

A PRIORI ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR SOME HYPOELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

M. D. Ramazanov

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.17893>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.944 + 517.946

MATHEMATICS

M. D. RAMAZANOV

A PRIORI ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR SOME HYPOELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 29 V 1967)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, D_x)u &\equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) D_x^\alpha u \equiv \\ &\equiv \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq m} a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{(ix_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (ix_n)^{\alpha_n}} u \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

be a hypoelliptic operator of constant strength ⁽¹⁾ of order m , defined on functions of n real variables $u(x) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, given in a bounded domain Ω with continuously differentiable boundary Γ . The coefficients of the operator $a_\alpha(x)$ are continuous functions in $\Omega + \Gamma$.

By a solution of the Dirichlet problem we mean a sufficiently smooth solution $u(x)$ of the equation

$$P(x, D_x)u = f, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2)$$

for which, at each boundary point $y \in \Gamma$, all derivatives up to an order less than a certain number m_+ , depending on the operator P and the point y , vanish. If A_y is the matrix of such a rotation of the coordinate system $A_{yx} = \tilde{x}$ under which the directions of the axis $\tilde{x}_n$ and of the inward normal at the point $y \in \Gamma$ coincide, and A'_y is the transposed matrix, then $m_+(y)$ is equal to the number of roots with positive imaginary part of the polynomial $P(y, A'_y \xi)$, solved with respect to ξ_n , for sufficiently large values of $|\xi'| = (\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_{n-1}^2)^{1/2}$ with real $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$ ($0 \leq m_+(y) \leq m$).

Suppose that there exist such mutually orthogonal directions $l_1, \dots, l_s$ that, after a rotation $B'x = \tilde{x}$ aligning the directions of the axes $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_s$ with $l_1, \dots, l_s$,

the polynomial $P(y, B'\xi)$ has order m in each of the variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$, and for some constants C_1, C_2 the conditions

$$\xi_j^m / |P(y, B'\xi)| < C_1 \quad \text{for } |\xi| > C_2 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, s. \quad (3)$$

are satisfied.

Let $n(y)$ be the unit inward normal at the point $y \in \Gamma$, and let $N(y)$ be the projection of $n(y)$ onto the subspace spanned by the vectors l_j ,

$$N(y) = \sum_{j=1}^s (n(y), l_j) l_j.$$

We require that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{the function } |N(y)| \text{ defined on } \Gamma \text{ have zeros} \\ &\text{of order not higher than the first.} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Except for the set $\{y \in \Gamma, N(y) = 0\}$, Γ is assumed to be m times continuously differentiable, with uniform estimates of the derivatives.

Theorem. *Under the imposed restrictions (1), (3), (4), the solution of the Dirichlet problem for equation (2) admits the estimate*

$$\|Q(x, D_x)u\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega)} \leq K(\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega)}), \quad (5)$$

where the constant K does not depend on u , and $Q(x, D_x)$ is any operator weaker than $P(x, D_x)$, i.e., with some constants C_1 and C_2 ,

$$|Q(x, \xi)/P(x, \xi)| < C_1 \quad \text{for } |\xi| > C_2. \quad (6)$$

The method of proof is a generalization of the usual method of local estimates, and the main attention is directed to the choice of a special cut-off function that makes it possible to pass to local considerations.

Let us note, first, that the function u can be represented as the sum of two functions, one of which is concentrated in an ε_1 -neighborhood of Γ with sufficiently small ε_1 , while the support of the other lies inside Ω . For the function concentrated in Ω , the estimate we need is already known ⁽¹⁾ (Theorem 7.4.2), and therefore it is enough to consider functions concentrated in an ε_1 -neighborhood of Γ .

In a neighborhood of a point $y \in \Gamma$ introduce local coordinates $\tilde{x}$ with origin at the point y and with the axis $\tilde{x}_n$ directed along the interior normal, $\tilde{x} = A_y(x-y)$. Let $u(x) = u(\tilde{x}, y)$. Then equation (2) can be written in the form

$$P(y, A'_y D_{\tilde{x}})u(\tilde{x}, y) = f_1 \equiv f + [P(y, D_x) - P(x, D_x)]u. \quad (2')$$

Let $\zeta(\tilde{x}, y)$, for fixed $y \in \Gamma$, be a function of $\tilde{x}$, smooth in Ω . We shall impose several restrictions on the form of this function, and for the moment we require that the following property hold.

Property 1. As a function of $\tilde{x}$, $\zeta(\tilde{x}, y)$ is concentrated in a $2\varepsilon_1$ -neighborhood of the point $y \in \Gamma$, and the intersection $\nu(y)$ of its support with the boundary Γ lies in an $\varepsilon(y) = \varepsilon_2|N(y)|$ -neighborhood of the point y . The constant ε_2 must be so small that in the $\varepsilon(y)$ -neighborhood of the point y the transformation defined for each y in the $\varepsilon(y)$ -neighborhood of y as a displacement in the direction $N(y)$, under which $\gamma(y)$ passes into the plane tangent to Γ at the point y , is uniformly bounded in the C^m norm with respect to $y \in \Gamma$. Such a choice $0 < \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_1$ is possible owing to the smoothness of the boundary Γ .

For each y , the displacement defined in the $\varepsilon(y)$ -neighborhood can be extended by a displacement with preservation of the uniform boundedness of the C^m -norm to the whole space and, in particular, to the support of the function $\zeta(\tilde{x}, y)$.

