = 0 \quad (\text{or } \partial u/\partial n|_S = 0),$$

where S is the boundary of the domain D in which the solution is sought, then the functional $\Omega_1[f]$ may be taken in the form

$$\Omega_1[f] = \int_D \{k(\nabla f)^2 + q^2 f^2\} dx$$

or in the equivalent form

$$\Omega_1[f] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^2 \lambda_n,$$

where λ_n are the eigenvalues of the indicated boundary-value problem, and f_n are the Fourier coefficients of the function $f(x)$ with respect to the system $\{u_n(x)\}$. Thus, in this case $m_n = \lambda_n \alpha$. With such a regularizer the problem of summing a Fourier series was considered in the one-dimensional case in ⁽¹⁾.

I express my gratitude to A. N. Tikhonov for a useful discussion.

Received
26 III 1968

REFERENCES

- ¹ A. N. Tikhonov, DAN, 156, No. 2, 268 (1964).
- ² A. N. Tikhonov, DAN, 151, No. 3 (1963).
- ³ N. Wiener, *Extrapolation, Interpolation and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series*, N. Y., 1949.
- ⁴ M. M. Lavrent'ev, V. G. Vasil'ev, *Siberian Math. Journal*, 7, No. 3 (1966).
- ⁵ V. Ya. Arsenin, V. V. Ivanov, DAN, 182, No. 1 (1968).
- ⁶ A. B. Bakushinskii, Candidate's dissertation, Moscow State University, 1967.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.