

EXTREMAL QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS

P. A. Biluta

1966

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.81242>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.54

MATHEMATICS

P. A. BILYUTA

EXTREMAL QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS

FOR ARBITRARY PLANE DOMAINS

(Presented by Academician M. A. Lavrent'ev on 21 I 1966)

In the present paper, by means of variational methods for conformal (1) and quasiconformal (2) mappings, the following extremal problem is solved.

We shall consider q -quasiconformal mappings $w = f(z)$ of the disk $|z| < 1$ onto domains containing the points $0, a$, $0 < a < 1$, with normalization $f(0) = 0$, $f(a) = a$. Let $F(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ be a real function of the variables $w_k = f(z_k) = u_k + iv_k$, continuously differentiable with respect to u_k, v_k . It is required to find the mapping for which the function F assumes its maximum value for fixed values of z_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

By virtue of the normality of the family of mappings under consideration, the extremal mapping exists.

In what follows we shall need the following

Theorem 1 (G. M. Goluzin (1)). *If the function $w = f(z)$, $f(0) = 0$, is regular and univalent in the disk $|z| < 1$, and the function $w^* = \Phi(z, \lambda)$, as a function of z and λ , is regular for $|\lambda| < \lambda_0$ and $r \leq |z| < 1$, and for every λ , $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, it is univalent in $r \leq |z| < 1$; if, moreover, for every z , $r \leq |z| < 1$, and small λ we have*

$$\Phi(z, \lambda) = f(z) + \lambda q(z) + O(\lambda^2),$$

then, adjoining to the image of the annulus $r < |z| < 1$ under the mapping by the function $w^* = \Phi(z, \lambda)$ the domain internal with respect to the image of the circle $|z| = r$, for small λ we obtain a simply connected domain D^* , containing the point $w^* = 0$, and for the function $w^* = f^*(z)$, $f^*(0) = 0$, univalently mapping the disk $|z| < 1$ onto D^* , we have, for $|z| < 1$,

$$f^*(z) = f(z) + \lambda q(z) - \lambda z f'(z) S(z) + \lambda z f'(z) \overline{S(1/\bar{z})} + O(\lambda^2), \quad (1)$$

where $S(z)$ is the sum of the terms with negative powers of z in the expansion of $q(z)/zf'(z)$ in the annulus $r < |z| < 1$.

The solution of the extremal problem stated above is given by

Theorem 2. *The function $w = f(z)$ for which $F(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ attains its maximum for fixed values z_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, has the following properties:*

- 1) *the mapping carried out by the function inverse to the extremal one has characteristics*

$$p(w) = q, \quad \theta(w) = -\frac{1}{2} \arg A(w),$$

where

$$A(w) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{F_{w_k} w_k (a - w_k)}{\bar{w}(a - w)(w_k - w)}$$

(we leave aside the case $A \equiv 0$, corresponding to the presence of a stationary value of F : $F_{w_k} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$);

- 2) *it maps the disk $|z| < 1$ onto the whole w -plane with cuts along a finite number of analytic arcs satisfying the inequality*

$$A(w) dw^2 > 0. \quad (*)$$

1°. Let $w = f(z)$ be an extremal mapping. Subjecting the w -plane to a variation with constant characteristic h in the disk $K(\zeta, r) : |w - \zeta| \leq r$, according to the formula

$$\omega = w + hr^2 \frac{w(a - w)}{\zeta(a - \zeta)(w - \zeta)} \quad \text{for } |w - \zeta| > r, \quad (2)$$

$$\omega = w + h(\bar{w} - \bar{\zeta}) \quad \text{for } |w - \zeta| \leq r$$

(obviously, this variation does not take us out of the class of domains under consideration), we find that

$$\delta p = -2p|h| \cos 2(\theta^* - \theta), \quad (3)$$

$$dF = -2r^2|hA| \cos 2(\theta^* - \theta_A), \quad (4)$$

where $\theta^* = \frac{1}{2} \arg h + \pi/2$, $\theta_A = -\frac{1}{2} \arg A(\zeta)$; p, θ are the characteristics of the mapping inverse to $w = f(z)$, at the point $w = \zeta$.

From (3) and (4) the first assertion of Theorem 2 follows immediately.

2°. From (4) we also conclude that the extremal domain D cannot have exterior points, since otherwise any variation (2) with constant characteristic h in a disk lying outside the domain would be admissible, and dF could have any sign.