Applying this change of variables $\tilde{x} = R(z)$, we transform the operator $P(y, A'_y D_{\tilde{x}})$ to the form

$$P(y, A'_y D_z) + \sum_{j=1}^r c_j(y, z) P_j(y, D_z)$$

with some finite r , continuous coefficients $c_j(y, z)$, $c_j(y, 0) = 0$, and operators $P_j(y, D_z)$, each of which has coefficients constant with respect to z and is weaker than $P(y, A'_y D_z)$ (this is ensured by condition (3)).

Considering the function $v(z, y) = u(R(z), y)\zeta(R(z), y)$, we can then write equation (2') as

$$P(y, A'_y D_z)v = f_2, \quad z_n > 0, \quad (7')$$

where

$$f_2 \equiv f_1 \zeta + \sum_{0 < |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} [D_\xi^\alpha P(y, A'_y \xi)]_{\xi=D_x} u(\tilde{x}, y) D_x^\alpha \zeta(\tilde{x}, y) - \sum_{j=1}^r c_j(y, z) P_j(y, D_z)v. \quad (7'')$$

The function v satisfies the boundary conditions

$$D_{z_n}^j v|_{z_n=0} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m_+ - 1.$$

The function v , solving problem $(7')$, $(7''')$, can be written through

$$\tilde{f}_{2+}(\xi) = \int_{z_n > 0} f_2(z) e^{-i\xi z} dz,$$

$$v(z, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi e^{i\xi z_n} \tilde{f}_{2+}(\xi) / P(y, A'_y \xi).$$

Let us now note that the variables $(y, z' = 0, z_n) = (y, z_n)$ define a coordinate system in a sufficiently small ε_1 -neighborhood of the boundary Γ , and the transformation $(y, z_n) \leftrightarrow x$ is one-to-one, smooth, with Jacobian separated from zero and infinity.

Property 2 of the function $\zeta(\tilde{x}, y)$: in the variables z , in an ε_1 -neighborhood of the boundary, for $z' = 0$ all derivatives of the function ζ vanish, while the function itself is equal to 1.

If $Q(x, D_x)$ is an operator with bounded coefficients which is weaker than $P(x, D_x)$, then, after replacing the variables x by z, y according to the formula $z = R(A_y(x - y))$, Q is transformed into $Q_1(z, y, D_z)$ with bounded coefficients and weaker than $P(y, A'_y D_z)$. Therefore, with certain bounded functions $b_j(y, z)$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$),

$$Q_1(z, y, D_z) = \sum_{j=1}^r b_j(z, y) P_j(y, D_z) \quad (1).$$

By property 2 of the function ζ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^r b_j(z, y) P_j(y, D_z) v(z, y) \big|_{z'=0} = Q(x, D_x) u \big|_{x \rightarrow (y, z_n)}.$$

Therefore, instead of estimating $\|Q(x, D_x) u\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega)}$, it is enough for us to be able to estimate $\|P_j(y, D_z) v \big|_{z'=0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$).

It is not difficult to obtain the estimate

$$\|P_j(y, D_z) v \big|_{z'=0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)} \leq K_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)}. \quad (8)$$

In order to pass from inequality (8) to the required

$$\|P_j(y, D_z) v \big|_{z'=0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)} \leq K_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)} \leq K \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega)},$$

we apply the usual arguments using the small variation of continuous coefficients in a small domain and the possibility of obtaining the estimate (8) for any operators weaker than $P(x, D_x)$.

Here the main role is played by the estimate

$$\left\| \left\{ [D_\xi^\alpha P(y, A'_y \xi)]_{\xi=D_x} u(\tilde{x}, y) \right\} D_x^\alpha \zeta(\tilde{x}, y) \Big|_{\substack{\tilde{x} \rightarrow z \\ z'=0}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)} \leq K_3 \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(y, z_n)},$$

which we derived from the inequality

$$\sup_{y, \xi_n} \left\| \frac{D_\xi^\alpha P(y, A'_y \xi)}{P(y, A'_y \xi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz\xi} D_z^\alpha \zeta \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin L_1 z_j}{z_j} dz \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(|\xi'| > L_2 - |\xi_n|)} \leq L_3 < \infty \quad (9)$$

with certain constants L_1, L_2, L_3 .

Inequality (9) may be regarded as **property 3** of the function ζ . The requirement (4) of the theorem is a rather rough sufficient condition for (9) to hold.

Boundary-value problems for certain special types of hypoelliptic equations are the subject of the works ⁽²⁻⁵⁾.

Let us note here that for parabolic equations ^(3,4) and for the special type of boundary considered in these works, it is also possible to construct a function ζ with properties 1, 2, 3 and, consequently, to obtain the estimate (5).

Institute of Mathematics

Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received

13 V 1967

REFERENCES

1. L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Moscow, 1965.
2. S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic equations in partial derivatives under general boundary conditions, 1, Moscow, 1962.
3. V. P. Mikhailov, *Matem. sbornik*, **64** (106), no. 1, 10 (1964); **63** (105), no. 2, 238 (1964).
4. V. A. Kondrat'ev, *DAN*, **163**, no. 2, 285 (1965).
5. B. Pini, *Ann. di mat. pura ed appl.*, ser. 4, **73**, 33 (1966).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.