

ON NILPOTENT $\backslash(J\backslash)$ -SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

E. A. Larionov

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.69728>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.88:517.948.35

MATHEMATICS

E. A. LARIONOV

ON NILPOTENT J -SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

(Presented by Academician I. N. Vekua on 11 III 1968)

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a J -space. This is the name for a Hilbert space in which, along with the usual scalar product $[x, y]$, an indefinite scalar product $(x, y) = [Jx, y]$ is given, where $J = P_+ - P_-$, P_+ and P_- are orthoprojectors, $P_+ + P_- = I$. By $\mathfrak{M}_+$ ($\mathfrak{M}_-$) we shall denote the totality of all maximal subspaces in $\mathfrak{P}_+ = \{x \in \mathfrak{H} : (x, x) \geq 0\}$ ($\mathfrak{P}_- = \{x \in \mathfrak{H} : (x, x) \leq 0\}$). Put $\mathfrak{P}_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{H} : (x, x) = 0\}$.

The notions of a J -self-adjoint and a J -unitary operator in $\mathfrak{H}$ are introduced, with respect to the form (x, y) , in the usual way. Linear operators whose spectrum is concentrated only at zero occupy a special place in the spectral theory of operators ^(1,2). Such operators are called nilpotent.

In contrast to the usual scalar product $[x, y]$, with respect to which there exists no nonzero nilpotent self-adjoint, or even normal, operator, there do exist nonzero J -self-adjoint nilpotent operators.

Let us give the simplest example. Introduce in the complex Euclidean space E_n of dimension $n = 2k$ the quadratic form

$$(x, x) = \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_{2k} + \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_{2k-1} + \cdots + \xi_{2k} \bar{\xi}_1, \quad (1)$$

It is easy to verify that the form (1) contains k negative squares, so that the space E_n , furnished with the form (1) and the scalar product

$$[x, y] = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i, \quad (2)$$

where $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2k})$, $y = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2k}) \in E_n$, becomes a finite-dimensional space with an indefinite metric. We shall denote such a space by Π_k^{2k} .

Now define an operator A in the space Π_k^{2k} by the following square matrix of order $2k + 1$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,k+1} & a_{1,k+2} & \cdots & a_{1,2k} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2,k+2} & \cdots & a_{2,2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & a_{k,2k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

The operator A is nilpotent.

If now the matrix is self-adjoint with respect to the second main diagonal, then it is verified directly that, with respect to the form (1),

$$(Ax, y) = (x, Ay). \quad (4)$$

Let now A be a Volterra operator in $\mathfrak{H}$.

Consider in the space $\mathfrak{H}_0 = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}$ the operators H and J , whose matrix representation has the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (A^*A)^{1/2} \\ -(A^*A)^{1/2} & (A + A^*) \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to prove that $\sigma(H) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$(JH)^* = JH.$$

Denote by Ω the totality of all nilpotent J -self-adjoint operators in the space $\mathfrak{H}$.

Theorem 1. *If an operator $A \neq 0$ from Ω has an invariant subspace $\mathcal{L}$ from $\mathfrak{M}_+$ or $\mathfrak{M}_-$, then the subspace $\mathcal{L}$ necessarily contains a J -neutral subspace $\mathcal{L}_0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a J -definite invariant subspace of the operator A . For definiteness let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{M}_+$. Then the J -orthogonal complement $\mathcal{F}$ to the J -positive subspace $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ will be a J -negative maximal invariant subspace of the operator A .