Represent the extremal mapping $w = f(z)$, $f(0) = 0$, $f(a) = a$, in the form of a superposition of two mappings: 1) a quasiconformal mapping $\zeta = g(z)$ of the disk $|z| < 1$ onto the disk $|\zeta| < 1$, $g(0) = 0$, $g(a) = b$, where b , $0 < b < 1$, is a certain number, with characteristics $p(z) = q$, $\theta(z)$ of the mapping $w = f(z)$; and 2) a conformal mapping $w = \varphi(\zeta)$ of the disk $|\zeta| < 1$ onto the domain D , with $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(b) = a$.

First consider the function

$$w^* = w + hw/w_0(w - w_0), \quad (5)$$

where w_0 is an arbitrary finite point of the w -plane. For any prescribed $\rho > 0$ and sufficiently small complex h , the function (5) is univalent in the infinite domain $|w - w_0| > \rho$.

Now let the function $w = \varphi(\zeta)$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(b) = a$, be regular in the disk $|\zeta| < 1$ and map $|\zeta| < 1$ univalently onto the domain D . If $w_0 \in D$ and ζ_0 is from $|\zeta| < 1$ such that $w_0 = \varphi(\zeta_0)$, then for sufficiently small h the function

$$w^* = \varphi(\zeta) + h\varphi(\zeta)/\varphi(\zeta_0)(\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(\zeta_0))$$

will be regular and univalent in some annulus $r \leq |\zeta| < 1$. For $\lambda = |h|$, Theorem 1 is applicable to this function. Since the function

$$\frac{q(\zeta)}{\zeta\varphi'(\zeta)} = e^{i\alpha} \frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{\zeta\varphi'(\zeta)\varphi(\zeta_0)(\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(\zeta_0))}, \quad \alpha = \arg h,$$

in the disk $|\zeta| < 1$ has only a simple pole at the point ζ_0 with residue $e^{i\alpha}/\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2$, it is clear that

$$S(\zeta) = e^{i\alpha}/\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(\zeta - \zeta_0),$$

and, consequently, formula (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(\zeta) = \varphi(\zeta) + h \frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{\varphi(\zeta_0)(\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(\zeta_0))} - h \frac{\zeta\varphi'(\zeta)}{\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(\zeta - \zeta_0)} + \\ + \bar{h} \frac{\zeta^2\varphi'(\zeta)}{\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(1 - \bar{\zeta}_0\zeta)} + O(|h|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let us further normalize the varied function (6), putting $\omega = a\varphi^*(\zeta)/\varphi^*(b)$, after which we obtain

$$\omega = \varphi(\zeta) + h \frac{\varphi(\zeta)(a - \varphi(\zeta))}{\varphi(\zeta_0)(a - \varphi(\zeta_0))(\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(\zeta_0))} - h \frac{\zeta\varphi'(\zeta)}{\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(\zeta - \zeta_0)} + h \frac{b\varphi'(b)\varphi(\zeta)}{a\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(b - \zeta_0)} + \bar{h} \frac{\zeta^2\varphi'(\zeta)}{\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(1 - \bar{\zeta}_0\zeta)} - \bar{h} \frac{b^2\varphi'(b)\varphi(\zeta)}{a\zeta_0\varphi'(\zeta_0)^2(1 - b\bar{\zeta}_0)} + O(|h|^2). \quad (7)$$

This is the variational formula for functions $w = \varphi(\zeta)$ mapping conformally the disk $|\zeta| < 1$ onto the domain D with normalization $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(b) = a$.

If now $w = \varphi \circ g$ is an extremal mapping, then, varying the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ according to formula (7), we obtain

$$dF = 2 \operatorname{Re} h \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{F_{w_k} w_k (a - w_k)}{\varphi(\zeta_0)(a - \varphi(\zeta_0))(w_k - \varphi(\zeta_0))} - \frac{F_{w_k} \zeta_k w'_k}{\zeta_0 \varphi'(\zeta_0)^2 (\zeta_k - \zeta_0)} + \frac{F_{w_k} w_k b \varphi'(b)}{a \zeta_0 \varphi'(\zeta_0)^2 (b - \zeta_0)} + \frac{\bar{F}_{w_k} \bar{\zeta}_k^2 \bar{w}'_k}{\zeta_0 \varphi'(\zeta_0)^2 (1 - \zeta_0 \bar{\zeta}_k)} + \frac{\bar{F}_{w_k} \bar{w}_k b^2 \varphi'(b)}{a \zeta_0 \varphi'(\zeta_0)^2 (1 - b \bar{\zeta}_0)} \right] \leq 0,$$

where

$$\zeta_k = g(z_k), \quad w_k = \varphi(\zeta_k), \quad w'_k = \varphi'(\zeta_k).$$

