

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF TRANSFORMATIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS TO NORMAL FORM

A. D. Bruno

1965

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.59298>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.925

MATHEMATICS

A. D. BRYUNO

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF TRANSFORMATIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS TO NORMAL FORM

(Presented by Academician L. S. Pontryagin on July 16, 1965)

Let $\varphi_1(X), \dots, \varphi_n(X)$ be power series in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ without constant terms, convergent in some neighborhood of the point $X = 0$. Then $X = 0$ will be a singular point of the system of differential equations

$$dx_i/dt = \varphi_i(X), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

Suppose further that $\xi_i(Y)$ and $\psi_i(Y)$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$), are power series in $y_1, \dots, y_n$ without constant terms, convergent in some neighborhood of the point $Y = 0$, such that the transformation

$$x_i = \xi_i(Y), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

fixed at zero, transforms system (1) into the system

$$dy_i/dt = \psi_i(Y), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

In his dissertation ⁽¹⁾, Poincaré proved that there exists a biholomorphic transformation at zero of the form (2), reducing system (1) to the form

$$dy_i/dt = \lambda_i y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

if the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of the matrix $\|\partial\varphi_i/\partial x_j|_0\|$ satisfy certain stringent conditions. The proof consists of two stages. First it is proved that there exist formal power series $\xi_i(Y)$ giving a formal transformation of system (1) to the form (4). The second stage consists in proving the convergence of the series $\xi_j(Y)$.

Let us write system (3) in the form

$$dy_i/dt = y_i g_i(Y) = y_i \sum_{Q \in N_i} g_{iQ} Y^Q, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

where $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $Y^Q = y_1^{q_1} \dots y_n^{q_n}$; $N_i = \{Q : \text{integers } q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n \geq 0, q_i \geq -1, \sum_{k=1}^n q_k \geq 0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote by $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ the vector of eigenvalues of the matrix $\|\partial \varphi_i / \partial x_j|_0\|$.

In my paper ⁽²⁾ the following theorem was proved:

There exists an invertible formal transformation (2) of system (1) into a system (3) such that: α) the matrix $\|\partial \psi_i / \partial y_j|_0\|$ is in Jordan normal form; β) when system (3) is written in the form (5), g_{iQ} differ from 0 only for those Q for which the scalar product $(Q, \Lambda) = 0$. In this case system (3) shall be called the normal form of system (1).

This theorem is the completion of a long series of works on normal form. In parallel, the following question was studied: for which normal forms (3) does the holomorphy of φ_i imply the holomorphy of the transformation (2)? It had long been shown that in some cases the series ξ_i diverge. For example, the system $dx_1/dt = x_1^2$, $dx_2/dt = x_2 - x_1$ is reduced to normal form

$$dy_1/dt = y_1^2, \quad dy_2/dt = y_2$$

by the transformation

$$x_1 = y_1, \quad x_2 = y_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1)! y_1^k,$$

which diverges for every $y_1 \neq 0$.

The convergence of transformations to normal form has been proved up to the present time in the following 4 cases (the conditions are imposed on the eigenvalues λ and on the normal form in the notation (5)):

- a) Suppose the numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are represented by points of the complex plane, and the convex hull of the points λ does not contain zero ⁽³⁾;
- b) $n = 2$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $g_1(k, k) = -g_2(k, k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ (the Poincaré center case ⁽⁴⁾);
- c) $n = 3$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 \neq 0$ are real, $\lambda_3 = i$, and $g_{1(k,k,0)} = -g_{2(k,k,0)}$, $g_{3(k,k,0)} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ (the hyperbolic case of a periodic solution of a Hamiltonian system ⁽⁵⁾);
- d) for all integral $Q \neq 0$

$$|(Q, \Lambda)| > \left(\sum_1^n |q_i| \right)^{-\nu}, \quad \nu \geq n+1 \quad (6,9).$$

Condition a) is necessary and sufficient for the equation $(Q, \Lambda) = 0$ to have only a finite number of solutions $Q \in N = N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ and, for the remaining $Q \in N$,

$$|(Q, \Lambda)| > \varepsilon \sum_1^n |q_k|.$$

Therefore this condition is, in its way, limiting. The remaining cases of convergence can be generalized.

Condition 1. The normal form (5) of system (1) is such that, if $G_Q = (g_{1Q}, \dots, g_{nQ})$, then $G_Q = \mu_Q \Lambda + \nu_Q \bar{\Lambda}$, where μ_Q and ν_Q are complex numbers.

Generally speaking, the normal form is not determined uniquely by the given system.

Lemma 1. *If at least one normal form of a given system (1) satisfies condition 1, then every normal form of this system satisfies condition 1.*

Condition 2. There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every $Q \in N$, either $(Q, \Lambda) = 0$, or $|(Q, \Lambda)| > \varepsilon$.

