

ON THE DIMENSION OF THE PRODUCT OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL BICOMPACTA

I. K. Lifanov

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.76700>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.83

MATHEMATICS

I. K. LIFANOV

ON THE DIMENSION OF THE PRODUCT OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL BICOMPACTA

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 13 VI 1967)

In this paper we shall prove the following two theorems:

Theorem 1. Let

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

where X_i is a bicom pactum and $\text{Ind } X_i = 1$ for every i . Then

$$\dim P = \text{ind } P = \text{Ind } P = n.$$

Theorem 2. Let

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i,$$

where X_i is an ordered continuum for every i . Then P is strongly infinite-dimensional.

Before proving the theorems, we recall some known definitions and formulate several lemmas.

Definition 1. Let X be a normal space. A cover $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ of the space X is called a **partition** if $A_i = [\text{Int } A_i]$ and $\text{Int } A_i \cap \text{Int } A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

We introduce a new definition.

Definition 2. Let $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a partition of the space X ; then by $\text{bd } \alpha$ we shall denote the set

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Int } A_i.$$

Lemma 1. Let X be a normal space and $\text{Ind } X \leq 1$. Let $F \subset X$ be a closed set, $\text{Ind } F \leq 0$, and let $\gamma = \{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n\}$ be a cover of F by sets open in it. Then into any open cover $\omega = \{O_1, \dots, O_m\}$ of the space X one can inscribe a partition $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_l\}$ such that: 1) $\text{Ind } \text{bd } \alpha \leq 0$ and $\text{bd } \alpha \cap F = \emptyset$; 2) $\alpha \wedge F$

is a partition of F , consisting of sets open-and-closed in F and inscribed in the cover γ .

Lemma 2. Let X be a normal space. If into every finite open cover of the space X one can inscribe a partition α such that $\text{Ind bd } \alpha \leq n - 1$, then $\text{Ind } X \leq n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.

A. We first prove the inequality $\text{Ind } P \leq n$.

The proof will be by induction. We shall show that 1) into any cover ω of the bicomactum P one can inscribe a partition α^1 , such that 2) into any cover of $\text{bd } \alpha^1$ one can inscribe a partition α^2 of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^1$, with $\text{bd } \alpha^2$ such that, 3) into any ...and so on, that $n - 1$) into any cover of $\text{bd } \alpha^{n-1}$ one can inscribe a partition α^n such that $\text{Ind bd } \alpha^n \leq 0$. Then we shall obtain that $\text{Ind bd } \alpha^{n-1} \leq 1$, $\text{Ind bd } \alpha^1 \leq n - 1$, and $\text{Ind } P \leq n$.

- 1) Let $\omega^1 = \{O_1^1, \dots, O_s^1\}$ be an arbitrary open cover of the space P . Then into ω^1 one can inscribe a cover

$$\tilde{\omega}^1 = \{\omega_1^1 \times \dots \times \omega_n^1\},$$

where ω_i^1 is a cover of the space X_i , and $\tilde{\omega}^1$ is a family of open sets, each of which is the product of n sets, one from each ω_i^1 ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Into each cover ω_i^1 we inscribe a partition α_i^1 such that $\text{Ind bd } \alpha_i^1 \leq 0$; then the partition

$$\alpha^1 = \{\alpha_1^1 \times \dots \times \alpha_n^1\}$$

will be inscribed in the cover $\tilde{\omega}^1$, and

$$\text{bd } \alpha^1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^n X_i \times \text{bd } \alpha_{i_1}^1.$$

- 2) Let now $\omega^2 = \{O_1^2, \dots, O_{i_{\omega^2}}^2\}$ be an arbitrary covering of $\text{Fr } \alpha^1$. Denote

$$X^{i_1} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^n X_i \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_1}^1.$$

On each X^l ($l = 1, 2, \dots, n$) inscribe, in the covering $X^l \wedge \omega^2$, the covering $\gamma_l = \{\gamma_1^l \times \dots \times \gamma_n^l\}$. Then on X_i we shall have $(n - 1)$ coverings and one covering on $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$.

Take on X_i such a covering $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ that it is inscribed in all the coverings on X_i , and $\tilde{\gamma}_i \wedge \text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$ is inscribed in the covering γ_i^i . Now, by Lemma 2, inscribe in the covering $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ such a partition $\tilde{\alpha}_i^2$ that $\tilde{\alpha}_i^2$ is inscribed in the covering $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ and a) $\text{Ind Fr } \tilde{\alpha}_i^2 \leq 0$, $\text{Fr } \tilde{\alpha}_i^2 \cap \text{Fr } \alpha_i^1 = \emptyset$; b) $\tilde{\alpha}_i^2 \wedge \text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$ is a partition into sets open-and-closed in $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$, which we denote by β_i . Take now on X_i the partition $\alpha_i^2 = \tilde{\alpha}_i^2 \wedge \alpha_i^1$. If on each X^l we take the partition

$$\tilde{\alpha}^l = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq l}}^n \alpha_i^2 \times \beta_l,$$

then

$$\alpha^2 = \bigvee_{l=1}^n \tilde{\alpha}^l$$

(where the sign $\bigvee_{l=1}^n$ denotes the sum in the sense of taking the whole collection of elements of the partitions $\tilde{\alpha}^l$, and not in the sense of the union of sets from $\tilde{\alpha}^l$) will be a partition inscribed in the covering ω^2 .

