

ON THE DUALS OF CONSTRUCTIVE LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

Phan Đình Diêu

1966

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsxiv.org/items/ru-196601.78044>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.11

MATHEMATICS

PHAN DINH DIEU (PHAN ĐÌNH DIỆU)

ON THE DUALS OF CONSTRUCTIVE LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

(Presented by Academician A. A. Dorodnitsyn on V 6, 1965)

In the present note we use the terms and notation introduced in ^(1-3,7,8). In ⁽⁷⁾ the concept of a constructive locally convex space was introduced. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a constructive locally convex space, given by the list:

$$A_m, \mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{C}, +, \cdot, \mathfrak{D}, A_n, \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{D}. \quad (1)$$

The notation θ, ι will be understood in the same way as in ⁽⁷⁾. As defined in ⁽⁸⁾, a set $\mathfrak{A}$ of words of type θ is called **bounded** in the space $\mathfrak{M}$ if:

$$\forall l_1 \exists a (a > 0 \ \& \ \forall \theta_1 (\theta_1 \in \mathfrak{A} \supset \mathfrak{D}(l_1, a \cdot \theta_1))).$$

Denote by $\langle P \rangle$ an algorithm in the alphabet $A_m^{ca} \cup_2$, whose notation is the word P . Introduce the notation:

$$\mathfrak{K} \iff ((\langle c_{0,1} \rangle \in (\iota \rightarrow p)) \ \& \ \forall \iota_1 (\langle c_{0,1} \rangle (\iota_1) > 0)),$$

where $c_{0,1}$ is a variable for words in ${}_0$. Since $\mathfrak{I}$ is a normal formula, $\mathfrak{K}$ is also a normal formula. Denote by $\mathfrak{x}$ the subordinate generic letter whose characteristic formula is $\mathfrak{K}$.

Let: A_r be an alphabet; $\mathfrak{X}$ a one-parameter formula in a variable of genus t_r ; $\mathfrak{R}$ a formula in variables ε of genus t_r and η of genus θ . Denote by $\mathfrak{v}$ the subordinate generic letter whose characteristic formula is $\mathfrak{X}$. Introduce the notation

$$\mathfrak{R}(V, U) \iff F_{V,U}^{\varepsilon, \eta} \mathfrak{R}_\perp,$$

where V is any term of genus $\mathfrak{v}$; U is any term of genus θ .

We shall call the list $(A_r, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{R})$ a **fundamental system of bounded sets** in the space $\mathfrak{M}$, if the conditions

$$\forall v_1 \exists \mathfrak{x}_1 \forall \theta_1 (\mathfrak{R}(v_1, \theta_1) \supset \forall \iota_1 \mathfrak{D}(\iota_1, \langle \mathfrak{x}_1 \rangle(\iota_1) \cdot \theta_1));$$

$$\forall \mathfrak{x}_1 \exists v_1 \forall \theta_1 (\forall \iota_1 \mathfrak{D}(\iota_1, \langle \mathfrak{x}_1 \rangle(\iota_1) \cdot \theta_1) \supset \mathfrak{R}(v_1, \theta_1)).$$

are satisfied.

A fundamental system of bounded sets $(A_r, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{R})$ is called **normal** if $\mathfrak{X}$ is a normal formula.

Theorem 1. *For any constructive locally convex space one can construct in it a normal fundamental system of bounded sets.*

This is the system $(_0, \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$, where the formula $\mathfrak{R}$ is defined so that

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{x}_1, \theta_1) \equiv \forall \iota_1 \mathfrak{D}(\iota_1, \langle \mathfrak{x}_1 \rangle(\iota_1) \cdot \theta_1).$$

2. Let $A_\eta \iff A_m \cup _3$. An algorithm λ in A_η^{ca} is called a **functional** in the space $\mathfrak{M}$, if it is an operator of type $(\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{D})$ (see (7)).

Let λ_1 be a linear functional in $\mathfrak{M}$. λ_1 is continuous if

$$\forall n \exists \iota_1 \forall \theta_1 (\mathfrak{D}(\iota_1, \theta_1) \supset M(\lambda_1(\theta_1)) < 2^{-n}).$$

This means that there is realizable in A_ξ^{ca} ($A_\xi \iff A_\eta \cup _0$) an algorithm λ_2 of type

$(\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \iota)$ such that

$$\forall n \theta_1 (\mathfrak{P}(\lambda_2(n), \theta_1) \supset M(\lambda_1(\theta_1)) < 2^{-n}). \quad (2)$$

An algorithm λ_2 of type $(\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \iota)$ satisfying (2) will be called a **continuity regulator** of the functional λ_1 .