It is known ⁽³⁾ that the direct J -orthogonal sum $\hat{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ is dense in the norm $\|x\| = [x, x]^{1/2}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$. On the other hand, with respect to the definite scalar product

$$[x, y]_1 = (x_+, y_+) - (x_-, y_-), \quad x_+, y_+ \in \mathcal{L}, \quad x_-, y_- \in \mathcal{F},$$

the restriction $\hat{A}$ of the operator A to the subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_1$ becomes a self-adjoint operator. It is easy to see that the operator $\hat{A}$ is equal to zero only together with the operator A . From the self-adjointness of $\hat{A}$ and $A \in \Omega$ it follows that $\hat{A} = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $A \neq 0$. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. *If an operator $A \in \Omega$ has an invariant subspace $\mathcal{L}$ from $\mathfrak{M}_+$ or $\mathfrak{M}_-$, then it has respectively the form*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+ \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_-,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_0 \neq \{0\}$, and $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$ are J -definite subspaces obtained by decomposing $\mathcal{L}$ with the aid of some skew-related ⁽⁴⁾ subspace $\mathcal{F}_0$ from $\mathfrak{H}$ with $\mathcal{L}_0$.

Corollary 2. *Invariant subspaces from $\mathfrak{M}_+$ and $\mathfrak{M}_-$ of a Volterra J -self-adjoint operator A have the form indicated in Corollary 1.*

Let us note that the subspace $\mathcal{L}_0$ in Corollary 1 is invariant with respect to the operator A , since it is the intersection of the subspace $\mathcal{L}$ and its J -orthogonal complement, and both these subspaces are invariant with respect to the operator A .

Let us see how the operator A acts on the invariant subspace $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+$ or $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_-$ for $\mathcal{L}_\pm \neq \{0\}$, when $\mathcal{L}_0$ is a maximal J -neutral invariant subspace of the operator A in $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_0^\perp$ be the J -orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{L}_0$ in $\mathfrak{H}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}_0^\perp$, since $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathfrak{P}_0$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = J\mathcal{L}_0$. Put $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{F}_0$, and let $\mathcal{E}_0^\perp$ be the J -orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{E}_0$ in $\mathfrak{H}$. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{E}_0^\perp$ is a J -space, and therefore the factor space $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathcal{L}_0^\perp / \mathcal{L}_0$ is also a J -space. Let A_1 be the restriction of the operator A to $\mathfrak{H}_1$. Obviously, A_1 is a nilpotent J -self-adjoint operator. By assumption (and if A is Volterra, then by the theorem from ⁽⁵⁾) there exists a J -nonnegative maximal invariant subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ of the operator A_1 in $\mathfrak{H}_1$. Since $\mathcal{L}_0$ is a maximal J -neutral invariant ...

subspace of the operator A in $\mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{L}_1$ is J -positive, and therefore, as in Theorem 1, there exists a definite scalar product with respect to which the operator A_1 becomes self-adjoint. Thus, $A_1 = 0$, and therefore the operator A maps $\mathcal{L}_0^\perp$ into $\mathcal{L}_0$. Let $\mathcal{L}_2$ be the J -orthogonal complement in $\mathfrak{H}_1$ to the subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$. Putting now $\mathcal{L}_+ = \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_- = \mathcal{L}_2$, we obtain

$$A\mathcal{L} = A(\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_+) = A\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus A\mathcal{L}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0. \quad (5)$$

and an analogous relation is obtained for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_-$.

Consider the class Ω in the space Π_k .

Theorem 2. *Every operator A from Ω in the Pontryagin space Π_k is finite-dimensional, and its dimension does not exceed $2k$, where k is the finite rank of indefiniteness of the space Π_k .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be a maximal J -neutral invariant subspace of the operator A . If $A \neq 0$, then, by Theorem 1, $\mathcal{L}_0 \neq \{0\}$. On the other hand (4), $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq k$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = J\mathcal{L}_0$, $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \dot{+} \mathcal{L}_0$. Then (4)

$$\Pi_k = \mathcal{F}_0 \dot{+} \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_0^\perp, \quad (6)$$

the factor space $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathcal{L}_0^\perp / \mathcal{L}_0$ is a J -space and, as was shown, $A\mathcal{L}_0^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}_0$.