Let us prove this last assertion. Indeed:

- a) the inscription of the system of sets α^2 in the covering ω^2 is fulfilled by construction.
- b) Let A be an arbitrary element of some $\tilde{\alpha}^l$; then $\text{Int}_{X^l} A$ contains points from $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$, but contains no points from $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$ ($i \neq l$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Therefore

$$\text{Int}_{X^l} A \cap X^i = \emptyset \quad (i \neq l, i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

since X^i contains the factor $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^1$ ($i \neq l$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Consequently, the $\text{Int}_{X^l} A$ are open in $\text{Fr } \alpha^1$, and these sets are pairwise disjoint; the sum of their closures will give the entire set $\text{Fr } \alpha^1$.

The assertion is proved. Thus, α^2 is a partition of $\text{Fr } \alpha^1$, inscribed in the covering ω^2 , and

$$\text{Fr } \alpha^2 = \bigcup_{i_1=1}^n \bigcup_{\substack{i_2=1 \\ i_2 \neq i_1}}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2}}^n X_i \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_1}^1 \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_2}^2.$$

Suppose that at the $(k-1)$ -st step we already have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fr } \alpha^{k-1} = & \bigcup_{i_1=1}^n \bigcup_{\substack{i_2=1 \\ i_2 \neq i_1}}^n \dots \bigcup_{\substack{i_{k-1}=1 \\ i_{k-1} \neq i_1, \dots, i_{k-2}}}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}}^n X_i \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_1}^1 \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_2}^2 \times \dots \\ & \dots \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_{k-1}}^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Fr } \alpha_i^1 \subset \text{Fr } \alpha_i^2 \subset \dots \subset \text{Fr } \alpha_i^{k-1}$$

and $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^l$ is open-and-closed in $\text{Fr } \alpha_i^{l+1}$, $1 \leq l \leq k-2$.

Denote

$$X^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}} = \bigcup_{P(1,2,\dots,k-1)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}}^n X_i \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_1}^{j_1} \times \dots \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}}$$

$(i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}; (j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}))$ is an element of the permutation of $1, 2, \dots, k-1$,

$$X_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}}^n X_i \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_1}^{j_1} \times \dots \times \text{Fr } \alpha_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}},$$

then

$$X^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}} = \bigcup_{P(1,2,\dots,k-1)} X_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}, \quad \text{Fr } \alpha^{k-1} = \bigcup_{C_n^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}} X^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}}.$$

We carry out the k -th step. Let ω^k be an arbitrary cover by open sets of the set $\text{gr } \alpha^{k-1}$. On each $X_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ we inscribe in the cover $\omega^k \wedge X_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ a cover $\gamma_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = \{\gamma_1 \times \dots \times \gamma_n\}$. Then on X_i we obtain a certain number of covers both on $\text{gr } \alpha_i^1, \text{gr } \alpha_i^2, \dots, \text{gr } \alpha_i^{k-1}$. Take on X_i a cover $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ by open sets such that it is inscribed in all the given covers on X_i , and $\tilde{\gamma}_i \wedge \text{gr } \alpha_i^j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$) is inscribed in all the given covers on $\text{gr } \alpha_i^j$.

Now, by Lemma 2, inscribe in the cover $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ such a partition $\tilde{\alpha}_i^k$ that $\tilde{\alpha}_i^k$ is inscribed in $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ and a) $\text{Ind gr } \tilde{\alpha}_i^k \leq 0$, $\text{gr } \tilde{\alpha}_i^k \cap \text{gr } \alpha_i^{k-1} \neq \emptyset$; b) $\tilde{\alpha}_i^k \wedge \text{gr } \alpha_i^{k-1}$ is a partition of $\text{gr } \alpha_i^{k-1}$ into sets open-and-closed in $\text{gr } \alpha_i^{k-1}$, which we denote by β_i^{k-1} ; moreover, we require that, if an element of the partition β_i^{k-1} meets $\text{gr } \alpha_i^j$ ($1 \leq j \leq k-1$), then it is contained in it, and we denote $\beta_i^j = \beta_i^{k-1} \wedge \text{gr } \alpha_i^j$ ($1 \leq j \leq k-1$). Next take the partition $\alpha_i^k = \tilde{\alpha}_i^k \wedge \alpha_i^{k-1}$; then on each $X_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ we obtain the partition

$$\alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = \{\alpha_1^k \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_1-1}^k \times \beta_{i_1}^{j_1} \times \alpha_{i_1+1}^k \times \dots \times \alpha_{i_{k-1}-1}^k \times \beta_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}} \times \alpha_{i_{k-1}+1}^k \times \dots \\ \dots \times \alpha_n^k\}.$$

If an element of the partition $\alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ meets some bicomcompactum among the constituent bicompacta $X^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}}$, then it belongs entirely to this bicomcompactum and is an element of a partition on it.

