

THE DISTANCE BETWEEN “NEIGHBORING” PRIME IDEALS

A. V. Sokolovskii

1967

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.17482>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 511.64

MATHEMATICS

A. V. SOKOLOVSKII

THE DISTANCE BETWEEN “NEIGHBORING” PRIME IDEALS

(Presented by Academician I. M. Vinogradov on 26 IV 1966)

Analyzing the classical proof ⁽¹⁾ of the theorem on the difference between “neighboring” prime numbers, it is easy to see that it is based on the knowledge:

- a) of the absence of zeros of $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ in the region $\sigma \geq 1 - A/\ln^a(|t| + 2)$ ($a < 1$),
- b) of an estimate of $N(\sigma, T)$ —the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of the function $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ in the region $\beta \leq \sigma$; $0 \leq \gamma \leq T$.

With the aid of I. M. Vinogradov’s method for estimating trigonometric sums ⁽²⁾, we prove here Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 1. *The Dedekind zeta-function $\zeta_K(\sigma + it)$ of a field of algebraic numbers K of degree n has no zeros in the region*

$$\sigma \geq 1 - A_1/\ln^{2/3}(|t| + 2),$$

where $A_1 > 0$ depends only on the field K (cf. ^(3,4)).

Theorem 2. $\zeta_K(1/2 + it) \ll |t|^{n/4 - c/n^2 \ln n}$; c is an absolute constant.

From Theorem 1, by means of a simple generalization of Hoheisel’s method ⁽¹⁾, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let, as usual, $\pi(x)$ denote the number of prime ideals of the field K with norm not exceeding x . Suppose further that*

$$N_K(\sigma, T) \ll T^{b(1-\sigma)} \ln^{c_1} T.$$

Then, for $\theta > 1 - 1/b$,

$$\pi(x + x^\theta) - \pi(x) \sim x^\theta / \ln x.$$

Corollary. *Since from the estimate*

$$\zeta_K(1/2 + it) \ll |t|^{c_0} \ln^{c_2} |t|$$

it follows that

$$N_K(\sigma, T) \ll T^{2(1+2c_0)(1-\sigma)} \ln^{c_3} T, \quad (5)$$

Theorems 2 and 3 give

$$\theta > \frac{1+4c_0}{2+4c_0} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+2-4c/n^2 \ln n}.$$

We outline the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. In the paper ⁽⁴⁾ it is shown that the question of shifting the zeros of $\zeta_K(\sigma + it)$ reduces to estimating the sum

$$S = \sum_{\substack{a < a_i < a' \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_1^X \setminus K_0^X}} e^{2\pi i F(a_1, \dots, a_n)}. \quad (1)$$

Here

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = -\frac{t}{2\pi} \ln \prod_{j=1}^n (a_1 \alpha_1^{(j)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(j)});$$

a_i are rational integers; $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a basis of some integral ideal of the field K ; $\alpha_i^{(j)}$ are the conjugates of α_i ; K_1^X (respectively K_0^X) is the set of tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ such that $|a_r| \leq 2X$ (respectively X) ($r = 1, \dots, n$), and the image in R^n of the number of the field K

$$a = a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n$$

belongs to the fundamental region of the field (for more detail see ⁽⁴⁾).

Lemma 1. For any integer $m \geq 1$ and any tuple

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_1^X \setminus K_0^X$$

$$|\partial^m F(a_1, \dots, a_n) / \partial a_i^m| \leq c_4^m (m-1)! t X^{-m}. \quad (2)$$

For any fixed a_r ($r \neq i$) satisfying $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_1^X \setminus K_0^X$ and any integer $m_1 \geq 1$, the interval of variation of a_i can be divided into $\leq c_5^{m_1}$ intervals, for each of which there exists an integer m ($m_1 \leq m \leq m_1 + n$) such that the inequality

$$|\partial^m F(a_1, \dots, a_n) / \partial a_i^m| \geq c_6^m (m-1)! t X^{-m} \quad (3)$$

holds for all points of this interval.

