

INSTABILITY CRITERIA FOR DETONATION WAVES

S. K. Aslanov, V. N. Budzirovsky, K. I. Shchelkin

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.68778>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 534.222.2

MECHANICS

S. K. ASLANOV, V. N. BUDZIROVSKII,

Corresponding Member of the USSR Academy of Sciences K. I. SHCHELKIN

INSTABILITY CRITERIA FOR DETONATION WAVES

In [1] a method was proposed for calculating the stability of a detonation wave on the basis of its multistage model. Investigation of the characteristic equation $D(z) = 0$, obtained in [1], makes it possible to find criteria for the instability of detonation waves. In the case $L_1 \approx L_2 \approx \dots \approx L_n \neq 0$, for perturbations of small relative wavelengths ($kL_j \gg 1$), with accuracy up to $e^{-\delta}$, where $\delta = \min\{kL_j\gamma_{j1}\}$, the determinant

$$D(z) = \prod_{l=0}^n D_l(z).$$

The characteristic equation, consequently, splits into a series of equations

$$D_0(z) = 0, \quad D_j(z) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

as was indicated earlier in [2], where

$$D_0(z) = (\alpha_1 - 1) \begin{vmatrix} 1 - M_1^2(z_1/\gamma_{12} + 1) & 1 & -1 & z_1\alpha_1 \\ 2 - (1 + M_1^2)(z_1/\gamma_{12} + 1) & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1/\gamma_{12} & -z_1 & 0 & 1 \\ -z_1/\gamma_{12} & 1 & 1/(\alpha_1 - 1)M_1^2 & -z_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

$$D_j(z) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}^j & a_{12}^j & 1 & -1 & z_{j+1}\alpha_{j+1} \\ a_{21}^j & a_{22}^j & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -z_{j+1} & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\gamma_{j1}}{z_j} & \frac{\gamma_{j+1,2}}{z_{j+1}} & 1 & \frac{1}{(\alpha_{j+1} - 1)M_{j+1}^2} & -z_{j+1} \\ \alpha_j\gamma_{j1} & \gamma_{j+1,2} & 1 & \frac{1}{(\alpha_{j+1} - 1)M_{j+1}^2} & -z_{j+1} \\ a_{51}^j & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_{j+1} - 1} \end{vmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$a_{11}^j = \left[1 - M_j^2 \left(\frac{z_j}{\gamma_{j1}} + 1 \right) \right] \alpha_j; \quad a_{12}^j = 1 - M_{j+1}^2 \left(\frac{z_{j+1}}{\gamma_{j+1,2}} + 1 \right);$$

$$a_{21}^j = 2 - (1 + M_j^2) \left(\frac{z_j^2}{\gamma_{j1}} + 1 \right); \quad a_{22}^j = 2 - (1 + M_{j+1}^2) \left(\frac{z_{j+1}}{\gamma_{j+1,2}} + 1 \right);$$

$$a_{51}^j = \frac{m_j M_j^2}{\gamma_{j1}} + \frac{1}{(\gamma_{j1} + z_j)}; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

(n is the number of intervals of the stepwise scheme).

The characteristic equation $D_0(z) = 0$ for the shock front, as shown in [3], has no roots with positive real part. Analysis of the remaining equations makes it possible to obtain a sufficient criterion for instability of a detonation wave to perturbations of small relative wavelength in the form of restrictions on the chemical kinetics; namely, it is sufficient that at least one of the inequalities be satisfied.

$$\eta_{1j} < m_j < \eta_{2j}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\eta_{1j} = \frac{1 + \frac{j}{(\alpha_{j+1} - 1)M_j^2}}{\left\{ 1 - \frac{1 + M_j M_{j+1}}{(1 + M_j) \left[1 + M_{j+1} + \frac{\alpha_{j+1} - 1}{\alpha_{j+1}} (\alpha_{j+1} - 1) M_{j+1}^2 \right]} \right\}}; \quad (4)$$

$$\eta_{2j} = \frac{2 - \alpha_{j+1}}{(\alpha_{j+1} - 1)M_j^2} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - M_j^2}}{(\alpha_{j+1} - 1)M_j^2 \sqrt{1 - M_{j+1}^2}} \times$$

$$\times \{ 1 + (\alpha_{j+1} - 1) [2 + (\alpha_{j+1} - 1) M_{j+1}^2] \},$$

i.e., the detonation wave will be unstable if at least one of the values m_j lies in the band bounded by the broken curves η_{1j}, η_{2j} (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 2

