

ON THE THEORY OF DIFFERENCE SCHEMES

A. A. Samarskii

1965

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.36407>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 518.1

MATHEMATICS

A. A. SAMARSKII

ON THE THEORY OF DIFFERENCE SCHEMES

(Presented by Academician M. V. Keldysh, 19 IV 1965)

Difference schemes are considered for the abstract Cauchy problem (1) in Banach and Hilbert spaces. Special attention is devoted to sufficient conditions for the well-posedness of schemes.

1. Let H be a Banach space; let $A(t)$ be a linear (unbounded) operator depending on t , $0 \leq t \leq T$, with domain of definition $D(A)$ dense in H and range $\Delta(A) \subset H$. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$du/dt + A(t)u = f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u_0 \in D(A). \quad (1)$$

The unknown function $u = u(t)$ and the prescribed function $f(t)$ are defined on the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$ and take values in H , and the derivative du/dt is understood in the strong sense. Boundary conditions are taken into account by the requirement $u(t) \in D(A)$. We shall assume that problem (1) is well posed.

2. Difference schemes for problem (1) with $f = 0$ were studied in ⁽¹⁾. The approximate solution was considered in the same space H as the solution $u = u(t)$ of the original problem (1). Sufficient conditions for the well-posedness of the schemes were obtained only for special cases (the Cauchy problem, equations with constant coefficients) by spectral methods. (A treatment of difference schemes for (1) differing from ⁽¹⁾ is given in ⁽⁴⁾.) The methods of ^(1,2) are unsuitable for equations with variable and, in particular, discontinuous coefficients.

We study difference schemes defined not in H , but in another space, which is an analogue of the space of grid functions. This approach makes it possible to obtain sufficient conditions for the well-posedness of schemes, using only such general properties of operators (as, for example, semiboundedness from below) which are easily verified directly.

Let $\{H_N, N = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of Banach spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_N$, and let P_N be a linear operator projecting H onto H_N ($P_N u = u_N \in H_N$, if $u \in H$) and suppose that the closure condition

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|P_N u\|_N = \|u\|$$

is satisfied for every $u \in H$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in H .

As P_N one may take the operator of averaging over a neighborhood of a grid node ω_N (for $H = L_2$ and $H_N = L_2(\omega_N)$), the operator of taking the value at a grid node (for $H = C$, $H_N = C(\omega_N)$), etc. The choice of P_N depends on the norms in H and H_N .

On the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$ introduce the grid $\omega_\tau = \{t^j = j\tau; j = 0, 1, \dots, [T/\tau]\}$ with step τ . Consider two-layer schemes relating the values of the desired function on two time layers $t = t^j$ and $t = t^{j+1}$. Any two-layer linear scheme can be represented in the form

$$R_N y = S_N \tilde{y} + \tau \varphi(t), \quad 0 < t \in \omega_\tau, \quad y(0) = P_N u_0, \quad (2)$$

where $R_N = R_N(t, \tau)$, $S_N = S_N(t, \tau)$ are linear operators depending on t, τ, N and acting from H_N into H_N ; $\varphi = \varphi_{N, \tau}(t) \in H_N$ is a given func-

condition, $y = y_{N, \tau}(t) \in H_N$ is the unknown function, defined for $t \in \omega_\tau$; we have denoted

$$y = y_{N, \tau}(t^{j+1}) = y_{N, \tau}^{j+1}, \quad \tilde{y} = y_{N, \tau}(t^j) = y_{N, \tau}^j, \quad \varphi = \varphi(t) = \varphi_{N, \tau}^{j+1}.$$

In what follows we shall omit the index N, τ .