A linear functional λ_1 in $\mathfrak{M}$ is called **quasicontinuous** if it cannot be discontinuous.

Theorem 2. *There exists a constructive locally convex space for which it is false that every quasicontinuous linear functional is continuous.*

Theorem 3. *There exists a constructive locally convex space such that no algorithm is possible which, for each continuous linear functional in it, enables one to find a continuity regulator of this functional.*

3. We shall say of a word $t_{\pi,1}$ in the alphabet $A_\pi(\varpi \rightarrow _0 \cup \{\odot\})$ that it is a **complete cipher of a linear discontinuous functional** in $\mathfrak{M}$, and we shall write

$$\left(t_{\pi,1} \in \frac{\text{compl. disc.}}{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \quad (3)$$

if the word $t_{\pi,1}$ has the form $P \odot Q$, where P is a record of a linear discontinuous functional in $\mathfrak{M}$ and Q is a record of its continuity regulator. Let

$$t_{\pi,1} \doteq P \odot Q.$$

We shall denote:

$$t_{\pi,1} \rightleftharpoons \langle P \rangle_\eta; \quad t_{\pi,1} \rightleftharpoons \langle Q \rangle_\zeta.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ formula (3), and by $\mathfrak{m}$ the generic letter whose characteristic formula is $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{m}}$. Introduce the formula

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{m}} \rightleftharpoons \forall \theta_1 (t_{\pi,1}(\theta_1) \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{=} t_{\pi,2}(\theta_1)).$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{m}^+$ an algorithm of type $(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m})$, and by $\mathfrak{m}$ an algorithm of type $(p\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m})$, such that

$$\forall \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \theta_1 (\mathfrak{m}^+(\mathfrak{m}_1 \square \mathfrak{m}_2)(\theta_1) \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{=} \mathfrak{m}_1(\theta_1) + \mathfrak{m}_2(\theta_1));$$

$$\forall a \mathfrak{m}_1 \theta_1 (\mathfrak{m}(a \square \mathfrak{m}_1)(\theta_1) \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{=} a \cdot \mathfrak{m}_1(\theta_1)).$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ the word $U \odot V$, where U is a record of an algorithm transforming each word of type θ into the number 0; V is a record of an algorithm transforming each number n into a fixed word of type ι .

Let $(A_r, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{R})$ be a normal fundamental system of bounded sets in $\mathfrak{M}$. Construct a formula $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that, whatever the word ν_1 of type ν and the word $\mathfrak{m}_1$ of type $\mathfrak{m}$, one has

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{m}}(\nu_1, \mathfrak{m}_1) \equiv \forall \theta_1 (\mathfrak{R}(\nu_1, \theta_1) \supset M(\mathfrak{m}_1(\theta_1)) \leq 1).$$

It is not hard to prove that the list

$$A_\pi, \mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathfrak{m}^+, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{m}}, A_r, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{m}}$$

is a constructive locally convex space, which we shall call **conjugate to the space $\mathfrak{M}$** .

4. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a normed space. It may be regarded as a locally convex space. Consequently, one can construct the space conjugate to the normed space $\mathfrak{M}$. This conjugate is a locally convex space.

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a normed space with multiplication by real duplets, and let N be its norm. If the set of points θ_1 such that $N(\theta_1) = 1$ is compact *then the space conjugate to $\mathfrak{M}$ is normable**.**

* See the definition in ⁽³⁾, § 11.

** See the definition in ⁽⁸⁾.

Theorem 5. There exists a constructive normed space such that its conjugate is not normable (not even seminormable) (see the definition in ⁽⁸⁾).

Theorem 6. There exists a constructive multinormed space such that its conjugate is not multinormable (see ⁽⁸⁾).

Theorem 7. There exists a constructive complete Hilbert space such that it is not isomorphic to its conjugate.

For the proof of Theorems 5–7 it suffices to consider the space l_2 , constructed in ⁽³⁾, § 13.

Theorem 8. For natural numbers m, n , $0 \leq m \leq n$, there exists a T' -separable, n -dimensional in the strong sense locally convex space such that its conjugate is an m -dimensional, normable space.

