

ON THE GAME ENCOUNTER OF MOTIONS

N. N. Krasovskii

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.08455>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.934+62.50

MATHEMATICS

Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR N. N. Krasovskii

ON THE GAME ENCOUNTER OF MOTIONS

Consider the problem ⁽¹⁻⁵⁾ of the game encounter of the pursuing ($y[t]$) and pursued ($z[t]$) motions

$$\dot{y} = Ay + Bu, \quad \dot{z} = Az + Bv, \quad (1)$$

where the realizations $u[t]$ and $v[t]$ of the controls u and v are constrained by

$$\|u\| \leq \mu, \quad \|v\| \leq \nu \quad (\mu > \nu), \quad (2)$$

the symbol $\|q\|$ denoting the Euclidean norm of the vector q . Here y, z are n -vectors; u, v are r -vectors. The vectors under consideration are treated as column vectors; the superscript $'$ will denote transposition, and the symbol $\{q\}_m$ will denote the vector composed of the first m components of the vector q . The process begins at a prescribed time $t = t_0$. The encounter of the motions y and z at the instant $t^* = t_0 + T$ is defined as a situation satisfying the condition

$$\{y[t_0 + T] - z[t_0 + T]\}_m = 0, \quad (3)$$

where the number m is given.

The solution of the minimax problem of the time T until the encounter of the motions y and z is determined by the theorems from works ^(2,3,6,7), if, among the arguments of the function $u = u^0$ specifying the control for the pursuer, the current values of the control $v[t]$ are allowed. Otherwise, the solution of this problem can be obtained in limiting form from a certain approximating scheme ⁽⁸⁾. In this case, again, among the arguments of the function u^0 , along with the phase vectors $y[t]$ and $z[t]$, there appears an additional variable $\vartheta(t)$. The limiting motions $y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]$ generated in this way are included within the framework of generalized solutions ⁽⁹⁾ of the resulting differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides. This is achieved in the following way.

An extremal control $u = u^0$ is constructed, defining equations (1) as equations in contingencies. For this purpose, in the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional space $\{y, z, \vartheta\}$

($\vartheta > 0$), two subsets W_0 and W^e are distinguished, which are specified by the following conditions: let $\vartheta^0(y, z)$ be the time until the moment of absorption ($^5, ^8, ^{10}$), $t^0 = t_* + \vartheta^0$, of the process $z[t]$ by the process $y[t]$ (from the state $y[t_*] = y$, $z[t_*] = z$); then the set W_0 is the totality of all states $\{y, z, \vartheta\}$ satisfying the condition $\vartheta \geq \vartheta^0(y, z)$; the complementary set W^e , on the contrary, is the totality of states $\{y, z, \vartheta\}$ that satisfy the condition $\vartheta < \vartheta^0(y, z)$. In the domain W_0 , the function $u^0(y, z, \vartheta)$ is chosen nonuniquely and may take any values satisfying the condition

$$\|u^0\| \leq \mu. \quad (4)$$

In the domain W^e , the function $u^0(y, z, \vartheta)$ is defined by the rule of extremal aiming ($^5, ^8, ^{10}$). Let $\varepsilon^0(y, z, \vartheta)$ be the smallest value of ε for which the closed ε -neighborhood $G^{(1)}[y[t], \vartheta; \varepsilon]$ of the reachability domain $G^{(1)}[y[t], \vartheta]$ of the process y (from the state $y[t]$ to the moment $t_\vartheta = t + \vartheta$) contains the reachability domain $G^{(2)}[z[t], \vartheta]$ of the process z (from the state $z[t]$ to the moment t_ϑ). Further, let $u[t] = u_*(y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t])$ be that control which, at the instant t , aims the motion $y[t]$ to a point $q^0 \in G^{(1)}[y[t], \vartheta]$ nearest to that point p^0 at which the boundaries of the domains $G^{(1)}[y[t], \vartheta; \varepsilon^0]$ and $G^{(2)}[z[t], \vartheta]$ touch. If at the point $\{y, z, \vartheta\} \in W^e$ the function $u_*(y, z, \vartheta)$ is continuous, then $u^0(y, z, \vartheta) = u_*(y, z, \vartheta)$. In the opposite case $u^0(y, z, \vartheta)$ is again a multivalued function, constrained only by condition (4). The additional variable $\vartheta[t]$ is constrained by the regulating relation

$$(d\vartheta[t]/dt)^+ \leq -1, \quad (5)$$

which is adjoined to the original equations of motion (1) (the symbol $(d\vartheta/dt)^+$ denotes the upper derivative).