Problem (2) is posed correctly (we shall say that “scheme (2) is correct”) (see (3)) if, for sufficiently large $N \geq N_0$ and sufficiently small $\tau \leq \tau_0$, it is solvable for arbitrary $\varphi(t) \in H_N$, $t \in \omega_\tau$, and $y(0) \in H_N$, and its solution depends continuously, uniformly in N and τ , on the initial data $y(0)$ and on the right-hand side $\varphi(t)$ (“the scheme is stable with respect to the initial data and the right-hand side”):

$$\max_{\omega_\tau} \|y(t)\|_N \leq M'_1 \|y(0)\|_N + M'_2 \max_{\omega_\tau} \|\varphi(t)\|_N,$$

where M'_1, M'_2 are positive constants independent of N and τ .

For correctness of scheme (2) it is sufficient to require that the inverse operator $R_N^{-1}(t)$ exist for every $t \in \omega_\tau$, acting from H_N into H_N , and that the conditions

$$\|R_N^{-1}(t) S_N(t)\|_N \leq 1 + c_1 \tau, \quad \|R_N^{-1}(t)\| \leq c_2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \omega_\tau, \quad (3)$$

be satisfied, where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants independent of τ and N . In this case, for the solution of problem (2) the estimate

$$\|y^j\|_N \leq e^{c_1 t^j} \left\{ \|y(0)\|_N + c_2 \sum_{j'=1}^j \tau \|\varphi^{j'}\|_N \right\} \quad \text{for all } t^j \in \omega_\tau \quad (4)$$

is valid.

3. The notions of convergence and approximation are introduced in the natural way. Let $y^j = y_{N,\tau}^j \in H_N$ be the solution of the difference problem (2), and $u^j = u(t^j)$ the solution of problem (1). The characteristic of scheme (2) is the error $z^j = y^j - P_N u^j \in H_N$, defined for $t^j \in \omega_\tau$.

The solution of problem (2) converges to the solution of problem (1) (“scheme (2) converges”) uniformly in t as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, if

$$\|y^j - P_N u^j\|_N \leq \rho_1(\tau) + \rho_2(1/N) \quad \text{for all } t^j \in \omega_\tau, \quad (5)$$

where $\rho_1(\tau) \geq 0$, $\rho_2(1/N) \geq 0$ do not depend on t^j , and $\rho_k(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0$, $k = 1, 2$.

To each space H_N we assign a positive number h_N (the step) such that the sequence $\{h_N\}$ tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

We shall say that scheme (2) has n -th order of accuracy with respect to τ and k -th order of accuracy with respect to h_N (“scheme (2) converges with rate $O(\tau^n) + O(h_N^k)$,” or “scheme (2) has accuracy $O(\tau^n) + O(h_N^k)$ ”), if, for sufficiently small $\tau \leq \tau_0$ and $h_N \leq h_0$,

$$\|y^j - P_N u^j\|_N \leq M_1 \tau^n + M_2 h_N^k \quad \text{for all } t^j \in \omega_\tau. \quad (6)$$

Here and below M_s ($s = 1, 2, \dots$) are positive constants independent of τ and h_N . Substituting $y = z + P_N u$ into (2), we obtain:

$$R_N z = S_N \tilde{z} + \tau \psi, \quad 0 < t \in \omega_\tau, \quad z(0) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Here

$$\psi = \psi(u) = \varphi - \frac{1}{\tau} (R_N P_N u - S_N P_N \tilde{u})$$

is the error of approximation of equation (1) by scheme (3), taken on the solution $u = u(t)$ of equation (1).

If

$$\|\psi^j\|_N \leq \rho'_1(\tau) + \rho_2(1/N) \quad \text{for } t^j \in \omega_\tau,$$

then it is said that scheme (2) approximates equation (1) in the class of its solutions.

Scheme (2) approximates equation (1) with order n with respect to τ and k with respect to h_N (has approximation error $O(\tau^n) + O(h_N^k)$), if

$$\|\psi^j\|_N \leq M_3\tau^n + M_4h_N^k \quad \text{or} \quad \|\psi^j\|_N = O(\tau^n) + O(h_N^k) \quad \text{for } t^j \in \omega_\tau.$$

The following theorem is obvious (cf. ⁽¹⁻³⁾): from the correctness and approximation there follows the convergence of the difference scheme (2); the order of accuracy of scheme (2) is determined by the order of the approximation error in the class of solutions $u = u(t)$ of equation (1).