Let $x \in \Pi_k$. By virtue of (5), x has the decomposition

$$x = y + z + t, \quad (7)$$

where $y \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $z \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $t \in \mathcal{E}_0^\perp$.

$$Ax = Ay + Az + At. \quad (8)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_0^\perp = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_0^\perp$ and $A\mathcal{L}_0^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}_0$, we have $At \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and $Az \in \mathcal{L}_0$. Put $\mathcal{Q}_0 = A\mathcal{F}_0$; and let $\mathcal{N}_0$ be the linear span of the subspaces $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{Q}_0$. Then from (6) and (7), taking into account the inclusions written above, we obtain

$$A\Pi_k \subset \mathcal{N}_0. \quad (9)$$

Moreover $\dim \mathcal{F}_0 = \dim \mathcal{L}_0$, and therefore $\dim \mathcal{F}_0 \leq k$. Hence, $\dim \mathcal{N}_0 \leq 2k$, and Theorem 2 is proved.

Corollary 1. *A J -self-adjoint operator A from Ω in a Pontryagin space is nilpotent and $A^{2k_1+1} = 0$, where k_1 is the dimension of the maximal J -neutral invariant subspace of the operator A , so that $k_1 \leq k$.*

M. A. Naimark in the works ^(6,7) obtained a description of symmetric commutative algebras R in Π_k . From Corollary 1 and ^(6,7) we obtain

Corollary 2. *If the algebra R is not semisimple, then the class $\Omega \cap R$ belongs to the radical of the algebra R .*

We now indicate a class of nilpotent operators $\mathfrak{D}(0)$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ for which there exists no J -metric with respect to which the operators of this class are J -self-adjoint.

Theorem 3. *Let $A \neq 0$ be a nilpotent operator in $\mathfrak{H}$. If the imaginary part of the operator A is sign-definite, then there exists no J -metric with respect to which the operator A is J -self-adjoint.*

Proof. Without loss of generality one may assume that

$$\operatorname{Im} A = (A - A^*)/2i \geq 0,$$

since one may pass from the operator A to the operator $-A$. Suppose that there exists a J -metric such that the operator A is J -self-adjoint. The Cayley transform of the operator A is a J -unitary operator U in $\mathfrak{H}$. On the other hand, from the condition $\operatorname{Im} A \geq 0$ we obtain that ⁽⁸⁾

$$\|U\| \leq 1, \quad (10)$$

and then for the operator U there exists ⁽⁹⁾ an invariant maximal dual pair of subspaces $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}\}$ and

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{F}. \quad (11)$$

The pair $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}\}$ will be invariant also with respect to the operator A , and therefore, with respect to the definite scalar product $[x, y]_1$, introduced as in Theorem 1, the operator A becomes self-adjoint. Thus, $A = 0$, which contradicts the condition of the theorem.

Corollary. *If a nilpotent operator A is dissipative, then there exists no indefinite scalar product with respect to which the operator is J -self-adjoint.*

Let us give an example. The integral operator N in $L_2(0, 1)$, defined by the equality

$$(Nf)(t) = 2i \int_0^t f(s) ds,$$

is dissipative, and therefore there is no operator $J = P_+ - P_-$, $P_+ \oplus P_- = I$, such that $(JN)^* = JN$.

In conclusion I express my sincere gratitude to M. A. Naimark and I. S. Iokhvidov for their attention to this work.

Central Economics and Mathematics Institute
of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
4 III 1968

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ N. Dunford, Pacific J. Math., 4, 321 (1954).
- ² N. Dunford, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 64, 217 (1958).
- ³ Yu. P. Ginzburg, I. S. Iokhvidov, UMN, 17, 4 (106), 3 (1962).
- ⁴ I. S. Iokhvidov, M. G. Krein, Tr. Mosk. matem. obshch., 5, 367, 432 (1956).
- ⁵ H. Sanger, Math. Ann., 152, 434 (1963).
- ⁶ M. A. Naimark, Rev. Roum. Math. Pure et Appl., 9, 6, 499 (1964).
- ⁷ M. A. Naimark, Math. Ann., 162, 147 (1965).
- ⁸ I. Ts. Gokhberg, M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear non-self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Moscow, 1965.
- ⁹ R. S. Phillips, Proc. Int. Sympos. on Linear Spaces, Jerusalem, 1960, 1961, p. 366.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.