

ON (T) -INVARIANCE OF THE COEFFICIENTS OF QUASILINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

V. B. Korotkov

1967

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.59543>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.944 : 517.432

MATHEMATICS

V. B. KOROTKOV

ON T -INVARIANCE OF THE COEFFICIENTS OF QUASILINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 11 III 1966)

In § 1, necessary and sufficient conditions are established under which the operator of V. V. Nemytskii acts from a certain subset of the space C into the space L_q and is bounded. With the aid of this criterion, in § 2 the problem posed by S. L. Sobolev ⁽¹⁾ of describing the set T of all functions satisfying the condition of T -invariance is solved. This problem arose in connection with the fact that membership in T of the coefficients of a quasilinear hyperbolic equation guarantees an a priori estimate of solutions in the norm W_2^l .

§ 1. Let F be a measurable bounded subset of the Euclidean space R_k ; let G be a closed bounded subset of the Euclidean space R_m . Let the function $A(x, y)$ be defined on $F \times G$ and satisfy the Carathéodory condition, i.e., for each y it is measurable in x and for almost every x it is continuous in y .

Define on F the abstract function $\varphi(x)$ by the equality

$$\varphi(x) = A(x, y).$$

Lemma. $\varphi(x)$ is a measurable abstract function with values in the separable space $C(G)$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda_0 = \{y^{(n)}\}$ be a countable set everywhere dense in G . Since for any $\xi = \xi(y) \in C(G)$ the scalar functions $A(x, y^{(n)}) - \xi(y^{(n)})$ are measurable, the functions

$$\|\varphi(x) - \xi\|_C = \sup_{y^{(n)} \in \Lambda_0} |A(x, y^{(n)}) - \xi(y^{(n)})|$$

are also measurable. Hence, by ⁽⁴⁾, p. 87, the measurability of $\varphi(x)$ follows.

Let $G\{|y_i - y_i^0| \leq a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Denote by $D[F, G]$ (respectively by $M[F, G]$) the set of all continuous (respectively measurable) vector functions

defined on F and taking values in G . Consider on $D[F, G]$ the V. V. Nemytskii operator

$$f(g) = A(x, g(x)).$$

Theorem 1. In order that the operator f act from $D[F, G]$ into $L_q(F)$ and be bounded on $D[F, G]$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\left\| \max_{y \in G} |A(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F)} < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)} = \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F)}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Sufficiency is obvious. We prove necessity. We shall show that

$$\sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)} = \sup_{\mu \in M[F, G]} \|A(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F)}. \quad (2)$$

For this it is enough to show that, for any function $\mu \in M[F, G]$,

$$\|A(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F)} \leq \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)}. \quad (3)$$

Let $\mu \in M[F, G]$. Using N. Luzin's theorem, construct a sequence of closed sets F_n such that on each set F_n the function $\mu(x)$ is continuous and $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(F \setminus F_n) = 0$. Since for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ *

$$\|A(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F_n)} \leq \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F_n)} \leq \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|\bar{A}(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)},$$

inequality (3) is satisfied. Thus equality (2) is proved.

We now consider the function $\varphi(x) = A(x, y)$. Since, by the lemma, the function $\varphi(x)$ is measurable, there is a sequence of measurable finite-valued functions

$$\varphi_s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \xi_i \chi_{E_i^{(s)}}(x), \quad \xi_i = \xi_i(y) \in C(G),$$

converging to $\varphi(x)$ almost everywhere on F . But then ((1), pp. 295-296) there is a sequence of closed sets F_n , $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(F \setminus F_n) = 0$, such that on each set F_n , $\varphi_s(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ uniformly. Put

$$A_s(x, y) = \varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \chi_{E_i^{(s)}}(x) \xi_i(y).$$

From the fact that $\varphi_s(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ uniformly on F_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $A_s(x, y) \rightarrow A(x, y)$ uniformly on $F_n \times G$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A_s(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F_n)} = \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F_n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mu \in M[F, G]} \|A_s(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F_n)} = \sup_{\mu \in M[F, G]} \|A(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F_n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (5)$$

Taking (2), (4), (5) into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F_n)} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A_s(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F_n)} = \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mu \in M[F, G]} \|A_s(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F_n)} = \sup_{\mu \in M[F, G]} \|A(x, \mu(x))\|_{L_q(F_n)} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \max_{y \in G} |A(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F_n)} \leq \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

But $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(F \setminus F_n) = 0$. Therefore,

$$A = \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A(x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F)} \leq \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \|A(x, g(x))\|_{L_q(F)} = B.$$

The necessity is proved. Equality (1) follows from the last inequality ($A \leq B$) and the obvious inequality $B \leq A$.