4. The greatest difficulties in the theory of difference schemes are connected with finding sufficient conditions for the correctness of schemes. In ^(1, 2) conditions are given for equations with constant coefficients and for the periodic Cauchy problem.

We shall use the method of energy inequalities for schemes defined in the Hilbert space H_N with scalar product $(y, v)_N$ and norm $\|y\|_N = \sqrt{(y, y)_N}$.

Any two-level scheme (2) can be written in the form

$$C_N y_t + A_N(\sigma y + (1 - \sigma)\tilde{y}) = \varphi, \quad y_t = (y - \tilde{y})/\tau, \quad (8)$$

where σ is an arbitrary real parameter; $A_N = A_N(t, \tau, \sigma)$ and $C_N = C_N(t, \tau, \sigma)$ are linear operators whose domain of definition and range coincide with H_N for all $t \in \omega_\tau$. Most often encountered are the schemes

$$y_t + A_N(\sigma y + (1 - \sigma)\tilde{y}) = \varphi, \quad 0 < t \in \omega_\tau, \quad y(0) = P_N u_0. \quad (9)$$

Comparing (9) with (2), we see that $R_N = E + \sigma\tau A_N$, $S_N = E - (1 - \sigma)\tau A_N$, where E is the identity operator.

The following theorems hold:

Theorem 1. Scheme (9) is correct if $\sigma \geq 0.5$ and A_N is positive, i.e. $(A_N y, y)_N \geq 0$ for all $y \in H_N$; in this case estimate (4) is valid with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 1$.

Theorem 2. Scheme (9) is correct if $\sigma \geq 0.5$, A_N is semibounded, i.e. $(A_N y, y)_N \geq -M_5 \|y\|_N^2$, and $\tau \leq \tau_0(M_5)$ is sufficiently small.

Theorem 3. Scheme (9) is correct if A_N is a finite-dimensional positive self-adjoint $((A_N y, v)_N = (y, A_N v)_N$ for any $y, v \in H_N$) operator and

$$\sigma \geq \sigma_\varepsilon = 0.5 - (1 - \varepsilon)/\tau \|A_N(t)\|_N,$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ is any number; estimate (4) is valid with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 1/\varepsilon$.

Theorem 4. Scheme (9) is stable with respect to the initial data in the norm

$$\|y\|_{A_N} = \sqrt{(A_N(t)y, y)_N},$$

if A_N is a self-adjoint positive $((A_N y, y)_N > 0)$ operator satisfying the Lipschitz condition in t , i.e.

$$|(A_N(t)y, y)_N| \leq M_0(\check{A}_{Ny}, y)_N,$$

and $\sigma \geq \sigma_\varepsilon$.

A priori estimates for the solution of (9) in terms of $(A^{-1}\varphi, \varphi)_N$ have also been obtained.

For the general scheme (8) we indicate only one result. Scheme (8) is correct if: a) $\sigma \geq 0.5$; b) A_N is a self-adjoint, positive $((A_N y, y)_N > 0)$ operator satisfying the Lipschitz condition in t ; c)

$$(C_{Ny}, y)_N \geq 0, \quad (C_N y_t, y_t)_N \geq \varepsilon \|y_t\|^2 - M_7(\|y\|_{A_N}^2 + \|\check{y}\|_{\check{A}_N}^2),$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ is any number. For the solution of equation (8), for sufficiently small $\tau \leq \tau_0(M_7)$, the estimate

$$\|y^j\|_{A_N^j} \leq M_8 \left(\|y(0)\|_{A_N(0)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j'=1}^j \tau \|\varphi^{j'}\|_N \right) \quad \text{for all } t^j \in \omega_\tau. \quad (10)$$

follows.

