

On Totally Bounded Metric Spaces

Yu. Orevkov

1962

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietsrxiv.org/items/ru-196201.76178>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR
1962. Volume 143, No. 2

MATHEMATICS

Yu. OREVKOV

ON TOTALLY BOUNDED METRIC SPACES

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov, 23 X 1961)

Let P be a metric space; uP its bicomact extension corresponding to the metric proximity ⁽¹⁾. Yu. M. Smirnov ⁽²⁾ characterized completeness of a space in a given metric through the properties of the remainder of the bicomact extension $uP \setminus P$. The property of a metric space P of being totally bounded, which, like completeness, is preserved under uniformly continuous mappings, can also be described with the aid of the remainder $uP \setminus P$. This is done in the present note.

Theorem 1. *In order that a metric space P be totally bounded, it is necessary and sufficient that $uP \setminus P$ be hereditarily normal.*

Necessity. Since in the case when P is totally bounded the extension uP is a compactum, $uP \setminus P$ is evidently hereditarily normal.

Sufficiency. Let P be a metric space that is not totally bounded. Then there exists a countable set $N = \{x_i\} \subset P$ such that for any two distinct points x_i and x_j one always has $\rho(x_i, x_j) \geq \eta > 0$. Consequently, the set N is uniformly equivalent to the set of natural numbers of the real line. The bicomact extension corresponding to the proximity space of the natural sequence is its Čech extension, and, since $N \subset P$, $P[N] = N$, it follows that $\beta N = uN = uP[N]$. Therefore, in order to show that the difference $uP \setminus P$ is not hereditarily normal, it suffices to prove the following assertion:

Lemma. *$\beta N \setminus N$ is not hereditarily normal.*

Proof. Let I be the half-interval $[0, 1)$, with the neighborhoods of any point $x \in I$ being half-intervals of the form $[x, y)$. Consider the space $R = I \times I$. As is known ⁽³⁾, R is a completely regular, but not normal, space having a countable everywhere dense subset $\{a_i\}$. Let γR be some bicomact extension of the space R . We construct a bicomact extension $\tau N = \gamma R \cup N$ of the countable discrete space N , where the topology is defined as follows: a neighborhood of a point from N is the point itself; a neighborhood of a point from γR is an ordinary neighborhood in γR with the addition of those natural numbers which serve as indices of the points from $\{a_i\}$ falling into this neighborhood. The bicomact extension of the natural sequence thus obtained has a remainder that is not

hereditarily normal, since R is its subspace. In view of the property* of the Čech extension, we have $f(\beta N \setminus N) = \tau N \setminus N$. Hence f is a closed continuous mapping of the space $f^{-1}(R)$ onto R , and therefore $f^{-1}(R)$ is a completely regular but not normal subspace of $\beta N \setminus N$. The lemma and, consequently, Theorem 1 are proved.

The lemma makes it possible to prove the following assertion:

Theorem 2. *Every completely regular non-pseudocompact space R has a Čech remainder which is not hereditarily normal.*

* For otherwise, for some point $y \in N$ (which is open in τN), we would have that $f^{-1}y$ intersects $\beta N \setminus N$ and, being an open set, contains infinitely many points from N , which is impossible.

Proof. In R there exists a continuous unbounded function f and, consequently, a countable subset $A = \{a_i\}$ such that $|f(a_i) - f(a_j)| > 1$ for $i \neq j$. Let φ be any real function defined on A . We shall prove that it can be extended continuously to all of R . To this end consider neighborhoods Oa_i on which the oscillation of the function f does not exceed $1/3$. Since R is completely regular, there exists a function ψ_i , continuous on R , such that $\psi_i(a_i) = \varphi(a_i)$ and $\psi_i(x) = 0$ for $x \in R \setminus Oa_i$, with $0 \leq \psi_i(x) \leq \varphi(a_i)$ at points $x \in Oa_i$ when $\varphi(a_i) \geq 0$, and $0 \geq \psi_i(x) \geq \varphi(a_i)$ at points $x \in Oa_i$ when $\varphi(a_i) \leq 0$. It is clear that

$$\text{the function } \psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i(x)$$

is continuous on R and that $\psi(a_i) = \varphi(a_i)$.

Consequently, $\beta R[A] = \beta A$. Hence, by the lemma, the absence of hereditary normality in $\beta R \setminus R$ follows. In Theorem 1 the condition of hereditary normality can be replaced by any condition from which hereditary normality follows and which holds for subsets of compacta. Therefore the following is true:

Theorem 3. Each of the following conditions is necessary and sufficient for the metric space P to be totally bounded:

- a) $uP \setminus P$ is perfectly normal (every open set is of type F_σ);
- b) $uP \setminus P$ is hereditarily finally compact (Lindelöf);
- c) $uP \setminus P$ is hereditarily strongly paracompact;
- d) $uP \setminus P$ is hereditarily paracompact;
- e) $uP \setminus P$ has a countable base.

I express my deep gratitude to Yu. M. Smirnov for guidance and assistance in the work.

Mathematical Institute named after V. A. Steklov
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
18 X 1961

REFERENCES

1. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Matem. sborn.*, **31** (73), No. 3, 543 (1952).
2. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Tr. Mosk. matem. obshch.*, **3**, 271 (1954).
3. R. Sorgenfrey, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, **53**, No. 6 (1947).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.