§ 2. Let F be a bounded closed domain of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space of variables (t, x) , $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$; G a bounded—

* Note that, by virtue of (5), p. 374, there is a function $g \in D[F, G]$ such that $g(x) = \mu(x)$ for all x in F_n .

closed domain of m -dimensional Euclidean space defined by the system of inequalities

$$|y_i - y_i^0| \leq a_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (6)$$

Let l be a natural number, $lp > n$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Consider on $F \times G$ a continuous function $A(t, x, y)$ satisfying two conditions:

- 1) for any $y \in G$, the function $A(t, x, y)$ has all generalized derivatives with respect to t, x up to order l inclusive, measurable in x for each fixed t ;
- 2) let F_τ be the section of F by the hyperplane $t = \tau$, and suppose that for each τ the generalized derivatives

$$A_\alpha(\tau, x, y) = D_{t,x}^\alpha A(t, x, y), \quad |\alpha| \leq l,$$

belong to $C^l(G)$ for almost every $x \in F_\tau$, if $(l - |\alpha|)p \leq n$, and for each $x \in F_\tau$, if $(l - |\alpha|)p > n$.

For brevity set

$$A_\alpha^\beta(t, x, y) = D_y^\beta D_{t,x}^\alpha A(t, x, y).$$

It is said ([2], p. 228) that the function $A(t, x, y)$ is T -invariant if* for any t and β , $|\beta| \leq l$:

$$\alpha) \quad \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \left\| [A_\alpha^\beta(t, x, y)]_{y=g(t, x)} \right\|_{L_{\frac{1}{1/p - (l - |\alpha|)/n}}(F_t)} < \infty$$

when $(l - |\alpha|)p < n$;

$$\beta) \quad \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \left\| [A_\alpha^\beta(t, x, y)]_{y=g(t, x)} \right\|_{L_q(F_t)} < \infty$$

when $(l - |\alpha|)p = n$, where q is any number between 1 and ∞ ;

$$\gamma) \quad \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \left\| [A_\alpha^\beta(t, x, y)]_{y=g(t, x)} \right\|_{C(F_t)} < \infty$$

when $(l - |\alpha|)p > n$.

Theorem 2. Let the function $A(t, x, y)$ satisfy conditions 1), 2). For the T -invariance of the function $A(t, x, y)$ it is necessary and sufficient that for any t and β , $|\beta| \leq l$:

$$\text{A. } \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(t, x, y)| \right\|_{L_{\frac{1}{1/p - (l - |\alpha|)/n}}(F_t)} < \infty$$

when $(l - |\alpha|)p < n$;

$$\text{B. } \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(t, x, y)| \right\|_{L_q(F_t)} < \infty$$

when $(l - |\alpha|)p = n$;

$$\text{C. } A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(t, x, y) \in C(F_t \times G) \quad \text{when } (l - |\alpha|)p > n.$$

Proof. Let $t = \tau$ and $A_{\tau}(x, y) = A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\tau, x, y)$. Put

$$s = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1/p - (l - |\alpha|)/n}, & \text{if } (l - |\alpha|)p < n, \\ q, & \text{if } (l - |\alpha|)p = n. \end{cases}$$

By virtue of (1),

$$\sup_{h \in D[F_{\tau}, G]} \left\| [A_{\tau}(x, y)]_{y=h(x)} \right\|_{L_s(F_{\tau})} = \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A_{\tau}(x, y)| \right\|_{L_s(F_{\tau})}. \quad (7)$$

Since for any function $h(x) \in D[F_{\tau}, G]$ there is, by virtue of (5), p. 374, a function $g(t, x) \in D[F, G]$ such that $g(\tau, x) = h(x)$, we have

$$\sup_{h \in D[F_{\tau}, G]} \left\| [A_{\tau}(x, y)]_{y=h(x)} \right\|_{L_s(F_{\tau})} = \sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \left\| [A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\tau, x)]_{y=g(\tau, x)} \right\|_{L_s(F_{\tau})}.$$

* Recall that $D[F, G]$ denotes the collection of all continuous vector functions mapping F into G .

Noting that $\max_{y \in G} |A_{\tau}(x, y)| = \max_{y \in G} |A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\tau, x, y)|$ and using (7), (8), we obtain

$$\sup_{g \in D[F, G]} \left\| [A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\tau, x, y)]_{y=g(\tau, x)} \right\|_{L_s F_{\tau}} = \left\| \max_{y \in G} |A_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\tau, x, y)| \right\|_{L_s(F_t)}.$$

Thus, condition α) is equivalent to condition A, condition β to condition B; the equivalence of conditions γ) and C) is obvious.

Institute of Mathematics
Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
1 III 1966

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ S. L. Sobolev, *Fund. Math.*, **47**, 3 (1959).
- ² S. L. Sobolev, Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics, L., 1950.
- ³ S. L. Sobolev, *Sur les équations aux dérivées partielles hyperboliques non-linéaires*, Roma, 1961.
- ⁴ E. Hille, R. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, IL, 1962.
- ⁵ I. P. Natanson, *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, M., 1957.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.