By virtue of the arbitrariness of $\arg h$ and ζ_0 , we conclude that in the case of an extremal mapping the function $\varphi(\zeta)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\zeta\varphi'(\zeta)^2}{\varphi(\zeta)(a - \varphi(\zeta))} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{F_{w_k} w_k (a - w_k)}{1 - \bar{\zeta}\zeta_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{F_{w_k} \zeta_k w'_k}{\zeta_k - \zeta} - \frac{F_{w_k} w_k b \varphi'(b)}{a(b - \zeta)} - \frac{\bar{F}_{w_k} \bar{\zeta}_k^2 \bar{w}'_k}{1 - \zeta \bar{\zeta}_k} + \frac{\bar{F}_{w_k} \bar{w}_k b^2 \varphi'(b)}{a(1 - b\bar{\zeta})} \right].$$

From the analytic theory of differential equations it follows that $\varphi(\zeta)$ is regular not only in the disk $|\zeta| < 1$, but also on the circumference $|\zeta| = 1$, except for a finite number of points. Consequently, the boundary of the domain D consists of a finite number of analytic arcs.

3°. Let us prove that the slits forming the boundary of the extremal domain D satisfy inequality (*).

Suppose, to the contrary, that at some point of a slit $w = w_0$, distinct from its end, the angle between the direction $dw_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \arg A(w_0)$ and the slit is equal to θ , $0 < \theta < \pi$. In view of the analyticity of the boundary and of the fact that we shall vary the w -plane in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the point w_0 , one may regard the arc of the slit lying in this neighborhood as a rectilinear segment; one may also take $w_0 = 0$.

We now vary the w -plane as follows. Outside the segment

$$u^2 + (v + c \operatorname{ctg} \alpha)^2 < c^2 / \sin^2 \alpha, \quad v > 0$$

the mapping is identical, and we contract the segment $1/k$ times in the direction θ ; here $c > 0$ is sufficiently small, while α , $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$, and k , $0 < k < 1$, will be chosen later. This mapping $\omega = \omega(w)$, $\omega = \xi + i\eta$, has the form

$$\eta = (k \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta)v - (1 - k) \sin \theta [u \cos \theta + c \operatorname{ctg} \alpha \sin \theta -$$

$$-\sqrt{c^2(1 + \operatorname{ctg}^2 \alpha) - (v \cos \theta - u \sin \theta + c \operatorname{ctg} \alpha \cos \theta)^2}],$$

$$\xi = (\eta - v) \operatorname{ctg} \theta + u.$$

The condition that, under the additional mapping $\omega = \omega(w)$, the characteristic p decreases is as follows:

$$(\xi_u^2 + \eta_u^2 - \xi_v^2 - \eta_v^2) \cos 2\theta + 2(\xi_u \xi_v + \eta_u \eta_v) \sin 2\theta < 0. \quad (8)$$

For small α we have

$$\xi_u = 1 + O(\alpha), \quad \xi_v = -(1 - k) \operatorname{ctg} \theta + O(\alpha),$$

$$\eta_u = O(\alpha), \quad \eta_v = k + O(\alpha),$$

and condition (8) is written as

$$-(1 - k) [2 + (1 - k) \cos 2\theta / \sin^2 \theta] + O(\alpha) < 0.$$

For any θ , $0 < \theta < \pi$, this inequality can be satisfied if k is taken so close to 1 that the expression in square brackets is positive, and α is sufficiently small.

Thus, we have constructed a mapping that has not changed the value of F , but maps onto a domain with exterior points. This contradiction proves our

assertion, which, together with the results of item 2°, completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark. Obviously, the same extremal problem can be considered for q -quasiconformal mappings $w = f(z)$ of an arbitrary simply connected domain D of the z -plane with the normalization $f(z') = 0$, $f(z'') = a$, where $z', z'' \in D$, and in this case Theorem 2 holds for the extremal function.

Institute of Mathematics
Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
12 I 1966

CITED LITERATURE

1. G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Moscow, 1952.
2. P. P. Belinskii, DAN, 121, No. 2 (1958).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.