By a generalized solution (motion) $\{y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]\}$ ($t \geq t_0$) of the system (1), (5) for $u = u^0(y, z, \vartheta)$ we shall mean any vector function $\{y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]\}$ that satisfies the conditions:

- 1°. The functions $y[t]$ and $z[t]$ are absolutely continuous and for almost all values of t satisfy equations (1), where $u = u^0$ and arbitrary piecewise-continuous realizations $v[t]$ are admissible, constrained by condition (2).
- 2°. The variable $\vartheta[t]$ is right-continuous for all values of t and satisfies condition (5). In the domain W^e the function $\vartheta[t]$ is continuous and satisfies the equation $d\vartheta/dt = -1$, while in the domain W_0 the possible values of $\vartheta[t]$ are constrained by the condition $\varepsilon^0(y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]) = 0$.

The following assertion is valid.

I. Suppose that for the initial state $y[t_0]$, $z[t_0]$ of system (1) the condition $\vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0]) < \infty$ is fulfilled. Then, for $u = u^0$, every generalized solution $\{y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]\}$ ($t \geq t_0$) of the system (1), (5) with the initial condition $y[t_0]$,

$z[t_0], \vartheta[t_0] = \vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0])$ has the property that $\{y[t], z[t], \vartheta(t)\} \in W_0$ for all $t \geq t_0$, until the encounter occurs. This inclusion ensures the encounter of the motions $y[t]$ and $z[t]$ no later than at the moment $t^0 = t_0 + \vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0])$.

Assertion (I) means that

$$\min_u \max_v T_{u,v} = T_{u^0(y,z,\vartheta), v^0} = \vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0]) \quad (6)$$

among the controls $u = u(y, z, \vartheta)$, $v = v[t]$ ($v^0[t] = vu^0(y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t])/\mu$), and the given minimax is realized by the control $u = u^0$, which, when $v \neq v^0$, generally forces sliding regimes $y[t]$.

Remark 1. Among the motions $\{y[t], z[t], \vartheta[t]\}$ under consideration, for the pursuer perhaps the most interesting are those for which, for $t \geq t_0$, the equality $\vartheta[t] = \vartheta^0(y[t], z[t])$ holds throughout up to the encounter. Indeed, on these motions, for $t \geq \tau \geq t_0$, the encounter for every τ takes place no later than at the moment $t^0[\tau] = \tau + \vartheta^0(y[\tau], z[\tau])$. From example (7), considered in article (8), it follows that the imposition of the regulating relation (5) is essential for ensuring the encounter of the motions $y[t]$ and $z[t]$.

Thus, the extremal control $u^0(y, z, \vartheta)$ ensures the minimax (6) for the time $T_{u,v}$ until encounter. On the other hand, one can verify by an example that the extremal control $v^0(y, z)$ (or $v^0(y, z, \vartheta)$), selected at each instant of time t from the condition that the motion $z[t]$ be aimed at the moment $t^0 = t + \vartheta^0(y[t], z[t])$ at the point q^0 , where the boundaries of the domains $G^{(1)}[y[t], \vartheta]$ and $G^{(2)}[z[t], \vartheta]$ touch, does not ensure the maximin

$$\max_v \min_u T_{u,v} = \vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0]). \quad (7)$$

An example of this kind may be furnished by the problem of the game encounter only in the coordinates of two controllable material points $m^{(1)}$ and $m^{(2)}$, described by the equations

$$m^{(1)}\ddot{\xi}^{(1)} = u, \quad m^{(2)}\ddot{\xi}^{(2)} = v, \quad (8)$$

where $\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)}$ are three-dimensional vectors, and the controls u and v are constrained by conditions (2). However, the following assertion is valid.