For Chapman—Jouguet detonation, as $x = L$ is approached, the upper boundary of the instability region $\eta_{2j} \rightarrow +\infty$. Carrying out the limiting transition as $k \rightarrow 0$ ($z \rightarrow \infty$, while $\xi = kz$ remains finite), we obtain the characteristic equation for one-dimensional perturbations $A(\xi) = 0$. In unexpanded form $A(\xi)$ is represented by a determinant of order $(4n + 3)$. Calculation of $A(0)$ gives the following:

$$A(0) = (-1)^{\beta+1} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{n+1}}\right)^2 \frac{1 + M_{n+1}}{M_{n+1}} \prod_{l=0}^n A_l,$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{n+1}}(1 + M_{n+1}) \left/ \left(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{n+1}}\right) M_{n+1}^2 - 1 \right|;$$

$$A_l = \frac{1 - M_l^2}{M_l^2} \frac{2}{(\alpha_l - 1)M_l}; \quad l = 1, \dots, n; \quad \beta = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

The sign of $A(\infty)$ is determined by the sign of the coefficient B at the highest exponent

$$\exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi_j L_j}{1 - M_j} \right\},$$

$$B = (-1)^{\beta+1} \prod_{k=0}^n B_k, \quad (5)$$

where

$$B_0 = (1 - \alpha_1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{M_1}\right) \left[\frac{\alpha_1(1 + M_1)}{(\chi_1 - 1)(1 - \alpha_1)M_1^2} - 1 \right];$$

$$B_k = \alpha_{k+1} \begin{vmatrix} M_k(\alpha_{k+1} - 1) & \alpha_{k+1} - 1 & \alpha_{k+1}/(\chi_{k+1} - 1)M_{k+1} & 0 \\ -(1 - M_k) & 1 + M_{k+1} & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & \alpha_{k+1} & \alpha_{k+1}/(\chi_{k+1} - 1)M_{k+1} & -(\alpha_{k+1} - 1) \\ M_k[m_k M_k + 1] & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using the gas-dynamic relations of detonation theory, it is easy to show that A_0 , A_k , B_0 , B_k are positive. Comparing the expressions obtained for $A(0)$ and

Fig. 3

Figure 1: Fig. 3

$A(+\infty)$, we find that their signs are opposite (and this is a sufficient condition for the existence of at least one positive eigenvalue) only when the sign of the product

$$\prod_{k=1}^n B_k$$

is negative. From this we obtain a sufficient condition for one-dimensional instability of a detonation wave in the form of the system of inequalities

$$m_i < \eta_{i1}, \quad m_j > \eta_{j1}, \quad i \neq j, \quad (6)$$

where η_{j1} is determined by formula (4), and the number of the latter inequalities is odd, i.e., the broken line m_j crosses the broken line η_{j1} from below upward at least once. The range of values of m_j leading to instability in the case of one-dimensional perturbations is not bounded above, unlike the case of short-wave perturbations.

Fig. 3

Criteria (3) and (6), in contrast to the corresponding criteria for the single-stage model ⁽³⁾, contain parameters of weaker discontinuities, as a result of which the multistage model proves to be more stable. In the case of different orders of magnitude of L_j (for example, Fig. 2 represents a distribution characteristic of detonation in a gas), when $L_i \ll L_j$, $i \neq j$, $i \neq 1$, the criteria are the same as if, instead of the i -th and j -th fronts of chemical reactions, one resultant front were considered (for the distribution in Fig. 2 the instability criteria coincide with those ⁽²⁾ for the single-stage model). When $L_1 \ll L_j$, $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ (Fig. 3), the parameters of the narrow zone 1 will not enter the criterion (in ^(3,6), $j = 2, 3, \dots$). Thus, a detonation wave with a steep drop in pressure (density) behind the shock front (for example, such a detonation wave in solid explosives may be considered) proves to be much more stable than follows from the single-stage approach ⁽²⁾.

Odessa State University
named after I. I. Mechnikov

Received
19 IV 1968

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ S. K. Aslanov, V. N. Budzirovskii, K. I. Shchelkin, DAN, 182, No. 1 (1968).
² S. K. Aslanov, Prikl. mekh., 2, issue 7 (1966). ³ S. K. Aslanov, DAN, 169, No. 2 (1966).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.