Proof. It is easily computed that

$$\frac{\partial^{m_1} F(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\partial a_i^{m_1}} = (-1)^{m_1} (m_1 - 1)! t \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\alpha_i^{(j)}}{a_1 \alpha_1^{(j)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(j)}} \right]^{m_1} =$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{m_1} (m_1 - 1)! t}{|a_1 \alpha_1^{(d)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(d)}|^{m_1}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\alpha_i^{(j)}}{|\alpha_i^{(d)}|} \frac{|a_1 \alpha_1^{(d)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(d)}|}{a_1 \alpha_1^{(j)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(j)}} \right]^{m_1},$$

where

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_i^{(d)}}{a_1 \alpha_1^{(d)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(d)}} \right| = \max_{1 \leq l \leq n} \left| \frac{\alpha_i^{(l)}}{a_1 \alpha_1^{(l)} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n^{(l)}} \right|.$$

With the help of Turán's theorem 2⁽⁶⁾ and lemma 1⁽⁴⁾, we obtain the existence of m ($m_1 \leq m \leq m_1 + n$) such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{mF}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\partial a_i^m} \right| \geq \frac{c_7^m}{m^n} (m-1)! t X^{-m}$$

for any fixed set $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_1^X \setminus K_0^X$. Hence the assertions of the lemma follow immediately⁽⁴⁾.

Basic lemma. Let $m = [\ln t / \ln X] + 1$ and $e^{\ln^{2/3} t} < X < B t^{(n+1)/n}$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{a \leq a_i \leq a' \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_1^X \setminus K_0^X}} e^{2\pi i F(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \right| < C X^{1-\gamma/m^2},$$

where C and γ depend only on the field K .

The proof almost literally repeats the arguments of I. M. Vinogradov⁽²⁾. We note the main specific points.

For $m > n + 4$ put $Y = [X^{1/3}]$; x and y run through the values $1, 2, \dots, Y$; $m_0 = 3m$; $r = 2b$; $b = lm_0 + [m_0(m_0 + 1)/2 + 1]$.

Replacing a_i in (1) by $a_i + xy$, we obtain, by virtue of inequality (2),

$$|S| \leq \frac{1}{Y^2} \sum_{\substack{a \leq a_i \leq a' \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_1^X \setminus K_0^X}} |S_{a_i}| + 2X^{2/3},$$

where

$$S_{a_i} = \sum_x \sum_y e^{2\pi i (A_1 xy + \dots + A_{m_0} x^{m_0} y^{m_0})},$$

$$A_s = \frac{1}{2\pi s!} \frac{\partial^{sF}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\partial a_i^s}.$$

As in (2), the number ν of points $(\{A_1\eta_1\}, \dots, \{A_{m_0}\eta_{m_0}\})$ falling into the given small domain of theorem 5 (2) is estimated by the quantity

$$(2bc_6^m)^{m_0} X^{m_0(m_0+1)/2} \prod_{[r/2m]+1 \leq m_r \leq 3m-3} X^{m_r-3m+3} \prod_{m \leq m_r \leq [r/2m]-2} X^{3m-2-3m_r} < \\ < (2bc_6^m)^{m_0} X^{m_0(m_0+1)/2} (1 - \delta),$$

where m_r are those values of m for which inequality (3) holds; $\delta > 0$ depends only on the field.

Choosing k and l sufficiently large in Theorems 5 and 1², we obtain

$$|S| < CX^{1-\gamma/m^2}.$$

For $m < n + 4$, the estimate for $|S|$ given in⁴ is sufficient. Theorem 1 is now obtained in the usual way^{1,4}.

With the aid of an estimate for the sum (1) in the interval $c_7 t^{1/3} < X < c_8 t^{1/2}$, easily obtained by the method of I. M. Vinogradov^{7,8}, and of the "approximate functional equation" for $\zeta_K(\sigma + it)$ ⁹, Theorem 2 is proved.

Although in special cases (for example, a purely real field K) the methods of H. Weyl or van der Corput are easily applied to estimating $\zeta_K(1/2 + it)$ (which gives, in Theorems 2 and 3, $c = 1/12$ and $\delta > (3n + 2)/(3x + 5)$), it is not clear how these methods can be used in the case of an arbitrary field.

I express my gratitude to A. A. Karatsuba for valuable advice.

Tashkent State University
named after V. I. Lenin

Received
25 IV 1966

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Berlin, 1957.
- ² I. M. Vinogradov, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **29**, No. 3 (1965).
- ³ I. Yu. Kubilyus, *Litovsk. Mat. Sborn.*, **5**, No. 3 (1965).
- ⁴ A. V. Sokolovskii, *Izv. AN UzSSR*, No. 1 (1966).
- ⁵ A. V. Sokolovskii, *Izv. AN UzSSR*, No. 3 (1966).

⁶ P. Turan, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **11**, No. 3-4 (1960).

⁷ I. M. Vinogradov, *Selected Works*, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1952.

⁸ I. P. Kubilyus, *Mat. Sborn.*, **31** (73), No. 3 (1952).

⁹ K. Chandrasekharan, R. Narasimhan, *Math. Ann.*, **152**, No. 1 (1963).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.