- II. For any number $\delta > 0$ one can construct a control $v_\delta[t] = v_\delta(y[t], z[t], \vartheta_\delta[t])$ ($d\vartheta_\delta/dt = -1$, $\vartheta_\delta[t_0] = \vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0]) - \delta$, which preserves the motion $z[t]$ from meeting the motion $y[t]$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + \vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0]) - \delta$, whatever the realization of the control $u[t]$ constrained by condition (2).

The control v_δ again determines the motion $z[t]$ as a generalized solution of the corresponding equation (1). Here the control $v_\delta[t]$ is constructed from the condition of nonincrease of the Lyapunov function

$$\chi(y[t], z[t], \vartheta_\delta[t]) = \int_t^{t+\vartheta_\delta} \varepsilon^0(y[t], z[t], \tau - t)^{-1} d\tau$$

with respect to time t . It is then verified that the function $\chi[t] = \chi(y[t], z[t], \vartheta_\delta[t])$ does not increase if the control $v_\delta[t]$ is chosen from the minimum condition

$$\int_t^{t+\vartheta_\delta} \varepsilon^0(y[t], z[t], \tau - t)^{-2} \psi'_\tau(t) B \left(\frac{v B' \psi_\tau(t)}{\|B' \psi_\tau(t)\|} - v_\delta[t] \right) d\tau = \min_{\|v\| \leq v}, \quad (9)$$

where $\psi_\tau(t)$ is the vector-function appearing in the conditions of the maximum principle (11) for the problem of determining the quantity $\varepsilon^0(y[t], z[t], \tau - t)$.

Remark 2. The quantity $\vartheta^0(y[t_0], z[t_0])$, generally speaking, is greater than the optimal time ϑ_0 for the problem of program maximin pursuit considered in paper (12). Thus, the use of the realizing values $y[t]$ and $z[t]$ in the law determining the control $v[t]$ by the feedback principle ensures, for the pursued motion, a better result than the program control $v(t)$, which is based only on the initial data $y[t_0]$ and $z[t_0]$. At the same time, the control v_δ described by us, constructed according to the feedback principle, ensures for the pursued object a result $T_\delta = \inf_u T_{u,v}$ arbitrarily close to the best guaranteed result for the pursuer,

$$T^0 = \min_u \max_v T_{u,v}.$$

Above, the problem of exact meeting of the motions y and z in m coordinates was considered. Results I and II, however, are transformed in an understandable way to the case of the problem of γ -meeting, which is defined by the condition

$$\|\{y[t_0 + T] - z[t_0 + T]\}_m\| \leq \gamma, \quad (10)$$

where only the time $\vartheta^0(y, z)$ until absorption is replaced by the time $\vartheta^{(\gamma)}(y, z)$ until γ -absorption. It should also be noted that results I and II carry over without substantial changes to the case of arbitrary convex and similar constraints $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ on the controls u and v .

Ural State University
named after A. M. Gorky

Received
19 IV 1968

CITED LITERATURE

1. R. Isaacs, *Differential Games*, Moscow, 1967.
2. L. S. Pontryagin, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 21, issue 4 (130) (1966).
3. B. N. Pshenichnyi, *Kibernetika AN UkrSSR*, No. 6 (1958).
4. L. A. Petrosyan, *Vestnik LGU*, series mathematics, mechanics, astronomy, No. 1, issue 1 (1968).
5. N. N. Krasovskii, *PMM*, 27, issue 2 (1963).
6. E. F. Mishchenko, L. S. Pontryagin, *DAN*, 174, No. 1 (1967).
7. L. S. Pontryagin, *DAN*, 174, No. 6 (1967).
8. N. N. Krasovskii, *DAN*, 173, No. 8 (1967).
9. A. F. Filippov, *Matem. sbornik*, 51 (93), issue 1 (1959).
10. N. N. Krasovskii, Yu. M. Repin, V. E. Tretyakov, *Izv. AN SSSR, Technical Cybernetics*, No. 4 (1965).
11. L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanskii, R. V. Gamkrelidze, E. F. Mishchenko, *The Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes*, Moscow, 1961.
12. D. L. Kelendzheridze, *DAN*, 138, No. 3 (1961).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.