From this, in particular, follows the stability of splitting schemes for equations of parabolic type (see (5)).

5. It is known that in a number of cases (nonuniform grids, discontinuous coefficients (4)) the solution of a difference equation and its right-hand side should be estimated in different spaces. Estimates of this kind can be obtained for operators A_N of a special form.

Let H_N and $H_{N,1}$ be Euclidean spaces with scalar products $(y, z)_N$ and $(v, w]_N$, respectively; T_N a linear operator from H_N into $H_{N,1}$; T_N^* a linear operator from $H_{N,1}$ into H_N , adjoint to T_N in the following sense: $(T_N y, v]_N = (y, T_N^* v)_N$ for any $y \in H_N$, $v \in H_{N,1}$.

The operators T_N and T_N^* have uniformly bounded inverse operators T_N^{-1} and T_N^{*-1} . Consider operators A_N of "conservative" ("divergence") form

$$A_N = T_N^* S_N T_N,$$

where $S_N = S_N(t, \tau)$ is a linear operator acting from $H_{N,1}$ into $H_{N,1}$. If S_N is a positive definite operator, i.e. $(S_N v, v]_N \geq M_9 \|v\|_N^2$, $\|v\|_N^2 = (v, v]_N$, then for

$\sigma \geq 0.5$ an estimate of the form (4) is valid, where $\|\varphi\|_N$ should be replaced by the norm $\|(T_N^*)^{-1}\varphi\|_N$, and also an a priori estimate for $\|T_N y\|_N$.

From these estimates, in particular, follow the main results of the works ⁽⁴⁾ on the theory of difference schemes for parabolic equations in the case of nonuniform grids and discontinuous coefficients (as well as a number of new results). The "multidimensional" case is studied similarly:

$$A_N = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m T_{N,\alpha}^* S_{N,\alpha} T_{N,\alpha}.$$

6. Conditions of well-posedness have been obtained for three-level schemes for equation (1).

Three-level and four-level schemes have been investigated for the second-order equation

$$d^2 u/dt^2 + A(t)u = f(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad du/dt(0) = \bar{u}_0.$$

Thus, for example, for the well-posedness of the three-level scheme

$$y_{\bar{t}t} + 0.5A_N(y + \tilde{y}) + (\sigma - 0.5)\tau^2 A_N y_{\bar{t}t} = \varphi,$$

$$(\tilde{y} = y^{j-1}, \quad \bar{y} = y^j, \quad y = y^{j+1}, \quad y_{\bar{t}t} = (y - 2\bar{y} + \tilde{y})/\tau^2)$$

it is sufficient that: 1) $\sigma \geq 0.25 - (1 - \varepsilon)/\tau^2 \|A_N\|_N$, $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ is arbitrary; 2) $(A_N y, y)_N > 0$; 3) A_N is a self-adjoint operator satisfying the Lipschitz condition with respect to t .

The author expresses gratitude to A. N. Tikhonov for useful discussion of the results.

Received
30 III 1965

References

1. P. D. Lax, R. D. Richtmyer, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **9**, 267 (1956).
2. R. D. Richtmyer, *Difference Methods for Solving Boundary-Value Problems*, Moscow, 1960.
3. V. S. Ryabenkii, A. F. Filippov, *On the Stability of Difference Equations*, Moscow, 1956.
4. L. I. Yakut, *Proceedings of the Seminar on Functional Analysis*, Voronezh, issue 7, 1963, p. 160.

5. A. A. Samarskii, Zhurn. vychislit. matem. i matem. fiz., No. 6, 972 (1961); No. 4, 603 (1962); No. 2, 266 (1963).
6. E. G. D'yanonov, Zhurn. vychislit. matem. i matem. fiz., No. 2, 278 (1964); No. 5, 935 (1964).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.