Theorem 9. For any natural number m there exists a T' -separable, infinite-dimensional locally convex space such that its conjugate is an m -dimensional, normable space.

Theorems 8 and 9 are proved with the aid of the space $\mathfrak{S}$, constructed in ⁽⁷⁾.

6. We shall consider bounded sets in the inductive limit of a sequence of locally convex spaces.

Let A_m and A_n be alphabets; $\mathfrak{P}$ a two-parameter formula in the variables k and α , where k is a variable for natural numbers and α is a variable of type t_m ; $\mathfrak{E}$ a three-parameter formula in the variables k and β, γ of type t_m ; + an algorithm of type $(t_m t_m \dot{\rightarrow} t_m)$; $\cdot$ an algorithm of type $(pt_m \dot{\rightarrow} t_m)$; $\mathfrak{D}$ a fixed word of type t_m ; $\mathfrak{J}$ a normal two-parameter formula in the variables k and δ of type t_n ; $\mathfrak{L}$ a three-parameter formula in the variables k, ξ of type t_n and η of type t_m .

We agree to denote:

$$\mathfrak{P}(N, R) \Leftrightarrow F_{N,R}^{k,\alpha} \mathfrak{P}_{\perp}; \quad \mathfrak{E}(N, R, S) \Leftrightarrow F_{N,R,S}^{k,\beta,\gamma} \mathfrak{E}_{\perp}; \quad (R + S) \Leftrightarrow +(R \square S);$$

$$(a \cdot R) \Leftrightarrow \cdot(a \square R); \quad \mathfrak{J}(N, T) \Leftrightarrow F_{N,T}^{k,\delta} \mathfrak{J}_{\perp}; \quad \mathfrak{L}(N, T, R) \Leftrightarrow F_{N,T,R}^{k,\xi,\eta} \mathfrak{L}_{\perp},$$

where N is any natural number, R and S are any terms of type t_m , T is any term of type t_n , and a is any rational number. Suppose that

$$\forall k \alpha (\mathfrak{P}(k, \alpha) \supset \mathfrak{P}(k+1, \alpha)); \quad \forall k \mathfrak{P}(k, \mathfrak{D});$$

$$\forall k \beta \gamma (\mathfrak{P}(k, \beta) \& \mathfrak{P}(k, \gamma) \supset (\mathfrak{E}(k, \beta, \gamma) \equiv \mathfrak{E}(k+1, \beta, \gamma)));$$

$$\forall k \beta \gamma (\mathfrak{P}(k+1, \beta) \& \mathfrak{P}(k, \gamma) \& \mathfrak{E}(k+1, \beta, \gamma) \supset \mathfrak{P}(k, \beta));$$

$$\forall k \beta \gamma (\mathfrak{P}(k, \beta) \& \mathfrak{P}(k, \gamma) \supset !(\beta + \gamma) \& \mathfrak{P}(k, \beta + \gamma));$$

$$\forall k a \alpha (\mathfrak{P}(k, \alpha) \supset !(a \cdot \alpha) \& \mathfrak{P}(k, a \cdot \alpha)).$$

Under these assumptions we shall call the list

$$A_m, \mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{E}, +, \cdot, \mathfrak{D}, A_n, \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{L} \quad (4)$$

an **expanding sequence of constructive locally convex spaces**, if for each fixed natural number k this list represents a constructive locally convex space (we denote it by $\mathfrak{M}_k$) and if the identity operator from each $\mathfrak{M}_k$ into $\mathfrak{M}_{k+1}$ is continuous (see the definition of a continuous operator in (7)).

Let the list (4) be an expanding sequence of locally convex spaces. Introduce the notation:

$$\mathfrak{P}^* \Leftrightarrow \exists k \mathfrak{P}(k, \alpha);$$

$$\mathfrak{E}^* \Leftrightarrow \exists k (\mathfrak{P}(k, \beta) \& \mathfrak{P}(k, \gamma) \& \mathfrak{E}(k, \beta, \gamma));$$

$$\mathfrak{I}^* \Leftrightarrow (\langle c_{0,1} \rangle_s \in (H \rightarrow t_n)) \& \forall k \mathfrak{I}(k, \langle c_{0,1} \rangle_s(k));$$

$$\mathfrak{L}^* \Leftrightarrow \exists c_{0,2} m \left((\langle c_{0,2} \rangle_r \in (H \rightarrow t_m)) \& \forall k (\mathfrak{P}(k, \langle c_{0,2} \rangle_r(k)) \&$$