Theorem 1. *If, for system (1), some normal form satisfies condition 1, Λ satisfies condition 2, and the $\varphi_i(X)$ are holomorphic at $X = 0$, then there exists a transformation (2), holomorphic at $Y = 0$, which brings system (1) to normal form.*

In cases b) and c), conditions 1 and 2 are fulfilled:

b) condition 1 is fulfilled, since $G_{(k,k)} = g_{1(k,k)} \lambda_1^{-1} \Lambda$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$; condition 2 is fulfilled, since $|(Q, \Lambda)| = |\lambda_1| |q_1 - q_2| \geq |\lambda_1|$, if $q_1 \neq q_2$.

c) condition 1 is fulfilled, since

$$G_{(k,k,0)} = \frac{1}{2} g_{1(k,k,0)} \lambda_1^{-1} (\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots;$$

condition 2 is fulfilled, since the equation $(Q, \Lambda) = \lambda_1(q_1 - q_2) + iq_3 = 0$ determines, in the real space (q_1, q_2, q_3) , the line $q_1 = q_2$, $q_3 = 0$. The distance from this line to the points of the integer lattice not lying on it is bounded below.

Condition 3. Let $\omega(p) = \min |(Q, \Lambda)|$ over all such Q that $\sum |q_i| = p$, $(Q, \Lambda) \neq 0$, q_i are integers, and let $\omega_k = \omega(p_k)$ be the successive minima of $\omega(p)$ as p

increases from 1 to ∞ , i.e. $p_1 = 1$, $p_k < p_{k+1}$, and $\omega_k > \omega_{k+1}$, and for every $p < p_{k+1}$ one necessarily has $\omega(p) \geq \omega(p_k)$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln \omega^{-1}(p_k)}{p_k} < \infty.$$

It is essential that those Q for which $(Q, \Lambda) = 0$ do not participate in the formation of the successive minima. A somewhat different notion of successive minima of linear forms was used by Voronoi for the purposes of generalizing the continued-fraction algorithm ⁽⁷⁾.

If $n = 2$, $\lambda = \lambda_1^{+1} \lambda_2$ is real and r_k is the denominator of the k -th convergent of the continued fraction of the number λ , then condition 3 is equivalent to the condition

$$\sum_1^{\infty} r_k^{-1} \ln r_{k+1} < \infty.$$

Lemma 2. For every n there exists a $c_n > 1$ such that $p_k > c_n^k \cdot 2^{-1/2}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Theorem 2. If the system (1) has some normal form (4), Λ satisfies condition 3, and the $\varphi_i(X)$ are holomorphic at $X = 0$, then there exists a transformation (2), holomorphic at $Y = 0$, which brings system (1) to normal form.

In case), condition 3 is satisfied, the equation $(Q, \Lambda) = 0$ has no solutions in integers $Q \neq 0$, and the normal form is (4). Siegel's condition in terms of $\omega(p)$ is $\omega(p_k) > p_k^{-\nu}$; then

$$\sum_1^{\infty} p_k^{-1} \ln \omega^{-1}(p_k) < \nu \sum_1^{\infty} p_k^{-1} \ln p_k.$$

The convergence of the latter series follows from Lemma 2.

It remains to note that the normal form (5), satisfying condition 1, is integrable. Let the integral vectors $R_1, \dots, R_d$ form a basis in the lattice that is the intersection of the integral lattice with the solutions of the equation $(Q, \Lambda) = 0$. Then, first,

$$Y^{R_i} = \text{const} \equiv c_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, d)$$

are first integrals and, second,

$$g_i(Y) \equiv \sum_{(Q, \Lambda)=0} g_{iQ} Y^Q = \tilde{g}_i(Y^{R_1}, \dots, Y^{R_d}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are functions only of the first integrals. Finally,

$$d \ln y_i = \tilde{g}_i(c_1, \dots, c_d) dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

(see also § 2 of my paper ⁸).

Received
13 VII 1965

REFERENCES

- ¹ H. Poincaré, Thèse, Paris, 1879.
- ² A. D. Bruno, DAN, **157**, No. 6, 1276 (1964).
- ³ H. Dulac, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **40**, 324 (1912).
- ⁴ H. Poincaré, J. Math. pure et appl., 3 ser., **7** (1881).
- ⁵ J. Moser, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **9**, 673 (1956); K. Siegel, Collected Translations. Mathematics, **5**, 2, 157 (1961).
- ⁶ C. L. Siegel, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, math.-phys. Kl. II-a, 21 (1952); K. Siegel, Collected Translations. Mathematics, **5**, 2, 149 (1961).
- ⁷ G. F. Voronoi, Collected Works, 1, Kiev, 1952.
- ⁸ A. D. Bruno, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., **29**, No. 2, 329 (1965).
- ⁹ V. A. Pliss, Differential Equations, **1**, No. 2 (1965).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.