Thus, if one takes

$$\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = \bigvee_{P(1, \dots, k-1)} \alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}},$$

where the sum is understood in the sense that, if two elements from different summands meet, then they are identified as one. Then $\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ is a partition of the bicomcompactum $X^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ by virtue of the properties described above of the partitions on $X_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$ ($j_1 \dots j_{k-1} \in P(1, 2, \dots, k-1)$). Further, if one takes

$$\alpha^k = \bigvee_{C_n^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}} \alpha^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}},$$

then we obtain that α^k is a partition on $\text{gr } \alpha^{k-1}$. Indeed, if A is an element of the partition $\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}$, then $\text{Int } A \cap X^{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} = \emptyset$ (for $j_1 \dots j_{k-1} \neq i_1 \dots i_{k-1}$, i.e., if they differ in at least one index). We have

$$\text{gr } \alpha^k = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\substack{i_2=1 \\ i_2 \neq i_1}}^n \dots \bigcup_{\substack{i_k=1 \\ i_k \neq i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}}}^n \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \times \text{gr } \alpha_{i_1}^k \times \dots \times \text{gr } \alpha_{i_k}^k$$

(where $i \neq i_1, \dots, i_k$) or

$$\text{gr } \alpha^k = \bigcup_{C_n^{i_1 \dots i_k}} \bigcup_{P(1, 2, \dots, k)} \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \times \text{gr } \alpha_{i_1}^{j_1} \times \dots \times \text{gr } \alpha_{i_k}^{j_k} \quad (i \neq i_1, \dots, i_k).$$

For $k = n$ we obtain that $\text{gr } \alpha^n$ is the sum of a finite number of zero-dimensional bicompacta. Consequently, $\text{Ind } \text{gr } \alpha^n \leq 0$, while $\text{Ind } \text{gr } \alpha^{n-1} \leq 1$, hence $\text{Ind } \text{gr } \alpha^1 \leq n-1$. Thus the inequality $\text{Ind } P \leq n$ is proved.

B. We shall now prove the inequality $\dim P \geq n$.

This inequality for our case follows from the work of Cohen ⁽²⁾, where he introduces the notion of cohomological dimension, which goes back to the work of P. S. Aleksandrov ⁽¹⁾.

Cohen denotes his dimension by cd and proves in ⁽²⁾ the following theorems, which we shall formulate for the case when the space X is a bicompactum (we retain Cohen's numbering).

Theorem 7.2. For any bicompactum X , $\text{cd}(X) \leq \dim X$.

Theorem 5.8. If X is a bicom pactum and $\text{ind } X = 1$, then $\text{cd}(X) = 1$.

Theorem 6.5. If $\text{cd}(X) = n$ and $\text{cd}(Y) = 1$, X, Y are bicom pacta, then any of the following conditions is sufficient for $\text{cd}(X \times Y) = n + 1$:

a) $n = 0$, b) $\text{ind } X = n$, c) $\text{ind } Y = 1$.

It follows from these theorems that $\dim P \geq n$.

The theorem of P. S. Aleksandrov stating that, for bicom pacta, $\dim X \leq \text{ind } X$, completes the proof of our Theorem 1.

Before proving our Theorem 2, let us recall the definition of a strongly infinite-dimensional space, given by P. S. Aleksandrov.

Definition. A space X is called **strongly infinite-dimensional** if there exists a countable number of pairs of closed sets $\{C_i, C'_i\}$ such that $C_i \cap C'_i = \emptyset$, and such that the intersection of any closed sets B_i , each of which is a partition* for the pair (C_i, C'_i) , is nonempty ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$).

Proof of Theorem 2. Every ordered bicom pactum has a minimal and a maximal point.

Denote the end points of the bicom pactum X_i by i_0 and i_1 . Then, as the pairs (C_i, C'_i) , one may take the sets

$$C_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{\infty} X_j \times i_0, \quad C'_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{\infty} X_j \times i_1.$$

Now let B_i be an arbitrary closed partition for the pair (C_i, C'_i) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). We shall prove that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i \neq \emptyset$. Since P is a bicom pactum, it suffices to prove that the intersection of any finite number of the sets B_i is nonempty. This follows from the proof of assertion 1 in my paper ⁽⁵⁾. Theorem 2 is proved.

Theorem 2 is a positive answer to a question posed to me by Yu. M. Smirnov during my talk at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Moscow in 1966.

Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty
of Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
9 VI 1967

References

1. P. S. Aleksandrov, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A 189, 11 (1947).

2. H. Cohen, Duke, Math. J., 21, No. 2, 209 (1954).
3. P. S. Aleksandrov, Soobshch. AN GruzSSR, 2, No. 1–2, 1 (1941).
4. W. Hurewicz, H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, 1948.
5. I. K. Lifanov, DAN, 177, No. 4 (1967).

* A partition in the space X between C and C' ($C \cap C' = \emptyset$) is a set B such that $X \setminus B = G \cup H$, where G and H are disjoint open subsets of X such that $C \subset G$, and $C' \subset H$.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.