$$\& \mathfrak{L}(k, \langle c_{0,1} \rangle_s(k), \langle c_{0,2} \rangle_r(k)) \& F_{\alpha, \Sigma(m \sqsubset c_{0,2})}^{\beta, \gamma} \mathfrak{E}^* \right),$$

where s is the number of the alphabet $A_n \cup \mathcal{U}_0$, r is the number of the alphabet $A_m \cup \mathcal{U}_0$, and Σ is an algorithm in A_r^α having the following property:

$$\Sigma(m \square c_{0,2}) \simeq \langle c_{0,2} \rangle_r(0) + \dots + \langle c_{0,2} \rangle_r(m).$$

It is not difficult to prove that the list

$$A_m, \mathfrak{P}^*, \mathfrak{C}^*, +, \cdot, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{U}_0, \mathfrak{I}^*, \mathcal{O}^* \quad (5)$$

is a locally convex space. We shall call it the **inductive limit of the sequence of spaces** $\mathfrak{M}_k$.

We shall say that:

- 1) The inductive limit (5) is α -regular if, for every bounded set $\mathfrak{A}$ in (5), there is a realizable number k such that $\mathfrak{A}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{M}_k$.
- 2) The inductive limit (5) is β -regular if, for every set $\mathfrak{A}$ bounded in (5) and contained in $\mathfrak{M}_k$, there is a realizable number j such that $\mathfrak{A}$ is bounded in $\mathfrak{M}_j$.
- 3) The inductive limit (5) is **regular** if it is α -regular and β -regular (cf. the classical definition in ⁽⁵⁾).

We shall say that in the sequence (4) each $\mathfrak{M}_k$ is a subspace of the space $\mathfrak{M}_{k+1}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\forall k j \delta (\mathfrak{I}(k, \delta) \equiv \mathfrak{I}(j, \delta));$$

$$\forall k \delta \alpha (\mathfrak{I}(k, \delta) \ \& \ \mathfrak{P}(k, \alpha) \supset (\mathcal{O}(k, \delta, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{O}(k+1, \delta, \alpha))).$$

The inductive limit (5) is called **strict** if, in the sequence (4), each $\mathfrak{M}_k$ is a Z -closed subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_j$ for $j > k$.

The theorem on the regularity of strict inductive limits in classical mathematics ⁽⁶⁾ carries over to constructive mathematics in the following form:

Theorem 10. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a strict inductive limit of a sequence of constructive locally convex spaces $\mathfrak{M}_k$. Then:*

- a) *if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a bounded set in $\mathfrak{M}$, then*

$$\neg \forall k \mathfrak{M}_1 ((\theta_1 \in \mathfrak{A}) \ \& \ \neg (\theta_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_k)).$$

- b) *$\mathfrak{M}$ is β -regular.*

On the other hand, one can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 11. *There exists a strict inductive limit $\mathfrak{M}$ of a sequence of constructive locally convex spaces $\mathfrak{M}_k$ such that: a) there is no algorithm which, for each bounded set $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$, makes it possible to find a number k such that $\mathfrak{A}$ is*

contained in $\mathfrak{M}_k$; b) it is false that for every bounded set $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ there is a least number k such that $\mathfrak{A}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{M}_k$.

Part a) is proved with the aid of the theorem stating that there exists an algorithm of type $(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ whose applicability problem is not decidable ^(1,3); part b) is proved with the aid of Corollary 1 of Theorem 1 in ⁽⁴⁾.

In conclusion the author expresses his deep gratitude to A. A. Markov for a number of valuable comments and suggestions.

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
23 IV 1965

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ A. A. Markov, *Tr. Mat. Inst. im. V. A. Steklov AN SSSR*, **42** (1954).
- ² N. A. Shanin, *ibid.*, **52**, 226 (1958).
- ³ N. A. Shanin, *ibid.*, **67**, 15 (1962).
- ⁴ A. O. Slisenko, *DAN*, **152**, No. 2, 292 (1963).
- ⁵ B. M. Makarov, *UMN*, **18**, 3 (111), 171 (1963).
- ⁶ J. Dieudonné, L. Schwartz, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **1**, 61 (1950).
- ⁷ Fanding Zieu, *DAN*, **162**, No. 4 (1965).
- ⁸ Fanding Zieu, *DAN*, **162**, No. 5 (1965).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.